South Cambridgeshire District Council

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

COUNCIL MEETING
THURSDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2017

AGENDA AND REPORTS

South Cambridgeshire Hall
Cambourne Business Park
Cambourne, Cambridge
CB23 6EA

OUR LONG-TERM VISION

South Cambridgeshire will continue to be the best place to live, work and study in the country. Our district will demonstrate impressive and sustainable economic growth. Our residents will have a superb quality of life in an exceptionally beautiful, rural and green environment.

OUR VALUES

We will demonstrate our corporate values in all our actions. These are:

- Working Together
- Integrity
- Dynamism
- Innovation

EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

The law allows Councils to consider a limited range of issues in private session without members of the Press and public being present. Typically, such issues relate to personal details, financial and business affairs, legal privilege and so on. In every case, the public interest in excluding the Press and Public from the meeting room must outweigh the public interest in having the information disclosed to them. The following statement will be proposed, seconded and voted upon.

"I propose that the Press and public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the following item number(s) ….. in accordance with Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 on the grounds that, if present, there would be disclosure to them of exempt information as defined in paragraph(s) ….. of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act (as amended)."

If exempt (confidential) information has been provided as part of the agenda, the Press and public will not be able to view it. There will be an explanation on the website however as to why the information is exempt.

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

TO: The Chairman and Members of the
South Cambridgeshire District Council

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the next meeting of the **COUNCIL** will be held in the **COUNCIL CHAMBER, FIRST FLOOR** at **2.00 P.M.** on

THURSDAY, 23 FEBRUARY 2017

and I am, therefore to summon you to attend accordingly for the transaction of the business specified below.

DATED this date

Alex Colyer
Interim Chief Executive

The Council is committed to improving, for all members of the community, access to its agendas and minutes. We try to take all circumstances into account but, if you have any specific needs, please let us know, and we will do what we can to help you.

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES
To receive Apologies for Absence from Members.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3. REGISTER OF INTERESTS
Members are requested to inform Democratic Services of any changes in their Register of Members' Financial and Other Interests form.

4. MINUTES
To authorise the Chairman to sign the Minutes of the meeting held on the 26 January 2017 as a correct record.

(Pages 1 - 8)

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS
To receive any announcements from the Chairman, Leader, the executive or the head of paid service.

6. QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC
To note that no questions from the public have been received.

7. PETITIONS
To note that no petitions have been received since the last Council meeting.

8. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS:

9 (a) Appointment of Local Returning Officer for Combined Authority Mayoral Election
It is **RECOMMENDED TO COUNCIL**

That the Interim Chief Executive be appointed Returning Officer for the South Cambridgeshire area for the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority elections to be held in May 2017.

(Pages 9 - 10)

9 (b) South Cambridgeshire Local Plan: Policy SS/5 - Waterbeach New Town: Boundary of the Major Development Site (Key)
It is **RECOMMENDED** that Council

Agrees that a proposed modification to the Major Development Site boundary of Waterbeach new town (Policy SS/5) as shown on Inset Map H of the submitted Policies Map be put forward to the examination, as shown on the attached map.

(Pages 11 - 28)

9 (c) Corporate Plan Priorities 2017-2022 (Cabinet, 9 February 2017) (Key)
Cabinet **RECOMMENDED** to Council that the Corporate Plan setting out the Council's vision, objectives and actions for 2017–2022 be approved.

(Pages 29 - 30)

9 (d) Medium Term Financial Strategy (General Fund Budget 2017/18 including Council Tax setting), Housing Revenue Account (including Housing rents), Capital Programme and Treasury Management Strategy (Cabinet, 9 February 2017) (Key)
Cabinet

RECOMMENDED THAT COUNCIL

Revenue and capital – General Fund (GF)

(a) Approves the revenue estimates for 2017-18 as shown in the GF Budget Setting Reports (BSR) Section 5.

(b) Approves the precautionary items for the GF, GF BSR Appendix B.

(c) Approves the GF revenue forecasts as set out in GF BSR Section 6.

(d) Instructs the Executive Management Team to identify additional income / savings of £163k for 2017-18, rising to £1,531k from 2018-19.

(e) Approves the GF capital programme and associated funding up to the year ended 31 March 2022, as set out in Appendix D.

(f) Instructs the Head of Finance, on the basis of the proposals set out in the GF BSR, to prepare formal papers to set the council tax requirement and amount of council tax for all Bands at the Council meeting on 23 February 2017.

Revenue – Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

(g) Approves the HRA revenue budget as shown in the HRA Summary Forecast 2016-17 to 2021-22 in Appendix I of the HRA Budget Setting Report at Appendix 2 to this report.

(h) Approves the retention of the balance of the 4 year savings target included as part of the 2016-17 HRA Budget Setting Report to mitigate the impact of some of the changes in national housing policy, recognising that the net savings proposed from 2017-18 over-deliver against the profile of £250,000 per annum for 4 years, reducing the balance to be sought in the remaining 3 years to £600,480.

Review of Rents and Charges

(i) Approves that social housing rents for existing tenants be reduced by 1%, in line with legislative requirements, with effect from 3rd April 2017.

(j) Approves that affordable rents are reviewed in line with rent legislation, to ensure that rents charged are no more than 80% of market rent, with this figure then reduced by 1% as with social housing. Local policy is to cap affordable rents at the lower level of Local Housing Allowance, which will result in a rent freeze from 3rd April 2017.

(k) Approves inflationary increases of 2.4% in garage rents for 2017-18, in line with the base rate of inflation for the year assumed in the HRA Budget Setting Report.

(l) Approves the proposed service charges for HRA services and facilities provided to both tenants and leaseholders, as shown in Appendix B of the HRA Budget Setting Report.

Housing Capital

(m) Approves the latest budget, spend profile and funding mix for each of the schemes in the new build programme, as detailed in Section 5 and Appendix E of the HRA Budget Setting Report, recognising the most up to date information available as each scheme progresses through the design, planning, build contract and completion process.

(n) Approves earmarking of the required level of additional funding for new build investment between 2017-18 and 2021-22 to ensure that commitments can be met in respect of the investment of all right to buy receipts retained by the authority, up to the end of December 2016. This expenditure will either take the form of HRA new build, with the 70% top up met by capital receipts anticipated from the sale of self-build plots or could alternatively be grant made to a registered provider, where the registered provider will provide the 70% top up to build new homes.

(o) Approves the capital budget proposals, both bids and savings, detailed in Appendix G(2) of the HRA Budget Setting Report.

(p) Approves the capital amendments, detailed in Appendix H of the HRA Budget Setting Report, which include the capital proposals in Appendix G(2), along-side re-profiling of investment, increase and re-allocation of resource for new

build schemes and budget to fund the up-front costs for self-build plots, with the latter fully met from the resulting capital receipt.

(q) Approves the revised Housing Capital Investment Plan as shown in Appendix J of the HRA Budget Setting Report.

Treasury Management

(r) Approves the borrowing and investment strategy for the year to March 2018, Appendix F.

(s) Approves the prudential indicators required by the Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities for the year to 31 March 2018, Appendix G.

(t) Approves the Capital Strategy 2017-18 to 2021-22, Appendix H.

(u) Approves any unspent New Homes Bonus money allocated to the City Deal to be rolled into 2018-19.

General

(v) Gives delegated authority to the Interim Chief Executive to issue the final version of the Estimates Book, incorporating any amendments required from the council's decisions.

(Pages 31 - 174)

9 (e) Swavesey Byways Rate

The Swavesey Byways Advisory Committee **RECOMMENDED** to Council:

(a) That it maintains the current level of byeway maintenance for the period 2017/18.

(b) That it levies a rate at £1.10 to fund the required maintenance for the period 2017/18.

(Pages 175 - 182)

10. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS TO THE LEADER

10 (a) From Councillor Philippa Hart for the Leader of Council (question deferred from the last Council meeting)

The 2016-17 Second Quarterly Position Statement on Finance, Performance and Risk at STR30 assesses the known risks which devolution could bring to the council thus:

"Tight timescales, insufficient time and capacity to get structures in place, changes in the political and economic climate, failure of some or all partners to engage fully and/or of associated governance arrangements, leading to; delays to the receipt of, or complete loss of powers and funding allocated to the Combined Authority under the devolution deal, resulting in:

Inability of SCDC to deliver its Corporate Plan, financially unviable services, reputational damage for SCDC, wider loss of credibility for Cambridgeshire authorities, reducing the prospect of successful future devolution deals with government".

The risk score is given as 12 and on Amber. The Risk Owner's only comments about how these risks might be addressed proposes this solution:

"Awareness of the timetable and ongoing preparations mitigate the risk of failure to

deliver the deal in accordance with the specified milestones".

Please can the Leader tell us where he estimates we are on the timetable and what ongoing preparations are underway to deliver a failure-free devolution?

11. NOTICES OF MOTION

No notices of motion have been received.

12. CHAIRMAN'S ENGAGEMENTS

To note the Chairman and Vice-Chairman's engagements since the last Council meeting:

Date	Venue/Event	Attended
January 2017		
Saturday 28	Royal British Legion: Annual Conference	Chair
February 2017		
Thursday 02	Visit of HRH The Duke of Gloucester, Bar Hill Community Primary School	Chair
Friday 03	Queensborough Feast 2017, The College of Corpus Christi and the Blessed Virgin Mary	Chair
Friday 03	East Cambs Disrict Council: Civic Reception	Vice-Chair
Saturday 11	City of Peterborough Mayor's Valentine Dance	Vice-Chair
Monday 20	Grand Opening of the new Cocks and Hens Tennis Club	Chair

13. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next scheduled meeting of Council is on 22 May 2017 at 2pm

GUIDANCE NOTES FOR VISITORS TO SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE HALL

Notes to help those people visiting the South Cambridgeshire District Council offices

While we try to make sure that you stay safe when visiting South Cambridgeshire Hall, you also have a responsibility for your own safety, and that of others.

Security

When attending meetings in non-public areas of the Council offices you must report to Reception, sign in, and at all times wear the Visitor badge issued. Before leaving the building, please sign out and return the Visitor badge to Reception.

Public seating in meeting rooms is limited. For further details contact Democratic Services on 03450 450 500 or e-mail democratic.services@scambs.gov.uk

Emergency and Evacuation

In the event of a fire, a continuous alarm will sound. Leave the building using the nearest escape route; from the Council Chamber or Mezzanine viewing gallery this would be via the staircase just outside the door. Go to the assembly point at the far side of the staff car park opposite the staff entrance

- **Do not** use the lifts to leave the building. If you are unable to use stairs by yourself, the emergency staircase landings have fire refuge areas, which give protection for a minimum of 1.5 hours. Press the alarm button and wait for help from Council fire wardens or the fire brigade.
- ***Do not*** re-enter the building until the officer in charge or the fire brigade confirms that it is safe to do so.

First Aid

If you feel unwell or need first aid, please alert a member of staff.

Access for People with Disabilities

We are committed to improving, for all members of the community, access to our agendas and minutes. We try to take all circumstances into account but, if you have any specific needs, please let us know, and we will do what we can to help you. All meeting rooms are accessible to wheelchair users. There are disabled toilet facilities on each floor of the building. Infra-red hearing assistance systems are available in the Council Chamber and viewing gallery. To use these, you must sit in sight of the infra-red transmitter and wear a 'neck loop', which can be used with a hearing aid switched to the 'T' position. If your hearing aid does not have the 'T' position facility then earphones are also available and can be used independently. You can get both neck loops and earphones from Reception.

Toilets

Public toilets are available on each floor of the building next to the lifts.

Recording of Business and Use of Mobile Phones

We are open and transparent about how we make decisions. We allow recording, filming and photography at Council, Cabinet and other meetings, which members of the public can attend, so long as proceedings at the meeting are not disrupted. We also allow the use of social media during meetings to bring Council issues to the attention of a wider audience. To minimise disturbance to others attending the meeting, please switch your phone or other mobile device to silent / vibrate mode.

Banners, Placards and similar items

You are not allowed to bring into, or display at, any public meeting any banner, placard, poster or other similar item. Failure to do so, will result in the Chairman suspending the meeting until such items are removed.

Disturbance by Public

If a member of the public interrupts proceedings at a meeting, the Chairman will warn the person concerned. If they continue to interrupt, the Chairman will order their removal from the meeting room. If there is a general disturbance in any part of the meeting room open to the public, the Chairman may call for that part to be cleared. The meeting will be suspended until order has been restored.

Smoking

Since 1 July 2008, South Cambridgeshire District Council has operated a Smoke Free Policy. No one is allowed to smoke at any time within the Council offices, or in the car park or other grounds forming part of those offices.

Food and Drink

Vending machines and a water dispenser are available on the ground floor near the lifts at the front of the building. You are not allowed to bring food or drink into the meeting room.

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of a meeting of the Council held on
Thursday, 26 January 2017 at 2.00 p.m.

PRESENT: Councillor Sue Ellington – Chairman
Councillor David McCraith – Vice-Chairman

Councillors: David Bard, Val Barrett, Henry Batchelor, Francis Burkitt, Brian Burling, Tom Bygott, Doug Cattermole, Grenville Chamberlain, Graham Cone, Pippa Corney, Simon Crocker, Christopher Cross, Kevin Cuffley, Simon Edwards, Andrew Fraser, Roger Hall, Lynda Harford, Philippa Hart, Mark Howell, Caroline Hunt, Janet Lockwood, Mervyn Loynes, Ray Manning, Mick Martin, Cicely Murfitt, Charles Nightingale, Tony Orgee, Alex Riley, Tim Scott, Ben Shelton, Bridget Smith, Hazel Smith, Edd Stonham, Peter Topping, Ingrid Tregoing, Richard Turner, Robert Turner, Bunty Waters, Aidan Van de Weyer, John Williams and Nick Wright

Officers:		
	Patrick Adams	Senior Democratic Services Officer
	Alex Colyer	Interim Chief Executive
	Rory McKenna	Deputy Monitoring Officer

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Councillors John Batchelor, Anna Bradnam, Nigel Cathcart, Neil Davies, Jose Hales, Tumi Hawkins, Peter Johnson, Sebastian Kindersley, Douglas de Lacey, Raymond Matthews, Des O'Brien, Deborah Roberts, David Whiteman-Downes and Tim Wotherspoon.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Francis Burkitt declared a non-pecuniary interest on items of discussion regarding the City Deal as the Council's representative on the Greater Cambridgeshire City Deal Executive Board.

3. REGISTER OF INTERESTS

The Chairman reminded Members that they needed to update their register of interests whenever their circumstances changed.

4. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 17 November 2016, which then reconvened on 21 November 2016, were agreed as a correct record, subject to the amending of the word "Planning" to "Housing" in the first sentence of the fourth paragraph on page 20.

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Councillor Peter Topping explained that a Tackling Social Isolation Task and Finish Group was being set up, with Councillor Sue Ellington as Chairman. Councillors wishing to serve on the Group were invited to contact Councillor Ellington.

6. QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

None.

7. PETITIONS

None.

8. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS:

8 (a) Interim Polling Districts Review (Civic Affairs Committee, 9 December 2016)

Councillor Simon Crocker proposed and Councillor Robert Turner seconded the recommendation, as laid out in the report.

Council unanimously

AGREED the adoption of the following changes to the Council's scheme of Polling Districts and Polling Places:

(a) Deletion of the existing polling district of Fen Ditton (RA1), and creation of two new polling districts of Fen Ditton West (RA1) and Fen Ditton East (RA2). Residents in RA1 will continue to vote in Fen Ditton. Residents in RA2 will need to attend the polling station in Teversham to vote in the county elections next year. They will vote in Fen Ditton for other elections.

(b) Deletion of the existing polling district of Whittlesford South (WH2), with all WH2 properties being moved into the polling district of Whittlesford (WH1).

(c) Amendment of the appointed polling place for the polling district of Childerley (NL2) to incorporate the parish of Knapwell. This will allow electors in Childerley to visit the Knapwell station for the county elections next year.

(d) Creation of a new polling district of Trumpington Meadows (PG2), with all the properties within the boundary of the new polling district being moved from Haslingfield (PG1).

8 (b) Community Governance Review: Haslingfield Parish Council (Civic Affairs Committee, 9 December 2016)

Councillor Janet Lockwood, local member for Harston and Hauxton, proposed this recommendation by explaining that it had the support of the affected parish councils and local residents associations. Councillor Doug Cattermole, local member for Haslingfield, seconded the recommendation.

Councillor Charlie Nightingale proposed and Councillor Ben Shelton seconded the proposal that instead of Trumpington South the new parish should be named Fawcett due to the association the area had with the Fawcett family. Councillor Simon Crocker explained that the name Trumpington South had the support of the Civic Affairs Committee and the Trumpington Residents' Association and none of the parish council representatives at the meeting had objected to this name. He added that a future governance review would be required to set up a parish council and the residents could propose a name change then. Councillor David Bard cautioned against agreeing to give the parish a name which had not been consulted on. A vote was taken and with 6 votes in favour, 33 against and 3 abstentions the proposal was lost.

Council unanimously

AGREED

(a) Option B as laid out in the report, due to the support of local parish councils, local organisations and local residents for this option.

(b) That the new parish be named “South Trumpington”.

8 (c) Localised Council Tax Support Scheme (Finance & Staffing Portfolio Holder Meeting, 16 August 2016)

Councillor Simon Edwards proposed this recommendation, as Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder. He explained that the proposed scheme was affordable and he urged Members to support it. Councillor Peter Topping seconded the recommendation and Councillor Bridget Smith stated that the Liberal Democrat group supported it.

Council unanimously

AGREED to reaffirm the current Localised Council Tax Support Scheme for the Civic Year 2017-18.

8 (d) Annual Pay Policy Statement (Employment Committee, 26 January 2017)

Councillor Alex Riley proposed this recommendation, by explaining that that the Employment Committee had met that morning and had amended the recommendation as laid out in the agenda to include both the post of Joint Director Planning and Economic Development in the list of posts that apply to the policy and the inclusion of the Combined Authority Mayoral elections in the list of fees set by the Government. Councillor Riley also explained that the Local Pay Claim 2017 from UNISON was for noting and that any comments on the pay award should be made to the Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder who would decide the pay award after the budget had been set. Councillor Ray Manning seconded this proposal.

Councillor John Williams suggested that all staff should be paid the living wage and that the pay award should be determined before the budget was set. Councillor Edwards explained that when the pay award was set the Council was paying the living wage, but since then the Living Wage Foundation had adjusted the living wage figure.

Council unanimously

AGREED To approve the Pay Policy Statement, with the inclusion of the “Joint Director Planning and Economic Development” as the third bullet point in paragraph 1.1 and the inclusion of the words “Combined Authority Mayoral” in the list of election fees set by the Government as listed in the third paragraph under 14.1.

8 (e) Appointment of Two Councillors to the Scrutiny Committee of the Combined Authority

Councillor Peter Topping recommended that the Council appoint Councillor Alex Riley and Councillor John Batchelor as this authority’s representatives on the Scrutiny Committee of the Combined Authority. Councillor Bridget Smith seconded the proposal.

Council unanimously

AGREED to appoint Councillor Alex Riley and Councillor John Batchelor as this authority's representatives on the Scrutiny Committee of the Combined Authority.

Council also agreed to recommend to the Scrutiny Committee of the Combined Authority that its constitution should allow for substitute members to be appointed.

9. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILLORS

9 (a) From Councillor John Williams

Councillor John Williams asked his question as tabled.

Councillor Lynda Harford explained that this question was relevant to both the Housing and the Environmental Services Portfolio Holder. She reminded councillors that earlier in the meeting the Leader had announced that a Tackling Social Isolation Task and Finish Group was being set up. She then made the following points:

- The Council recognises the value of older people in the District.
- The Council manages 43 Sheltered Housing Schemes in 30 of our villages.
- The Council employs 11 Sheltered Estate Officers who work with tenants to organise outings and activities, which often take part in the communal rooms.
- The Council employs a team of Visiting Support Officers who help residents to find and access local groups. They also offer practical help with the claiming of benefits, paying of bills and purchasing essential supplies.

Councillor Williams recognised the support the Council was providing its own tenants, but asked what support was being offered to elderly residents who did not live in our council houses, particularly with regard to mobility. Councillor Harford responded by stating that the Council needed to both work with its partners to improve rural transport and to ensure that funding was secured from developers to help provide vital services including community transport.

9 (b) From Councillor Bridget Smith to the Leader of Council

Councillor Bridget Smith asked her question as tabled.

Councillor Lynda Harford explained that the issue of delivering housing was relevant to both the Housing and the Planning Portfolios. She explained that:

- The low housing figures were not unique to this area.
- The Government had recognised that this was a national issue and a White Paper was expected.
- The Council was keen to work with small and medium-sized house builders (SMEs) to deliver more houses, but recognised that many SMEs had moved away from house building.
- The Council championed self-build, especially those looking to use 21st century technology.
- The housing deal secured through devolution should speed up the delivery of houses.
- The refusal of planning applications that were then granted on appeal unnecessarily delays the process whilst giving false hope to residents opposed to

these developments.

In her supplementary question Councillor Bridget Smith expressed concern about the delay in the construction of housing after planning permission had been given and in particular the lengthy bureaucratic process that SMEs had to follow to build relatively small projects. Councillor Harford agreed that the Government's requirements were onerous and she requested that if there were any examples where councillors felt that the Council had not properly assisted a builder, particularly with the tendering process, they should contact her directly.

9 (c) From Councillor Aidan Van de Weyer to the City Deal Portfolio Holder

Councillor Aidan Van de Weyer asked his question as tabled.

Councillor Francis Burkitt explained that the Mouchel review had been carried out in spring 2016 and had recommended that instead of a larger number of officers working part-time, there should be a smaller number of officers working full-time as part of a dedicated City Deal team, which had now been established. The review had also recommended that the Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) and University representatives on the Greater Cambridge City Deal Executive Board should be given a vote, but as these representatives are not publicly elected they could not be permitted to vote in the spending of public money. This stance was supported by both the LEP and Cambridge University. The other recommendation that had not been accepted was an issue that had to be determined through the HR process.

9 (d) From Councillor Philippa Hart for the Leader of Council

The Chairman declared that the 30 minutes allowed for questions had expired. This meant that there was insufficient time to answer Councillor Philippa Hart's question and so a written response would be provided.

10. NOTICES OF MOTION

10 (a) Standing in the Name of Councillor Aidan Van de Weyer

Councillor Aidan Van de Weyer proposed this motion by stating that this was last proposed in November 2014 and since then the majority of votes at Council had been recorded in any case. He concluded that by recording its votes Council would show that it was both open and transparent.

Councillor Nick Wright seconded this motion by stating that all councillors had the courage to be elected and so should be prepared to show their residents how they had voted on the issues decided by Council. He added that recording how councillors had voted was also a useful historical record that could be referred to in future debates.

It was explained to members that full Council had the power to decide that all votes at full Council were recorded, but if it wanted votes at other committees of the authority to be recorded then the matter should be discussed by the Civic Affairs Committee before a decision was taken at Council. It was agreed that only the votes at Council should be recorded.

Council unanimously

AGREED The following motion:

that all votes at Council, except for those taken by affirmation and for appointments, be recorded in the manner described in Standing Order 16.5 (Recorded Vote) and the necessary amendments be made to the Constitution.

10 (b) Standing in the Name of Councillor Bridget Smith

Councillor Bridget Smith proposed the motion and spoke of the importance of having a transport strategy for Greater Cambridge that was based on accurate up-to-date evidence. Councillor John Williams seconded the motion and spoke of the need to reassure residents that important decisions and strategies were being decided on reliable information.

Councillor Francis Burkitt explained that he supported the motion, but pointed out that there were 19 other recommendations in the report, which were also worthy of the Council's support. Councillor Peter Topping also supported the motion and championed the need for independent validation of the City Deal's strategies. He added that these reviews needed to be publicly available.

In summing up Councillor Bridget Smith stated that the challenge was to ensure that the City Deal had a positive effect on the lives of the District's residents and she expressed concern that it was too focused on the city at the expense of the surrounding area.

The Council unanimously

AGREED The following motion:

In the light of the recently published City Deal external review, this Council strongly supports the recommendation:

> 'to undertake a refresh of the transport strategy for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire to ensure it is up-to-date and reflects the impact of any latest development patterns and other relevant changes.'

Furthermore, this Council supports the recommendation:

> 'to ensure the transport and economic evidence base is up-to-date.'

10 (c) Standing in the Name of Councillor David Bard

Councillor David Bard proposed this motion by stating that the Council had passed a motion in 2010 opposing a congestion charge in Cambridge. The situation had changed since then and he was asking the Council to confirm this position. He stated that only two cities in Britain had a congestion charge: London and Durham. London had an excellent transport system and Durham's congestion charge was restricted to a single road. Proposals for congestion charges for Manchester and Edinburgh had been rejected by 78.8% and 75% of the public respectively. Councillor Nick Wright seconded the motion.

The following points were made against the motion:

- The authority needed to wait and see the exact terms of any congestion charge before deciding whether to oppose it or not.
- There was insufficient evidence to make a definite decision.
- Funds generated by a congestion charge could be used to improve alternative modes of transport, including free parking at Park & Ride sites.
- Instigating a congestion charge could remove the need for other less palatable charges.
- The Council should be open to considering the wider benefits of a congestion

charge to avoid the allegation of nimbyism.

The following points were made for the motion:

- Motorists in our villages have no viable alternative to get to Cambridge.
- The cost of the charge would have to prohibitively high for it to make a difference to congestion in the city.
- A congestion charge would be a tax that would fall disproportionately on our residents.
- Infrastructure needed to be improved before a congestion charge could be contemplated.
- Concern was expressed at the cost of a referendum on this issue when residents' opposition was clearly known.
- Steps to improve traffic flow should be considered to reduce congestion.
- A congestion charge would not work in a city the size of Cambridge.
- A congestion charge could have a negative impact on Cambridge's economy.
- Congestion in London had not been reduced by the charge.

Regret was expressed on both sides of the debate that this issue had been politicised, although there was disagreement on who was responsible for this.

A vote was taken and were cast as follows:

In favour (31)
Councillors David Bard, Val Barrett, Brian Burling, Tom Bygott, Grenville Chamberlain, Graham Cone, Simon Crocker, Christopher Cross, Kevin Cuffley, Simon Edwards, Sue Ellington, Andrew Fraser, Roger Hall, Lynda Harford, Mark Howell, Caroline Hunt, Mervyn Loynes, Ray Manning, Mick Martin, David McCraith, Charlie Nightingale, Tony Orgee, Alex Riley, Tim Scott, Ben Shelton, Edd Stonham, Peter Topping, Richard Turner, Robert Turner, Bunty Waters and Nick Wright.

Against (8)
Councillors Henry Batchelor, Philippa Hart, Janet Lockwood, Bridget Smith, Hazel Smith, Ingrid Tregoing, Aidan Van de Weyer and John Williams

Abstain (2)
Councillors Doug Cattermole and Cicely Murfitt.

Therefore Council

AGREED the following motion:

While welcoming City Deal proposals to improve public transport access to Cambridge, this Council reiterates its opposition to a congestion charging scheme. A congestion charge would have the effect of selectively penalising those residents of South Cambridgeshire who currently have no realistic alternative to the car for travel into Cambridge for work.

11. CHAIRMAN'S ENGAGEMENTS

Those engagements attended by the Chairman and Vice-Chairman since the last meeting were noted.

12. DATES OF NEXT MEETINGS

Council noted that its next meeting will be held on Thursday 23 February 2017 at 2pm.

Council **AGREED** the following meeting dates:

- Thursday 25 May 2017 at 2pm
- Thursday 28 September 2017 at 2pm
- Thursday 23 November 2017 at 2pm
- Thursday 25 January 2018 at 2pm
- Thursday 22 February 2018 at 2pm

It was noted that whilst the Council meetings scheduled for July were cancelled in 2015 and 2016, an extra meeting could be held between May and September if necessary.

The Meeting ended at 4.05 p.m.

Agenda Item 9a

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Report To: Council 22 February 2017

Lead Officer: Interim Chief Executive

Appointment of Returning Officer for Combined Authority Election

Purpose

1. Council is required to appoint a Returning Officer responsible for organising and delivering the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority Mayoral elections in South Cambridgeshire.

Recommendations

2. It is recommended that the Interim Chief Executive be appointed Returning Officer for the South Cambridgeshire area for the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority elections to be held in May 2017.

Background

3. The Electoral Commission has advised each constituent Council that they must formally appoint a Returning Officer for each local area within the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority. This is a requirement of the Combined Authorities (Mayoral Elections) Order 2017.

4. The Interim Chief Executive has already been appointed as Local Returning Officer for the delivery of local elections, and has been appointed as Deputy Returning Officer for the County Council elections, also scheduled for May.

5. The appointed Returning Officer will be responsible for running the Combined Authority Mayoral elections at a local level (at a District Council or Unitary Council area).

6. The Chief Executive of East Cambs District Council has been appointed as the Combined Authority Returning Officer and will take the lead on the Mayoral elections across the Combined Authority Area.

Implications

7. In the writing of this report, taking into account financial, legal, staffing, risk management, equality and diversity, climate change, community safety and any other key issues, the following implications have been considered: -

Financial

8. The cost of the Mayoral election will be funded by the Combined Authority. For information, the estimated cost of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority elections for the South Cambridgeshire area is £154,382.00. In addition, there will be combined authority wide costs related to candidates address booklets and Combined Authority Returning Officer costs.

Background Papers

Where the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 require documents to be open to inspection by members of the public, they must be available for inspection: -

(a) at all reasonable hours at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council;

(b) on the Council's website; and

(c) in the case of documents to be available for inspection pursuant to regulation 15, on payment of a reasonable fee required by the Council by the person seeking to inspect the documents at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council.

Report Author: Andrew Francis – Electoral Services Manager
Telephone: (01954) 713014

REPORT TO: Council 23 February 2017
LEAD OFFICER: Director of Planning & New Communities

South Cambridgeshire Local Plan
Policy SS/5 - Waterbeach New Town: Boundary of the Major Development Site

Purpose

1. The purpose of this report is to recommend to a proposed modification to the boundary of the Major Development Site shown on Inset map H for the new town at Waterbeach (Local Plan Policy SS/5).

2. This is not a key decision.

Recommendations

3. It is recommended that Council agrees that a proposed modification to the Major Development Site boundary of Waterbeach new town (Policy SS/5) as shown on Inset Map H of the submitted Policies Map be put forward to the examination, as shown on the attached map

Reasons for Recommendations

4. Historic England is the public body responsible for England's historic environment. Their support for the Local Plan boundary of the submitted Local Plan was subject to requiring a setting study to see if any changes were necessary. Two such studies have been prepared and Historic England has now advised the Council of its preferred boundary for the Major Development Site insofar as it relates to the setting of Denny Abbey. The Council's position, as set out in the report to the Planning Portfolio Holder on 8 November and Council of 17 November 2016, is to take account of the advice of Historic England reached having given detailed consideration to the appropriate boundary in light of setting studies prepared since the submission of the Local Plan, in view of the objective to make best use of this strategic site whilst providing an appropriate setting to Denny Abbey. In this situation the submitted Local Plan boundary for the Major Development Site would not be sound without modification to reflect the views of Historic England.

Background

5. An important consideration in deciding where the northern limit of built development at the new town should be is the need to make best use of this strategic site whilst providing an appropriate setting for Denny Abbey, which is a Scheduled Monument and Grade 1 Listed Building. Please refer to the attached map which locates Denny Abbey, the northern limit of built development shown on Inset map H of the submitted Local Plan, and an alternative boundary which is the subject of this report.

6. The Local Plan report to the November Portfolio Holder meeting of 8 November 2016 and Council meeting of 17 November 2016 advised at paragraph 26 as follows:

7. The site promoters have also asked the Council and Inspectors to consider a revision to the northern boundary of the Major Development Site to extend it a little further north whilst retaining an appropriate setting to Denny Abbey scheduled monument. The Major Development Site defines the maximum extent of built uses but does not mean that the whole area will be built on. The northern boundary of the Major Development Site included in the submitted Local Plan sought to make best use of this former air base site whilst ensuring that an appropriate setting was retained for Denny Abbey. It was defined in consultation with Historic England (at that time English Heritage) following site visits, and they advised that setting studies were needed to confirm whether any refinements were justified. The promoters have prepared a joint position that is currently being considered by Historic England, but due to staff changes, Historic England has not been able to reach a view on it at the time of writing this report. If Historic England concludes that the boundary could be revised whilst retaining an appropriate setting to Denny Abbey, it would be consistent with the approach taken in the submitted Local Plan to consider modifications to give effect to such a change.

8. It was made clear to Members that if Historic England reached a view on the boundary that was at variance with the submitted Local Plan boundary, that a further report would be brought to Members.

Considerations

9. The submitted Local Plan boundary was agreed with English Heritage before the Local Plan was submitted for examination in March 2014, following site visits that included the site promoters. English Heritage made representations to the proposed submission Local Plan to this effect and advised that a setting study was needed to confirm whether any refinements to the boundary were justified.

10. Setting studies were subsequently prepared by each of the two site promoters. For RLW, a setting study is provided by a 'Denny Abbey and its setting: Analysis and Recommendations for Waterbeach New Town' document (November 2014), examination reference document RD/SS/320) informed by a 'Landscape and Heritage Appraisal of Denny Abbey and its Setting' (October 2013, submitted as part of their representations to the examination) examination reference document RD/SS/310. For Urban & Civic a setting study is provided by a 'Heritage Appraisal of the Proposed Development of Waterbeach MOD site and Neighbourhood' (April 2016, examination reference document RD/SS/330). The RLW study concluded that a different boundary to that in the submitted Local Plan was justified. That boundary would be one field further to the north on the east of the site, it would be pulled back further south due south of Denny Abbey, and extend further north on the west part of the site. The Urban & Civic study only looked at the western boundary and concluded that a boundary south of Denny Abbey could extend further to the north than the submitted Local Plan boundary (or that in the RLW study).

11. Officer reports to the 8th November Planning Portfolio Holders meeting and to Council on the 17th November 2016 advised that the site promoters had agreed a joint position on the boundary that was currently being considered by Historic England (the new name for English Heritage).

12. Since late November Council officers have explored whether it would be possible to agree a major development site boundary that would be acceptable to Historic England and the site promoters and met with Historic England in late January 2017.

13. Historic England has considered both of the promoters' studies and has now confirmed a clear preference for a northern limit of built development that follows the line in the RLW study which provides for an larger open landscaped buffer between Denny Abbey and the built edge of the new town due south of the Abbey, along the line of the ancient causeway route to the Abbey from the village. In doing so they have rejected the promoters' jointly agreed boundary.

14. Historic England's statement to the Local Plan examination hearings confirms this position and sets out the reasons why it prefers a different boundary to the submitted Local Plan, in order to provide an appropriate setting to Denny Abbey. It is attached as Appendix A.

15. The Historic England statement states (paragraph 23) that "Historic England's key area of concern with respect to the definition of the northern limit of development in relation to the setting of Denny Abbey is the area around the earthwork causeway orientated towards Soldiers Hill." It states (paragraph 21) that "the historic setting of Denny Abbey is centred on its remoteness. Located on a small island of raised, dry land on the fen edge, west of the River Cam and north of Waterbeach, it was accessed to the south by a short causeway linking it to routes south to Waterbeach". The heritage significance of Soldiers Hill located on this causeway is therefore particularly important and Historic England considers it important to "step development back" (paragraph 24) from the Abbey, further than in the submitted Local Plan in the area around the Causeway and Soldiers Hill.

16. However, Historic England considers that there are areas towards each end of the northern boundary that are further away from Denny Abbey and where additional land could be included in the Major Development Site whilst providing an appropriate setting to Denny Abbey (paragraph 25 bullet point 6).

17. The Council's position, as set out in the report to the Planning Portfolio Holder on 8 November and Council of 17 November 2016, is to take account of the advice of Historic England which has been reached in light of its detailed consideration of the appropriate boundary having regard to setting studies prepared since the submission of the Local Plan.

18. The boundary preferred by Historic England has given more detailed consideration to the land that should be retained as setting of Denny Abbey than was possible at the time the Local Plan was submitted and will ensure that the most sensitive part of the setting is reflected in the boundary of the Major Development Site. This alternative boundary would also provide a slightly larger development area than the boundary in the submitted Local Plan and so would make best use of the proposed allocation, a large part of which is previously developed land. Not all of this additional land will necessarily be built on and it is not proposed to increase the capacity of the site from approximately 8,000 to 9,000 dwellings. The location, design and treatment of

development along the northern boundary of the new town will be a matter for consideration in the proposed Supplementary Planning Document.

19. In this situation the submitted Local Plan boundary for the Major Development Site would not be sound without modification that reflects the views of Historic England.

20. It is recommended that a proposed modification to the Local Plan be put to the examination in the Council's hearing statement to amend the northern limit of built development boundary to that preferred by Historic England, as shown on the map at Appendix B. Council officers are finalising our own statement to the hearings this week, the deadline for submission of which being midday on Friday 17th February. This proposed modification it will be included in the Council's hearing statement with a note that this is subject to confirmation by full Council on the 23rd February. The Local Plan Examination Inspector will be advised of the decision of Council after the 23rd February.

21. The new boundary would then fall to be considered at the examination hearings. If the Inspector decides to amend the boundary it would be subject to sustainability appraisal and included in the public consultation into a number of plan modifications which will almost certainly be needed after all planned hearings into the Local Plan have taken place.

Options

22. Alternative Option 1: to not propose a proposed modification to the boundary of the Major Development Site shown on Inset Map H of the Local Plan.

23. Reason for rejection: The Local Plan Inspector will expect the Council to be able to respond to the alternative boundary at the Local Plan hearings into policy SS/5 for the new town now that Historic England have confirmed their support for a different boundary than the one included on Inset map H of the submitted Local Plan.

24. Alternative Option 2: to propose a modification that would state that the boundary of the Major Development Site would be determined in the SPD, and that it would be within a narrow band of land lying between and including the boundary of the Major Development Site shown on Inset Map H of the Local Plan and the boundary preferred by Historic England.

25. Reason for rejection: The key area of concern for Historic England lies around the ancient causeway between Denny Abbey and Waterbeach south of Soldiers Hill, where they have made a clear choice between the alternative boundaries based on the available setting studies and boundaries.

Implications

26. In the writing of this report, taking into account financial, legal, staffing, risk management, equality and diversity, climate change, community safety and any other key issues, there are no significant implications

Consultation responses

27. No substantive consultation has been possible in relation to this decision although Local District Members have been notified of the proposed modification to the Local Plan, and that if agreed by the Portfolio Holder, it will be subject to the decision of full Council on the 23rd February 2017.

Effect on Strategic Aims

Aim 2: We will work with partners to create and sustain opportunities for employment, enterprise and world leading innovation.

28. The plan modifications take account of the views of Historic England as a key stakeholder in the plan making process.

Aim 3: We will ensure that South Cambridgeshire continues to offer an outstanding quality of life for our residents.

29. The Council has a duty to secure sustainable development. This lies at the heart of national planning policy and covers all three aspects of sustainability – economic, social and environment. The proposed modifications have a focus on sustaining and enhancing the qualities of South Cambridgeshire that in national surveys consistently identify the District as one of the best places to live in the UK.

APPENDICES

A The Historic England hearing statement for matter SC6A (Policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town) (note includes Historic England plans 1 and 2)

B Map of the Proposed modification to the Local Plan to amend the northern limit of built development boundary to that preferred by Historic England

Background Papers

- LDA Denny Abbey Setting Study for RLW made up of the two documents referred to in this report. Examination reference library documents RD/SS/310 and RD/SS/320: https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/local-plan-core-documents-library
- Beacon Planning Heritage Appraisal for Urban and Civic referred to in this report. Examination reference library document RD/SS/330 at the above link.
- The Historic England hearing statement for matter SC6A (Policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town) which is attached as Appendix A

Report Author: Caroline Hunt – Planning Policy Manager
Telephone: (01954) 713196

This page is left blank intentionally.

Appendix A

Matter SC6A - Policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town
Historic England(formerly English Heritage) 874
Rep Nos 59748, 60250, 60253

Historic England

Examination of South Cambridgeshire Local Plan

Historic England, Hearing Statement

February 2017

Historic England Hearing Statement

Introduction

1. In carrying out its role in protecting and managing the historic environment Historic England gives advice to local planning authorities on certain categories of applications affecting the historic environment. Historic England is the principal Government adviser on the historic environment, advising it on planning and listed building consent applications, appeals and other matters generally affecting the historic environment.

2. Historic England is consulted on Local Development Plans under the provisions of the duty to co-operate and provides advice to ensure that legislation and national policy in the National Planning Policy Framework are thereby reflected in local planning policy and practice.

3. The tests of soundness require that Local Development Plans should be positively prepared, justified, effective and consistent with national policy. Historic England's representations in relation to the Publication Draft Local Plan are made in the context of the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework ("the Framework") in relation to the historic environment as a component of sustainable development.

4. This statement addresses the Inspector's questions with regards to Matter SC6A Policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town.

5. This hearing statement should be read alongside Historic England's comments submitted at previous consultation stages of the Local Plan.

Inspector's Questions

6. We set out below our responses to the Inspector's questions in light of our historic environment role.

Matter SC6A - Policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town

South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, Chapter 3, Strategic Sites, Policy SS/5

Issues:

1. General Policy

vii. Does the area of land identified on Inset H of the Policies Map provide sufficient capacity to achieve the quantum of development associated with the new town whilst ensuring that the setting and historic significance of Denny Abbey is preserved or enhanced?

Introduction

7. Policy SS/5 refers to 8,000 to 9,000 dwellings, along with associated infrastructure. In our representations we welcomed the opportunity for further discussion regarding the capacity of the site and the form of development when the setting study is completed.

8. Since that time, the developers have prepared two settings studies. There have also been on-going discussions between South Cambridgeshire District Council, Historic England and the developers to agree the precise boundary of the northern limit of development, informed both by the setting studies and also site visits.

9. These setting studies have helped to understand the significance of Denny Abbey and its setting and to assess the most appropriate northern limit for built development. This will, in turn, assist in determinin the ultimate capacity of the site.

10. Historic England is not in a position to reach a conclusion on the dwelling capacity at this stage. We consider that the precise dwelling capacity of the site will be dependent upon a design led approach to ensure the delivery of a sustainable new settlement that provides appropriate protection to the historic environment. To that end we welcome the Council's proposed modifications to policy SS/5 Waterbeach New Town.

11. However, we are in a position to suggest the most appropriate geographical extent of development. In summary, we propose a northern limit for development as shown on Plan 1. The rationale for our position is set out in more detail below.

Rationale for Historic England's Position in respect of the Northern Limit for Development

12. Historic England, formerly English Heritage, has commented on the potential development of a new town at Waterbeach in consultations on the Local Plan. The heritage issues raised by the proposal relate principally to ensuring that the setting, and therefore the significance, of Denny Abbey, to the north of Waterbeach airfield, is not harmed in the context of the overall scheme. Where changes are proposed to the setting that may impact on this significance, the proposals should minimise such harm, and address this through compensating enhancement measures ensuring that the Abbey and its public appreciation are sustained into the future.

13. Denny Abbey is a Scheduled Monument, and a grade I listed building. The site is in the guardianship of the English Heritage Trust, and open to the public via a management agreement with The Farmland Museum Trust. The site and its surroundings are assessed as having high sensitivity in terms of archaeological potential. The site also includes an unscheduled section of Car Dyke.

14. Policy SS/5 refers to 8,000 to 9,000 dwellings, along with associated infrastructure. In our representations we welcomed the opportunity for further discussion regarding the capacity of the site and the form of development when the setting study is completed. We noted, and welcomed the reference in part 1 b) to protection of Denny Abbey, and in part 6 to the preparation of an Area Action Plan. In particular we note and support, the references in policy SS/5 at 6 c), 6 m), 6 o), 6 p), 6 u), 6 ff).

15. Historic England has welcomed the opportunity to be involved in discussions between the proposers of the new settlement and the District Council. In our representations in 2013, Historic England made it clear that the preparation of a setting study, defining the significance of Denny Abbey and how that significance is experienced, will be a crucial part of the evidence base in determining the capacity of this location for development.

16. Our early conclusion in 2013, from a visual inspection on site, was that the boundary of the major development area defined on inset map H is generally appropriate. We note that within this area there will be provision for open space, and that the northern boundary does not necessarily equate to a built development line. The detail of the development in terms of location, scale, form and massing of new buildings, and in particular those in close proximity to Denny Abbey, was to be established through an Area Action Plan (AAP).

17. Since the submission of our representations in 2013 there have been two settings studies undertaken by the developers. *Denny Abbey and its Setting, 4th November 2014 produced by LDA for RLW Estates* and *a Heritage Appraisal – Proposed Development of Waterbeach MOD site and neighbouring land, April*

2016 produced by Beacon Planning for Urban and Civic. South Cambridgeshire District Council, Historic England and the developers have been in discussions to agree the precise boundary of the northern limit of development, informed both by the setting studies and also site visits.

18. In January 2015, a consensus had been reached on a proposed modification to the northern boundary between Historic England and RLW. Although not formally considered by South Cambs members, officers were informally content with the boundary from the middle of the site to the eastern boundary. In October 2016, Historic England reaffirmed their agreement to this line by e-mail to South Cambridgeshire District Council.

19. In Spring 2016, Urban and Civic/Beacon proposed an alternative buffer zone and extended/transition buffer zone.

20. In October 2016, South Cambridgeshire provided Historic England with details of the developers' jointly agreed new boundary for the northern limit of development. After careful consideration and a further site visit, Historic England proposed a northern line which broadly follows a previous RLW red line agreed in Jan 2015 with a slight variation at the eastern end to incorporate more land around Bannold Box Cottages within the limit of built development. This position was set out in an e-mail dated 5th December 2016. This line is shown on Plan 1.

Denny Abbey, the Causeway and Soldiers Hill

21. The origins of activity in the vicinity of Denny Abbey currently appear to date to the Roman period, and comprise buried archaeological remains and earthworks, including 'Soldiers Hill', close to the causeway, south of the abbey.

22. The historic setting of Denny Abbey is centred on its remoteness. Located on a small island of raised, dry land on the fen edge, west of the Rive Cam and north of Waterbeach, it was accessed to the south by a short causeway linking it to routes south to Waterbeach. This isolated, fen edge site was well connected to a productive agricultural landscape throughout its life as a monastery, until the post-medieval draining of the fens. It continues to be surrounded by the resultant farmland, characterised by linear dykes and large geometric fields; albeit modified by the twentieth century airfield to the south and modern development to the west. It is this present day landscape, with its links back to the medieval fen edge and fen that contributes to the significance of Denny Abbey. The present day visitor approaching and viewing the buildings, can still discern its micro-topography, the important link provided by the causeway and appreciate views out over a largely agricultural landscape.

23. Historic England's key area of concern with respect to the definition of the northern limit of development in relation to the setting of Denny Abbey is the

area around the earthwork causeway orientated towards Soldiers Hill. Our preferred alignment for the northern boundary is further south of Soldiers Hill than the developers' proposed boundary of Oct 2016. This area of land is considered by Historic England to be particularly important for a number of reasons.

a) Earthworks and materials dating the Roman period have been found at Soldiers Hill and provide tangible evidence of a Roman period settlement in close proximity to the abbey.

b) The later Benedictine Abbey founded on the site in the 12th century was made accessible from the south by the causeway to Soldiers Hill, linking the abbey to Waterbeach and the route to Cambridge.

c) These existing features link the present day landscape back to its Roman and Medieval origins and its links with the historic and medieval fen and make a substantial contribution to the significance of Denny Abbey and its setting.

24. It is for these reasons that we consider it important to step development back from the Abbey in the area around the Causeway and Soldiers Hill as shown on Plan 1.

Summary of background to various alternative lines for the northern limit of development

25. By way of background, Plan 2 provides a summary of the various lines proposed as the northern limit of development by different parties in recent years, as supplied by SCDC in October 2016. It shows;

- the submitted Local Plan Boundary (2013) (solid yellow line)
- RLW/LDA limit of built area (solid red line) (agreed between RLW and Historic England in Jan 2015)
- Urban and Civic/Beacon suggested Buffer Zone (solid green line) (Spring 2016);
- Urban and Civic/Beacon extended/transition Buffer Zone (solid light blue) (Spring 2016);
- the latest Joint Developers' proposed boundary (18th October 2016)(hatched purple line);

- Historic England's proposed line (5th December 2016) broadly follows a previous RLW red line agreed in 2015 with a slight variation at the eastern end to incorporate land around Bannold Box Cottages and to include this land within the limit of built development. This follows the original submitted Local Plan boundary at the eastern end. For the avoidance of doubt, Historic England's proposed line is shown in blue on Plan 1.

Conclusion

26. In conclusion, Plan 1 shows Historic England's preferred northern boundary of built development. This has been informed by the setting studies, site visits and discussions with the developers and Local Planning Authority. Our long held view has been that the development around Soldiers Hill should be set back from Denny Abbey to provide for an appropriate setting to the Abbey for the reasons set out above. This line will in turn inform the ultimate capacity of the site in terms of dwelling numbers through the detailed design stage.

Should the policy include a requirement for a setting study to be submitted at the planning application stage in respect of the relationship of the development to the designated heritage assets within the site?

27. Any application will need to be accompanied by an integrated Heritage Impact Assessment as part of the Environmental Assessment and will need to include chapters on Cultural Heritage/The Historic Environment and Landscape and Visual Assessment. This will need to incorporate the findings of the Denny Abbey setting studies that have been prepared. It should also include an assessment of other designated and non-designated historic assets.

x. Would other land not identified on Inset H of the Policies Map be required to facilitate storm and foul drainage arrangements?

28. If any additional land is needed to facilitate drainage arrangements, consideration will need to be given to the potential impact upon the historic environment.

iii. Paragraph 6p: Would the assessment of heritage assets also include World War II structures on the site which may be worthy of retention?

29. Yes, given the history of the site, it is important that any assessment of heritage assets also includes World War II structures on the site which may be worthy of retention. Historic England has prepared some initial work in this regard.

Waterbeach: Plan One - Historic England's Preferred Northern Limit of Development



Legend

- Submitted Local Plan Boundary 2013
- Historic England's Preferred Northern Limit of Development

Please Print at A3

Map Scale:	1:9,000
Print Date:	15 February 2017

Historic England

HistoricEngland.org.uk

Waterbeach: Plan Two - Alternative Proposals for the Northern Boundary



Legend

Boundary Information as supplied by SCDC

- Submitted Local Plan Boundary 2013
- RLW Limit of Built Area 2015
- Urban & Civic suggested Buffer Zone 2016
- Urban & Civic extended/transition Buffer Zone 2016
- Joint Developers' Proposed Boundary Oct 2016

Please Print at A3

Map Scale:	1:9,000
Print Date:	15 February 2017

Historic England

HistoricEngland.org.uk

This page is left blank intentionally.

APPENDIX B


Waterbeach
Major Development
Site Boundary
Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey Mapping with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings.
NO FURTHER COPIES MAY BE MADE. SCDC Licence 100022500 (2017)
WATERBEACH CP
Submitted Local Plan Major Development Site Boundary
Proposed Modification to the Major Development Site Boundary
Denny Abbey Scheduled Monument
SPD Boundary
0
0.4
kilometres
Scale: 1:18,510

This page is left blank intentionally.

Our Long Term Vision

South Cambridgeshire will continue to be the best place to live, work and study in the country. Our district will demonstrate impressive and sustainable economic growth. Our residents will have a superb quality of life in an exceptionally beautiful, rural and green environment.

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Corporate Plan 2017-2022

	LIVING WELL	HOMES FOR OUR FUTURE	CONNECTED COMMUNITIES	AN INNOVATIVE AND DYNAMIC ORGANISATION
Objectives	**Support our communities to remain in good health whilst continuing to protect the natural and built environment.**	**Secure the delivery of a wide range of housing to meet the needs of existing and future communities**	**Work with partners to ensure new transport and digital infrastructure supports and strengthens communities and that our approach to growth sustains prosperity**	**Adopt a more commercial and business-like approach to ensure we can continue to deliver the best possible services at the lowest possible cost**
What we will do to achieve these objectives	i. Proactive intervention to improve mental health and emotional wellbeing for all ii. Support our residents to stay in good health as they grow older, with access to the services they need iii. Ensure our new and established communities provide thriving, healthy, safe and attractive places to live iv. Support local businesses to improve the health of their employees v. Work with other councils, the NHS and public sector partners, to make sure families with the most complex needs are supported to improve their own health, prospects and prosperity vi. Improve existing private rented housing standards to ensure everyone can be safe and healthy at home	i. Influence developers to increase the pace of housing and infrastructure construction, including delivery of affordable housing ii. Increase the range of housing and tenure options for residents, including Right to Build and Starter Homes iii. Continue to progress the Local Plan to adoption iv. Help Parishes and villages wishing to shape their own futures by developing Neighbourhood Plans that address community priorities v. Find solutions for people facing homelessness vi. Secure a viable future programme for our Council houses vii. Lead the Combined Authority's housing investment programme	i. Support the delivery of City Deal and Combined Authority transport, housing, technology and skills programmes ii. Bring forward strategic transport improvements, with particular regard to A14, A428 and A1307 improvement proposals, the M11 corridor and an East-West rail link iii. Take a stronger leadership role in shaping economic growth for the area, through the development of shared economic strategies with partners iv. Support our villages to strengthen their communities and social networks, reducing isolation by improving access, delivering effective community-led services and targeted support for the rural economy	i. Develop strategies for the Council to take advantage of commercial and investment opportunities as they arise ii. Complete full integration of the Single Shared Household and Commercial Waste Services iii. Consolidate existing shared services and develop plans for shared Finance, Planning and Housing services iv. Deliver an Organisational Development Strategy that ensures that our staff and councillors have the skills and behaviours required to embrace new ways of working and address the challenges ahead v. Develop a strategy to ensure we make the right investments in technology and support increased customer digital self-service, whilst ensuring quality traditional contact channels remain for those requiring them

	Living Well	Homes for our Future	Connected Communities	An Innovative and Dynamic Organisation
What success will look like	(i) The district is a healthy place to live for all (ii) Positive outcomes from strategy implementation around health, housing and inclusion (Health and Well-being, Ageing Well, Older People's Housing). (iii) New and established communities are thriving and attractive and have the facilities they need, where they need them. The South Cambridgeshire Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership works together to deal with local crime and anti-social behaviour issues. (iv) Businesses report improved employee health outcomes and associated business benefits (v) Together for Families partnership initiatives contribute to positive outcomes for residents with the most complex needs (vi) Private rented interventions effectively address substandard housing	(i) The desired pace of housing delivery is met or exceeded. New homes completed and occupied on major growth sites (ii) Households have a broader choice of housing in South Cambridgeshire. Innovative and viable new housing options identified (iii) A Local Plan is adopted, setting out a clear long term spatial vision for the district (iv) Parishes wishing to adopt Neighbourhood Plans successfully do so (v) Residents are helped to avoid homelessness, with associated costs contained (vi) The Council has a viable long-term business plan for its homes, providing the supply and choice of affordable homes to meet future demand, and the support to help residents maintain tenancies	(i) City Deal, Combined Authority and Local Enterprise Partnership joint working provides the infrastructure, skills and housing to ensure the area continues to be recognised for its economic success and world-leading innovation (ii) Strategic transport improvements delivered, reflecting the needs of the district, enabling major developments to progress and improving connectivity between existing communities (iii) The Greater Cambridge region continues to thrive economically (iv) All residents and businesses have access to Superfast Broadband. Business support programme successfully delivered, strengthening the rural economy Communities are supported to identify and list valued rural amenities as Assets of Community Value. Community transport initiatives increase access to rural communities, reducing isolation	(i) Commercial activities deliver service enhancements and income surpluses for the Council. The Council maintains a balanced Medium Term Financial Strategy (ii) Single Shared Waste Service achieves savings targets, income surpluses, improved customer satisfaction and increased recycling (iii) Shared services realise business benefits around savings, service quality and resilience. (iv) Recruit and retain staff who are equipped and motivated to maintain and enhance performance levels and deliver corporate objectives. Members possess the knowledge and skills they need to be effective decision-makers, scrutineers and community leaders, achieving positive outcomes for residents. (v) Customers, Staff and Councillors have quick and seamless access to the technology and communication channels they need
How we will measure this	Case studies and feedback from businesses Public health framework indicators Satisfaction with waste services and local environmental quality Participation rates in sports and health initiatives	Planning and Development Delivery agreement performance, customer satisfaction and % of appeals allowed General and affordable housing delivery and % of affordable housing agreed on major developments % HRA Budget Variance Households in temporary accommodation and helped to avoid homelessness Housing Advice caseload % rent and Council Tax collected Housing Benefit claims average determination days and % of Discretionary Housing grant spent Responsive repairs customer satisfaction and days to re-let voids	Planning application and Development Delivery Agreement performance; Housing and strategic transport scheme delivery % of Business Rates collected % of non-disputed invoices paid in 30 days Major infrastructure scheme completion, affordable homes delivered, apprenticeships created Case studies and feedback Business satisfaction with regulatory services Community transport coverage Local economic indicators	Performance against Ermine Street Business Plan % General Fund Budget Variance % of household waste sent for recycling Staff sickness absence, turnover and feedback from surveys Contact Centre first time call resolutions, abandoned calls and average wait duration. E-forms submitted using website self-service facilities % of website survey respondents who rate the page being viewed as good % of complaints responded to within target timescale Benefits realisation from shared services and corporate programme delivery % of bins collected on due date

The Cabinet and Executive Management Team (EMT) have collective responsibility for ensuring Corporate Plan delivery.

South Cambridgeshire District Council

REPORT TO: Council 23 February 2017

LEAD OFFICER: Interim Chief Executive

Medium Term Financial Strategy, General Fund Budget 2017-18 (including council tax setting), Housing Revenue Account Budget 2017-18 (including housing rents), Capital Programme and Treasury Management Strategy

Purpose

1. Council are asked to consider and approve the attached financial strategies and budgets.

1.1 As part of the 2017-18 budget process, the range of assumptions upon which the General fund (GF) and Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Medium-Term Financial Strategies and HRA Business Plan were based have been reviewed in light of the latest information available, culminating in the preparation of the budget setting reports (BSRs).

1.2 The BSRs provide an overview of the review of the key assumptions. They set out key parameters for the detailed recommendations and final budget proposals, and are the basis for the finalisation of the 2017/18 budgets.

1.3 The resulting recommendations refer to the strategy outlined in the BSRs.

1.4 The BSRs are presented to Cabinet and Council, to allow consideration, scrutiny and approval of revenue and capital expenditure and resources which form part of the GF and HRA budgets and proposals for the review of rents and service charges.

1.5 This is a key decision because it results in the authority incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the Council's budget and it was first published in the December Forward Plan.

Recommendations

2 Council are asked to:-

Revenue and capital – GF

(a) Approve the revenue estimates for 2017-18 as shown in the **GF BSR Section 5**.

(b) Approve the precautionary items for the GF, **GF BSR Appendix B**.

(c) Approve the GF revenue forecasts as set out in **GF BSR Section 6**.

(d) Instruct the Executive Management Team to identify additional income / savings of £163k for 2017-18, rising to £1,531k from 2018-19.

(e) Approve the GF capital programme and associated funding up to the year ended 31 March 2022, as set out in **Appendix D**.

(f) Instruct the Head of Finance, on the basis of the proposals set out in the GF BSR, to prepare formal papers to set the council tax requirement and amount of council tax at the Council meeting on 23 February 2017.

(g) Set the Council Tax Requirement for 2017-18 at £8,234,344.17.

(h) Set the amount of Council Tax for each of the relevant categories of dwelling in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 on the basis of the District Council Tax for general expenses on a Band D property of £135.31 plus the relevant amounts required by the precepts of the Parish Councils, Cambridgeshire County Council, the Cambridgeshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the Cambridgeshire Fire Authority, details of those precepts and their effect to be circulated with the formal resolution required at the Council meeting.

Revenue – HRA

(i) Approve the HRA revenue budget as shown in the HRA Summary Forecast 2016-17 to 2021-22 in **Appendix I of the HRA Budget Setting Report at Appendix 2** to this report.

(j) Approve the retention of the balance of the 4 year savings target included as part of the 2016-17 HRA Budget Setting Report to mitigate the impact of some of the changes in national housing policy, recognising that the net savings proposed from 2017-18 over-deliver against the profile of £250,000 per annum for 4 years, reducing the balance to be sought in the remaining 3 years to £600,480.

Review of Rents and Charges

(k) Approve that social housing rents for existing tenants be reduced by 1%, in line with legislative requirements, with effect from 3rd April 2017.

(l) Approve that affordable rents are reviewed in line with rent legislation, to ensure that rents charged are no more than 80% of market rent, with this figure then reduced by 1% as with social housing. Local policy is to cap affordable rents at the lower level of Local Housing Allowance, which will result in a rent freeze from 3rd April 2017.

(m) Approve inflationary increases of 2.4% in garage rents for 2017-18, in line with the base rate of inflation for the year assumed in the HRA Budget Setting Report.

(n) Approve the proposed service charges for HRA services and facilities provided to both tenants and leaseholders, as shown in **Appendix B of the HRA Budget Setting Report**.

Housing Capital

(o) Approve the latest budget, spend profile and funding mix for each of the schemes in the new build programme, as detailed in **Section 5 and Appendix E of the HRA Budget Setting Report**, recognising the most up to date information available as each scheme progresses through the design, planning, build contract and completion process.

(p) Approve earmarking of the required level of additional funding for new build investment between 2017-18 and 2021-22 to ensure that commitments can be met in respect of the investment of all right to buy receipts retained by the authority, up to the end of December 2016. This expenditure will either take the form of HRA new build, with the 70% top up met by capital receipts anticipated from the sale of self-build plots or could alternatively be grant made to a registered provider, where the registered provider will provide the 70% top up to build new homes.

(q) Approve the capital budget proposals, both bids and savings, detailed in **Appendix G(2) of the HRA Budget Setting Report**.

(r) Approve the capital amendments, detailed in **Appendix H of the HRA Budget Setting Report**, which include the capital proposals in **Appendix G(2),** along-side re-profiling of investment, increase and re-allocation of resource for new build schemes and budget to fund the up-front costs for self-build plots, with the latter fully met from the resulting capital receipt.

(s) Approval of the revised Housing Capital Investment Plan as shown in **Appendix J of the HRA Budget Setting Report**.

Treasury Management

(t) Approve the borrowing and investment strategy for the year to March 2018, **Appendix F**.

(u) Note the amendment to the capital financing requirement table, as described below and approve the prudential indicators required by the Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities for the year to 31 March 2018, **Appendix G**.

(v) Approve the Capital Strategy 2017-18 to 2021-22, **Appendix H**.

(w) Approve any unspent New Homes Bonus money allocated to the City Deal to be rolled into 2018-19.

General

(x) Gives delegated authority to the Interim Chief Executive to issue the final version of the Estimates Book, incorporating any amendments required from the council's decisions.

Reasons for Recommendations

3 The GF HRA Budget Setting Reports, Treasury Management Strategy Reports and Capital Strategy are presented for decision following consideration and review of the both internal and external factors which affect the council's financial position.

Executive Summary

4 The budget setting report provides an opportunity to consider any changes in the financial context of both the GF and the HRA, allowing review of external factors such as inflation and interest rates. It provides the opportunity to update assumptions in respect of the day to operation of the business and allows recognition of the anticipated impact of major changes in national housing policy as legislation is passed and information surrounding the anticipated regulations begins to emerge.

5 The report requests approval the revenue and capital budgets for the GF for 2017-18 and the recommendation to Council of the council tax requirement for 2017-18 and the District council tax on a band D property, with the formal resolution to be presented at the Council meeting on 23 February.

6 The report also requests approval to set both rents and service charges for 2017-18 and both the revenue and capital budgets for the HRA for 2017-18, in the context of longer-term financial forecasts.

7 The report also provides an opportunity to consider key strategic risks and levels of reserves. Furthermore, it presents the impact of a number of sensitivities to which the housing business plan, in particular, is subject.

Background

8 The HRA is a ring-fenced area of the Council's activity, and represents the landlord activity which the authority carries out as a stock retaining authority. All other council activities are accounted for within the GF.

9 Budgets are set in February of each year, following presentation and consideration of the budget setting reports. MTFSs for the each of the GF and HRA are presented for consideration and approval in November each year, allowing review of key assumptions and the resulting impact on the business. These MTFSs set out the strategic approach to budget setting for the following year, in the context of longer term forecasts.

Considerations

10 These are set out in detail in the appendices:-

- Appendix A – General Fund (GF) budget setting report
- Appendix B – Housing Revenue Account (HRA) budget setting report
- Appendix C – Capital strategy 2017-18 to 2021-22
- Appendix D – Capital programme and funding to 31 March 2022
- Appendix E – Financial administration (S 25 Report)
- Appendix F – Borrowing and investment strategy 2017-18
- Appendix G – Prudential indicators for 2017-18

11 The capital financing requirement table on page 2 of Appendix G – Prudential indicators has been reviewed and revised between version 1 of the BSR (Cabinet) and version 2 (Council). The General Fund capital financing requirements for 31 March 2019, 2020 and 2021 have been increased by £1.200m, £3.152m and £6.026m respectively. This revision has no implications for the council's 2017-18

budget. These figures are estimates and are reviewed and revised annually reflect updated capital expenditure and capital financing plans. The revised table is reproduced below.

	31/03/2016 Actual £ million	31/03/2017 Estimate £ million	31/03/2018 Estimate £ million	31/03/2019 Estimate £ million	31/03/2020 Estimate £ million	31/03/2021 Estimate £ million
General Fund	5.182	5.578	37.780	57.133	81.310	100.536
Housing Revenue Account	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429
Total	**209.611**	**210.007**	**242.209**	**261.562**	**285.739**	**304.965**

12 Consideration needs to be given to the fluid nature of some of the assumptions that are required to be incorporated into the financial forecasting for the HRA, particularly in relation to the impact of some of the changes in national housing policy, where the continued absence of detailed regulations in some areas has resulted in the retention of a best estimate of the impact at a local level, until this is available.

13 Assumptions will need to be continually reviewed and amended as information is made available and any changes in the economic environment become apparent.

14 The draft revenue and capital estimates for both the GF and HRA are published alongside this report and can be viewed at the following link: http://scambs.moderngov.co.uk/ecCatDisplay.aspx?sch=doc

Options

15 The options for setting the level of council tax are set out in Section 3 of the GF BSR, along with the impact on the net savings requirement.

16 The HRA budget setting report identifies the financial impact of a number of scenarios for the future of the business, modelling the impact of changes in key assumptions and presented as part of the sensitivity analysis at Appendix F of the report.

Financial

17 As detailed in the report and appendices.

Legal

18 The pressure to reduce budgets and the continuation of a poor financial settlement could adversely affect the provision of statutory services. Officers will be required to seek legal advice in relation to a number of the national changes in housing policy as the regulations are released by Central Government.

Staffing

19 There are no direct staffing implications associated with this report. The identified need to make savings in the HRA next year and for the following 3 years is likely to have implications for staff, all of which will be fully explored with Human Resources once they are known.

Risk Management

20 Risks and controls concerning financial projections in the MTFS are included in the strategic risk register, which is appended to the position statement report elsewhere on this agenda.

21 A summary of the key risks to the GF MTFS are summarised in section 8 to the GF BSR included at Appendix A.

22 An annual update to the assessment of the key risks which the HRA faces in financial terms was included as part of the HRA Medium Term Financial Strategy in November 2016.

Equality and Diversity

23 There are potential equality and diversity implications associated with some of the bids and savings proposed in this report. Where proposed budgetary changes are anticipated to have an equalities impact, the service manager responsible for the area will need to complete an Equalities Impact Assessment.

Climate Change

24 There is no direct climate change impact associated with this report.

Consultation responses (including from the Youth Council)

25 There has been no formal tenant or leaseholder consultation in the preparation of this strategic report. Consultation with tenants and leaseholders may be required as part of the preparation of future reports, particularly when savings are being proposed that may affect service delivery, with service levels impacted.

Effect on Strategic Aims

26 The determination of the budget, council tax and rents will provide resources for the council to continue its services in order to achieve all its strategic aims as far as possible within the current financial constraints.

Objective B – Homes For Our Future

27 The HRA Budget Setting Report seeks to provide a strategic update in respect of the financial position for the HRA in the context of change in national housing policy, culminating in proposals for the authority to be able to set a budget for 2017/18. The provision of affordable homes to meet the housing need in the district remains a key consideration for the HRA both in the short term, and for the life of the 30 year business plan.

Background Papers

Where the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 require documents to be open to inspection by members of the public, they must be available for inspection: -

(a) at all reasonable hours at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council;
(b) on the Council's website; and
(c) in the case of documents to be available for inspection pursuant to regulation 15, on payment of a reasonable fee required by the Council by the person seeking to inspect the documents at the offices of South Cambridgeshire District Council.

Report Author: Caroline Ryba, Head of Finance
Telephone: (01954) 713072
caroline.ryba@scambs.gov.uk

Suzy Brandes, Principal Accountant (General Fund and Projects)
Telephone: (01954) 713101
suzy.brandes@scambs.gov.uk

Julia Hovells, Business Manger / Principal Accountant
Telephone: (01954) 713071
julia.hovells@scambs.gov.uk

Sally Smart, Principal Accountant (Financial and Systems)
Telephone: (01954) 713076
sally.smart@scambs.gov.uk

This page is left blank intentionally.

Appendix A

Version 2
Council

Budget-Setting Report 2017-18

February 2017

2017-18

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Version Control

	Version No.	Revised version / updates for:	Content / Items for Consideration
	1	Scrutiny Committee (7 February 2017)	Budget overview and proposals
		Cabinet (9 February 2017)	Budget overview and proposals
Current	2	Council (23 February 2017)	Final Proposals to Council Incorporating updates relating to; - Final Local Government Finance Settlement 2017/18 and grant determinations
	3	Council (Final)	Approved Budget-Setting Report incorporating - Decisions of Council

Anticipated Precept Setting Dates

Cambridgeshire Police and Crime Commissioner	Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Fire Authority	Cambridgeshire County Council
1 February 2016	9 February 2016	14 February 2016

Contents

Appendices

Section 1
Introduction

Purpose

The Budget-Setting Report (BSR) is designed to provide an integrated view of the council's finances and outlook. It covers General Fund (GF) revenue and capital spending, highlighting the inter-relationships between the two, and the resultant implications. Detailed budget proposals for the Housing Revenue Account are presented and considered separately from this report.

On 17 November 2016 the Cabinet approved the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS). The MTFS set out the financial strategy for the council in light of local and national policy priorities, external economic factors and the outlook for public sector funding. The MTFS also reviewed key assumptions and risks, thereby confirming the framework for detailed budget work for 2017/18 and beyond.

The BSR reviews the impacts of developments since the MTFS and sets the financial context for the consideration of detailed recommendations and budget finalisation to be made at council on 23 February 2017. The document proposes a detailed budget for the next financial year, and indicative budget projections for the following four years.

Background

The financial planning context for the BSR is set by the MTFS. This identified a total net savings requirement of £780k over the next 5 years comprising £450k staff turnover and £330k other net savings, over the next 5 years.

These savings requirements stem from significant reductions in government funding, unavoidable cost increases and pressures. Considerable levels of risk and uncertainty remain, including the possible impacts of the review of business rates retention and associated additional responsibilities, business rates revaluation as at April 2017 and the future of New Homes Bonus. Whilst the council has a record of identifying and delivering savings though service reviews and value for money improvements, many such savings

have already been delivered and it is becoming more difficult to identify and deliver further savings and efficiencies.

The council continues to deliver a programme of on-going transformation targeted at the way it delivers services and interacts with residents, tenants and other parties. There is an increasing emphasis on identifying and implementing proposals for income generation to make the council more financially sustainable. This BSR builds on what has been achieved, with particular emphasis on the continuing delivery of transformation projects, including shared services with neighbouring.

Key dates

The key member decision-making dates are as follows:

Date	Task
2017	
7 February	Scrutiny and Overview Committee
9 February	Cabinet recommends the budget to Council
23 February	Council approves the budget and sets the council tax for 2017/18

Section 2
Local and national policy context

Local policy context

Corporate Plan

The Corporate Plan sets out the strategic objectives for the council for the years 2016-21. It sets out key activities the council will undertake in order to achieve its strategic objectives and deliver its vision. Success measures and key performance indicators (KPIs) are shown, as are lead portfolio holders and officers. The Corporate Plan provides a key component of the local policy context looking forward over the five year period it covers. It has been updated to reflect structures and responsibility changes.

Review of demographic factors

Demographic factors impact on the council's financial strategies in terms of their effect on the level of demand for services, the specific types and nature of services and the income available to the council through council tax.

Projected increases in the number of dwellings within the Greater Cambridge area could amount to 9% over the next five years. Services consider and scenario-plan for the impacts of this growth. The direct budgetary impact of increased population could be a simple proportional uplift of service costs. However in other cases, a review of the current model of service delivery may be required, factoring in not only growth in population and dwellings, but also changes in demand, changes in the nature of that demand and the available funding envelope.

City Deal

The council is working with Cambridgeshire County Council, Cambridge City Council, the University of Cambridge and the Greater Cambridge Greater Peterborough Local Enterprise Partnership to deliver infrastructure, housing and skills targets as agreed with Government in the Greater Cambridge City Deal. The deal consists of a grant of up to £500

million, to be released over a 15 to 20 year period, expected to be matched by up to another £500million from local sources, including through the proceeds of growth.

The City Deal will help Greater Cambridge to maintain and grow its status as a prosperous economic area. The deal is working to:

- create an infrastructure investment fund
- accelerate the delivery of 33,000 planned homes
- enable delivery of 1,000 extra new homes
- deliver over 400 new Apprenticeships for young people
- provide £1bn of local and national public sector investment, enabling an estimated £4bn of private sector investment in the Greater Cambridge area
- create 45,000 new jobs
- create a governance arrangement for joint decision making between local councils

The Greater Cambridge City Deal Executive Board engaged organisations and the public through the summer and autumn of 2016 on a set of proposals for tackling peak-time congestion in Cambridge. The City Deal team are assessing more than 9,000 consultation responses received. Proposals for how to achieve the objectives of moving people into and around the city and surrounding area will be developed during 2017. Whatever proposals are ultimately implemented may have impacts on the Council services, such as the Shared Waste Service. The service and financial impact of such measures will be factored into the council's financial planning in more detail as the impacts become clearer.

The council, with other local authority partners, have agreed to create an investment and delivery fund from a proportion of New Homes Bonus (NHB). As a result of this, the BSR considers the application of funds from NHB, earmarking future uncommitted funding in line with the expected levels of contribution to the fund. This is covered further in Section 3, below.

Devolution

In Cambridgeshire and Peterborough, the councils and other major public services have come together to identify current barriers to economic growth and opportunities for further efficiency in major public services. A scheme has been developed with central government which devolves powers and functions to a Combined Authority with a directly

elected Mayor, where these powers and functions can be more effectively carried out at a local level, rather than by national government and its agencies.

The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Devolution Deal delivers:-

- a new £20m annual fund for the next 30 years to support economic growth, development of local infrastructure and jobs
- £100m for non-Housing Revenue Account (HRA) affordable, rent and shared ownership housing
- A further £70m for affordable housing in Cambridge, to build new council homes
- Government support for developing a university at Peterborough
- A Peterborough Enterprise Zone
- A local integrated job service
- A National Work and Health Programme
- A devolved skills and apprenticeship budget
- Potential rail improvements, including new rolling stack and improved King's Lynn – Cambridge – London rail
- Potential acceleration of transport improvements, including the A14/A142 junction and upgrades to the A10 and A47
- Further integration of local health and social care resources to provide better outcomes for residents

The council and its partners have agreed to the establishment of the Combined Authority. Work now continues to finalise arrangements and implement this decision, with mayoral elections planned for May 2017. At present, no financial impact from this decision on the council is expected, but this will be kept under review.

National policy context

Economic factors

2016 has seen a number of developments in the UK, EU, US and beyond that have a major impact on economic forecasts. These include Brexit and the result of the US Presidential election. These have caused volatility in currency, bond and stock markets around the world and make forecasting fraught with difficulty. In particular, the decline in the £ sterling against the US Dollar has increased inflation rate expectations. At the time of writing,

considerable economic uncertainty remains. For example, economic forecasters will need to consider:-

- A range of outcomes possible in relation to Brexit negotiations.
- Possibly slowing of import and export growth as new trading arrangements are negotiated.
- The eventual timing of the UK leaving the EU
- Changes to net migration figures and their impact on the economy

However, by making assumptions and judgements, the Office of Budget Responsibility (OBR) forecasts a reduction in GDP growth, increases in CPI inflation, declines in business investment and private consumption and some small rises in unemployment. The chart below, showing a range of forecasts for real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) illustrates the level of future uncertainty.



Source: ONS, OBR

Forecasts confirm that the government is unlikely to achieve a balanced budget in the current parliament. Originally a budget surplus was projected for 2019/20 but the OBR now forecasts a deficit of £21.9bn. Public sector net borrowing is now expected to fall more slowly than previously forecast, reflecting weaker tax receipts and a more subdued outlook for economic growth following the Brexit referendum result.

As a result the Chancellor has proposed a looser 'fiscal mandate' with the objective to 'return the public finances to balance at the earliest possible date in the next parliament'.

Bank of England Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation forecasts from quarterly inflation reports are as follows:

Forecast (%)	2016	2017	2018	2019
GDP – November 2015	2.5	2.6	2.5	-
GDP – August 2016	2.0	0.8	1.8	-
GDP – November 2016	2.2	1.4	1.5	1.6
CPI – November 2015 (Q4)	1.2	2.1	2.2	-
CPI – August 2016 (Q3)	0.8	1.9	2.4	-
CPI – November 2016 (Q4)	1.3	2.7	2.7	2.5
CPI – MTFS October 2016	-	1.9 (2017-18)	2.4 (2018-19)	2.4 (2019-20)

These inflation forecasts show an under-provision of inflation in the MTFS of approximately 0.8% in 2017-18 (£34k) and 0.3% in 2018-19 (£13k). The rates have been updated in the revised financial forecasts submitted with the BSR, however these amounts are small in relation to expenditure.

Interest rates

Interest rates are set by the Bank's Monetary Policy Committee. The MPC sets an interest rate it judges will enable the inflation target to be met. At its meeting ending 3 August 2016, the MPC voted for a package of measures designed to provide additional support to growth and to achieve a sustainable return of inflation to the target of 2%. This package included a 25 basis point cut in Bank Rate to 0.25%. This rate has remained unchanged since that decision, with market expectations that the bank rate will remain at this level well into 2019.

The 2016 Autumn Statement

The Government published the Autumn Statement on 23 November 2016.

In the light of the deteriorating economic context, the government has chosen to borrow to invest in infrastructure and innovation targeted at improving productivity. Government

departments will continue to deliver spending plans set at Spending Review 2015. The efficiency review announced at Budget 2016, designed to deliver £3.5bn of savings, was reaffirmed. As a result government department spending control totals are unchanged and are expected to grow with inflation in 2020-21 and 2021-22.

The statement contained few items of relevance to the council, with little or no impact on the council's GF budget:

- Lettings agent fees will be banned. This will affect the council's housing company.
- The government has confirmed the transitional scheme to be applied to the 2017 revaluation for business rates.
- The national Living Wage will be increased by 4.2% to £7.50/hour from April 2017.
- Employer and employee thresholds for National Insurance (NI) will be aligned, simplifying the payment of NI for employers.
- Reforms to off-payroll working rules in the public sector will move responsibility to councils for operating these rules, increasing the administrative burden.

However, the statement included a number of announcements relating to housing that are relevant to and provide opportunities for the council. Where applicable to the Housing Revenue Account (HRA), they are addressed in the HRA BSR which is presented alongside this report. Housing announcements included:-

- A £2.3bn Housing Infrastructure Fund to deliver infrastructure to support the building of 100,000 new homes in high demand areas. This will be allocated to local government on a competitive basis. Once details are available, the council along with local partners will consider making a bid for this funding.
- An additional £1.4bn of funding for building an additional 40,000 homes from the Affordable Homes Programme.
- A confirmation that the Pay to Stay scheme would be voluntary for councils.
- The cap on Housing Benefit and Local housing Allowance rates in the social rented sector will be delayed by one year to 2019.

The government also announced that in future there will be one major fiscal event per year in the autumn. There will be both a spring and autumn Budget in 2017. Thereafter the OBR will produce a spring forecast and the government will make a Spring Statement to respond to that forecast.

Section 3
General Fund resources

Local government finance settlement 2017-18

In December 2015, as part of the provisional local government settlement, a four year funding guarantee was offered to councils that submit an efficiency plan. The council's plan has been accepted by government, confirming revenue support grant (RSG) and baseline levels of business rates for 2016-17 to 2019-20.

The provisional finance settlement was published on 15 December 2016. It provides provisional figures for 2017-18 and indicative figures for the following two years. However, certain elements are subject to the funding guarantee described above. The government has responded to its consultation on New Homes Bonus (NHB), with initial reductions coming through into the settlement figures presented below. Certain aspects of the proposed changes to this funding stream remain to be decided; these are covered in more detail in the section below on NHB.

Uncertainty remains for 2018-19 and beyond, as the government continues to develop the 100% business rates retention scheme. This work includes identifying further responsibilities to devolve to councils to match higher levels of business rates retention and a review of needs and distribution.

Core spending power

Element of core spending power (£000)	2016-17	2017-18 Provisional	Change	2018-19	2019-20
Settlement Funding Assessment (SFA):					
- Revenue Support Grant (RSG)	926	230	(75.2%)	-	-
- Business rates baseline	2,422	2,470	2.1%	2,552	2,642
- Business rate tariff adjustment					(660)
Total SFA - per 2016/17 finance settlement	**3,348**	**2,700**	**(19.3%)**	**2,552**	**1,982**
- Rural Services Grant	130	105	(25.3%)	81	105
- Transition Grant	76	76	(0.4%)	-	-
New Homes Bonus (NHB) grant[1]	5,265	3,932	(19.3%)	3,002	2,880
Council tax income[1]	7,852	8,279	5.4%	8,717	9,166
Core spending power	**16,668**	**15,092**	**(9.5%)**	**14,352**	**14,133**

[1] – Figures based on government projections



These figures imply a decrease of 9.5% in core spending power over 2016-17, including a confirmed decrease of over 19% for NHB. It should be noted that the future size of the NHB income stream is under review, see below, and that government projections are based on assumptions relating to council tax yields (a combination of increases in council tax and in the tax base). The core spending power measure, based on illustrative amounts for NHB, therefore shows a decline of 15.2% over the four years of the spending review period.

The only material change in the SFA from that included in MTFS November 2016, is the removal of the business rate tariff adjustment in 2018-19 in line with the 2016-17 settlement, as SFA funding has been guaranteed following the government's acceptance of the council's efficiency plan.

Future prospects

The provisional settlement provides confirmed amounts for the SFA for 2017-18 and the following two years. However NHB and therefore core spending power is not guaranteed by the multiyear settlement.

SFA	**2016-17 £000**	**2017-18 £000**	**2018-19 £000**	**2019-20 £000**
Provisional finance settlement	3,348	2,700	2,552	1,982
MTFS 2016 projection	3,553	2,881	2,352	1,963
Shortfall (-) / Excess (+)	**0**	**1**	**200**	**19**
NHB				
Provisional finance settlement[1]	5,265	3,932	3,002	2,880
MTFS 2016 projection	5,265	3,486	4,246	4,849
Shortfall (-) / Excess (+)	**0**	**446**	**(1,244)**	**(1,969)**

[1] – The 2017-18 amount has been confirmed by government. Later amounts are as presented in the finance settlement papers and have been calculated by government by apportioning available funding across councils based on 2017-18 figures.

No adjustments have been made for the proposed 100% retention of business rates (see below) as the outcome of consultation and development work has yet to be finalised.

Local retention of business rates

The SFA approach enables local authorities to benefit directly from supporting local business growth. The assessment includes a baseline level of business rates receivable (indexed linked from an initial assessment in 2013-14) with the level of rates receivable above that being taken by government as a 'tariff' – which will be used to 'top-up' local authorities who would receive less than their funding level. Government intends that this will be fixed until 2020.

In addition, the council can retain 50% of any business rates collected above the assumed baseline level, paying the remainder to central government as a 'levy'. If business rates income falls to less than 92.5% of the baseline, the council receives a 'safety net' payment so that any loss of income below the baseline is capped at 7.5%

One of the challenges faced by all authorities is effectively predicting the level of movement in the business rate tax base. This is dependent on accurately forecasting the timing and incidences of new properties, demolitions and significant refurbishments – together with the consequent effect on valuations. This is further complicated by the need to assess the level of appeals that will be lodged successfully against new / revised valuations, together with their timing.

Although there has been growth in the tax base in the area since the scheme started in 2013/14, there have also been significant reductions as a result of the settling of appeals against rateable value (including backdated aspects).

Forecasting the effects and timing of new development and redevelopment on the area's tax base remains difficult. Significant changes include the introduction of three Enterprise Zones within the district at Cambourne, Waterbeach and Northstowe, and the transfer of Papworth Hospital facilities to Cambridge, followed by redevelopment of the Papworth site. The business rates taxbase could also be impacted by an outstanding application from a network provider to transfer their hereditaments from the council's list to the central list. Together, the potential loss of business rates income from the Papworth site and the network provider have impacted forecasts of business rates income to the council by around £600k per year. Additionally, there are significant uncertainties around the operation of the business rates retention scheme in the next few years. These include:

- The DCLG is currently working with local authorities and other interested parties on changes to the local government finance system to pave the way for the implementation of 100% business rate retention by the end of the parliament. A first set of consultations took place in summer 2016. The review may rebalance the distribution of business rates away from district councils towards those authorities with social care responsibilities, for example by changing the tariff and top-up payments, or the relative shares of income between the tiers of local government. The government has also indicated that 100% retention will mean the transfer of additional funding burdens to local government. The exact timing of the change or whether it will be phased in is not clear.

- A rates revaluation at 1 April 2017. The Valuation Office Agency issued draft ratings lists on 30 September. The business rates multiplier will also be revised so that the overall national business rates bill will only rise in line with inflation. Although intended to be fiscally neutral overall, it will be difficult for the impact of the revaluation to be completely neutral for every authority. Although the council's share of income is expected to increase, the government will make a compensating adjustment to the tariff paid by the council, and is currently consulting on how this will be calculated.

The appeals position remains difficult to forecast accurately, with appeals settled elsewhere in the country having knock-on effects nationally. NHS Foundation Trusts, including those in the area, are also pursuing a claim for award of mandatory charitable relief, backdated a number of years.

Given these uncertainties the BSR takes a cautious approach to forecasting business rates income. The overall position is currently projected to reflect potential losses in rateable value due to transfers to the central government list, partly offset by growth in enterprise zones areas.

In addition to the current national business rates retention scheme the government announced a pilot 100% retention scheme for Cambridgeshire in spring 2015. This scheme additionally allows the council to retain an extra 50% of any growth above the 2015-16 baseline, inflated by the multiplier and 0.5% each year. The detailed regulations covering this have yet to be made. The Council accrued additional income of £1.5m for 2015-16.

New Homes Bonus

Provisional New Homes Bonus (NHB) allocations for 2017-18 were announced alongside the provisional Local Government Finance Settlement. The allocation of £3,926k is £440k higher than included in the November 2016 MTFS.

This masks two significant changes in the calculation on the bonus, following consultation in 2016. Specifically:-

In 2017-18 the council will receive NHB based on the past five years increases in housing stock, rather than on six years, as before. In 2018-19 and beyond, this will reduce further to four years. The MTFS assumed four years from 2017-18, reflecting the Government's preferred option in the 2016 consultation.

A deadweight of 0.4% of growth has been applied to the current and all future years. No NHB is due on the first 0.4% of growth, equivalent to about 280 properties per year, or £1.37m over the four years that NHB is payable on additional properties. The government reserves the right to change the deadweight percentage year on year, creating a mechanism that could be used to limit the total NHB payable.

Additionally, future reductions will be applied where councils have not submitted a Local Plan, or where houses have been allowed on appeal. Details on how this will work will be subject to further consultation.

The settlement provides illustrative NHB allocations to authorities for 2018-19 and 2019-20 by apportioning the total available funding over councils on the basis of the percentage allocation for 2017-18. The table below shows how this illustration compares the NHB modelled by the council in the November MTFS, and as updated now to reflect the changes above and the revised housing trajectory.

NHB projections	2017-18 £000	2018-19 £000	2019-20 £000	2020-21 £000	2021-22 £000
MTFS November 2016	3,486	4,246	4,849	5,525	4,658
MTFS January 2017	3,926	3,037	3,179	4,064	5,614
Government's illustrative allocations December 2016	3,926	3,002	2,880		



NHB is currently used to fund £1.8m of General Fund growth expenditure and small amounts of revenue expenditure on infrastructure projects supporting growth. Currently 50% of NHB is set aside as a contribution to the City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund, with remaining amounts reserved for the A14 upgrade contribution. However, the council's revenue expenditure and the A14 upgrade contribution take priority over the contribution to the City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund.

Projections of future NHB indicate that it will not be possible to maintain the 50% contributions to the City Deal. After discussions with partners and considering various options it has been agreed that City Deal contributions will be reduced to 40%. The following table illustrates the impact of contributing 40% of gross NHB receipts to the City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund.

New Homes Bonus	**2017-18 £000**	**2018-19 £000**	**2019-20 £000**	**2020-21 £000**	**2021-22 £000**
Confirmed NHB funding at February 2016 BSR	3,513	2,066	1,051	-	-
Add					
Confirmed NHB receipts for 2016-17	414	414	414	414	-
Estimated NHB receipts for 2017-18	-	558	558	558	558
Estimated NHB receipts for 2018-19	-	-	1,158	1,158	1,158
Estimated NHB receipts for 2019-20	-	-	-	1,936	1,936
Estimated NHB receipts for 2020-21	-	-	-	-	1,965
Potential New Homes Bonus Total	**3,927**	**3,038**	**3,181**	**4,066**	**5,617**
Commitments against NHB					
Contribution to GF	1,803	1,803	1,803	1,803	1,803
Infrastructure Projects	200	285	65	15	15
Contribution to City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund	1,571	1,215	1,272	1,626	2,246
Contribution to A14	-	-	-	-	5,000
Use of Infrastructure reserve fund	-	-	-	-	(3,447)
Total commitments against NHB	**3,574**	**3,303**	**3,140**	**3,444**	**5,617**
Surplus / (Deficit) for the year allocated to the Infrastructure reserve fund	**353**	**(265)**	**41**	**622**	**0**

Fees and charges

In line with increases in income assumed within the MTFS, proposals for increases to fees and charges are set out in Appendix A.

Earmarked and specific funds

In addition to general reserves, the council maintains a number of earmarked and specific funds held to meet major expenditure of a non-recurring nature or where the income has been received for a specific purpose but not yet spent. Details of opening and closing balances, with approved/anticipated use over the budget period are set out in Appendix D.

The major earmarked and specific funds are listed below with balances as at 1 April 2016.

New Homes Bonus Infrastructure Reserve - £4,502k

NHB monies the authority receives from the government, which are not allocated to the City Deal or used towards GF expenditure previously funded by Housing & Planning Delivery Grant or to meet Local Plan and associated costs; the A14 contribution will eventually come from this reserve.

Renewables Reserve - £675k

Business Rates Growth Reserve - £1,507k

Set up at the end of 2015-16 in order to fund an investment programme to build new sources of renewable energy.

Pension Deficit Reserve - £1,049k

A reserve created to manage the costs of deficit recovery contributions to the Cambridgeshire Local Government Pension Scheme over the next few years.

Planning Enforcement Reserve - £500k

The reserve has been established to meet legal and other costs arising from planning enforcement actions. This reserve is to be maintained in case of major enforcement and will be topped back up if used.

Business Efficiency Reserve - £290k

Set aside to meet costs associated with council actions, implementation of the Business Improvement and Efficiency Programme and Commercialisation Programme projects and the Shared Services Programme. The Leaders of Cambridge City Council, Huntingdonshire District Council and SCDC have committed £200,000 towards the costs of the 3C Programme Office over two years.

Business Accommodation Reserves - £172k

Consists of the Cambourne Office reserve relating to the access road, the Facilities Reserve created to spread the cost of repairs and a fund to support the Business Hub initiative.

Sustainability -climate change - £117k

Set aside to fund future initiatives on sustainability projects such as the one recently delivered on the Cambridge Green Deal. There are likely to be an increased number of these with the development of Northstowe and other growth area developments.

Private Stock Condition Survey - £60k

Set aside to fund a future survey on the condition of private housing in the district. This is part of a Housing Standards initiative. It was a statutory obligation imposed on local authorities to undertake a survey of this nature every 5 years - £15k is set aside from budget each year to meet these 5 year costs.

Children & Young People- £59k

Set side to fund the current and future costs of the South Cambridgeshire and Cambridge City Children and Young People Area Partnership. The council provides financial support and administers the finances on behalf of the Area Partnership, these are the funds held on that account.

Land Charges- appropriations - £50k

Set aside to either provide capital investment in Land Charges e.g. electronic service delivery or to offset unforeseen revenue demands that accrue but cannot be recovered through the current fee structure, set at the start of the year. The reserve has been accumulated in recent years from high income levels which have out-stripped costs; it is envisaged to draw down from this fund in the current year.

Planning Reserves - £974k

Major Developments Fees Reserve - £202k

Northstowe Reserve - £128k

These two reserves have been established from pre-app and planning application fees received in respect of Northstowe and other major developments, identified separately in recognition of their importance, to be called on as and when necessary to ensure planning teams are resourced to support and progress applications for those developments. The current BSR includes an assumption that these reserves will be released for this purpose, however, it has been agreed that any surplus income from the Major Developments in the year will be put back into the relevant reserve.

Parish Liaison & Site Development Reserve - £251k

The balance remaining from the Planning Enforcement Reserve when it was decided to reduce that reserve from a maximum of £1m to £500,000, set aside to fund two two-year fixed term posts, one in housing and one in planning, to support parish liaison and site development initiatives.

Planning Fee Reserve excl Northstowe - growth agenda - £179k

Service Contingency - Planning - £102k

These are general use reserves to be used to support Growth budget or additional Planning service requirements as and when necessary.

Planning other - £111k

Includes Enforcement of unauthorised developments, Habitat Regulations Assessment, Legal costs: re Northstowe Trust.

Shared Waste Service - £85k

Set up to meet the authority's share of costs resulting from implementation of the Single Shared Waste Service with Cambridge City Council. The reserve will be converted into a saving and has been reduced from £126,000 in February 2016 MTFS.

Tax base and council tax

Tax base

The tax base is one element in determining both the level of council tax to be set and the amount it is estimated will be collected. This calculation is governed by regulation and the formal setting of the tax base is delegated to the council's Chief Finance Officer to enable notification to be made to the major precepting authorities during January each year.

The tax base reflects the number of domestic properties in the district expressed as an equivalent number of band D properties, calculated using the relative weightings for each property band. The calculation of the tax base takes account of various discounts (for example a 25% discount for single adult households) exemptions and reliefs. Allowances are also made for the projected growth in the number of dwellings as well as including a deduction assumed for non-collection.

The tax base for 2017-18 has been calculated as 60,855.4. This reflects a 1.0% increase in the tax base compared with 2016-17.

Collection fund

Operation of the fund

The collection fund is a statutory fund, maintained by billing authorities such as the council, into which income from council tax and business rates is recorded and out of which respective amounts set for the year, are paid to the council and precepting bodies.

Forecast position at 31 March 2017

The collection fund for council tax is projected to have a surplus at the end of the current year of £355,060. The council's share of this projected year-end surplus is £45,779 and has been taken into account in setting the council's budget for 2017-18. The position for business rates was described in Section 2.

Council tax thresholds

Under the Localism Act, local authorities are required to hold a local referendum if they propose to increase Council tax above the relevant limit set by the Secretary of State.

In recent years this threshold has been set at 2%, with some shire districts, including this council, permitted to increase their element of council tax by up to £5, where this is higher

than 2%. For 2017-18, the government has proposed that all shire districts can raise council tax for a band D property by £5.

The overall effect of the referendum requirements is such that a local authority would need to have reasonable expectation of public support for a level of council tax increase deemed to be excessive compared to the threshold, if acting in a prudent manner.

Council tax level

The following options are presented in this report:

- **Recommended option** - £5 p.a. each year to 2019-20 and 2% thereafter.
- **Option A** - £5 in 2017-18 and 2% thereafter
- **Option B** - 2% in 2017-18 and each year thereafter

The table below shows the impact of each option on the band D council tax for 2017-18, the council tax requirement and the MTFS savings requirement.

Council tax increase	Band D council tax 2017-18 £	Council tax requirement 2017-18 £000	Full year savings requirement by 2021-22 £000	Resulting MTFS is presented at:
Recommended option - £5 p.a. each year to 2019-20 and 2% thereafter	135.31	8,235	1,981	Section 6
Option A - £5 in 2017-18 and 2% thereafter	135.31	8,235	2,440	Appendix C(a)
Option B - 2% in 2017-18 and each year thereafter	132.92	8,089	3,123	Appendix C (b)

The table below illustrates the impact of the preferred option on the level of District council tax for each property band.

	District council tax 2016-17 £	District council tax 2017-18 £	Difference £
Band A	86.87	90.21	3.34
Band B	101.35	105.24	3.89
Band C	115.83	120.28	4.45
Band D	130.31	135.31	5.00
Band E	159.27	165.38	6.11
Band F	188.23	195.45	7.22
Band G	217.18	225.52	8.34
Band H	260.62	270.62	10.00

Section 4
General Fund revenue budgets

Revised budget 2016-17

GF revenue budgets for the current year (2016-17) were reviewed as part of the MTFS. It should be noted that the revised budget includes carry forward approvals from 2015-16. No adjustment of 2016-17 revenue budgets is proposed, as budgets are monitored monthly through the review of variances and forecast outturns, and management actions taken to ensure that spending is controlled and income optimised.

Budget 2017-18

Detailed budget estimates have been prepared for 2017-18, incorporating pressures, savings and additional income identified in the MTFS in November 2016. The resulting budget estimates are presented in Section 5. The GF revenue projections for 2018-19 to 2021-22 have been reviewed and changes proposed. These proposals are listed below and the resulting GF revenue forecast is presented in Section 6.

Budget estimates reflect the alignment of overhead recharging across the council and its shared services partners, Cambridge City Council and Huntingdonshire District Council. This has been done to ensure comparability between the councils, but has caused movements in recharges between portfolios and services. Further changes, particularly in the method of allocation of staff time, have been made to prepare for the implementation of the new financial management system. Whilst these changes do not impact the overall costs of the council, when combined with normal, expected budget changes they do make direct year-on-year comparison more difficult, see table below.

NET EXPENDITURE	Estimate 2016-17 £000	2016-17 Inflated by 2% £000	Estimate 2017-18 £000	Difference £000
Portfolio				
Leader	400	408	344	(64)
Finance and Staffing	2,852	2,909	3,480	571
Corporate and Customer Services	1,905	1,943	1,940	(3)
Economic Development[1]	215	219	238	19
Environmental Services	6,313	6,439	6,511	72
Housing (General Fund)	1,463	1,493	1,645	152
Planning	2,672	2,725	2,675	(50)
Strategic Planning and Transportation	693	706	1,084	378
Fully Allocated Net Portfolio Expenditure	**16,512**	**16,843**	**17,917**	**1,074**

[1] There is currently no portfolio holder for the Economic Development portfolio. However, it is not practical to reallocate the budget at present.

Significant budget variations

The summary above shows an increase of just over £1.4m from 2016-17 to 2017-18, of which £330k can be attributed to inflation. Whilst there are many individual movements on the constituent budgets, the £1,074k remaining change can be analysed as follows:

NET EXPENDITURE	£000	
Housing - increase in Homelessness costs	190	The precautionary item for homelessness costs has been reduced to reflect the increase in this budget
Planning and New Communities – increase in staff costs in excess of increased income levels	300	See below. Savings of £300k are planned for 2018-19 to return costs to expected levels
Pension deficit recovery payment increase	310	Recommended increase as a result of the triennial valuation of the pension fund
Reinstate staffing budgets to match establishment	80	Where a full time post is partly filled by a part time post holder, staffing budget have been reduced. This budget increase returns the budget to fully fund established posts.
Various	194	Miscellaneous budget changes
Change in fully allocated net portfolio expenditure	**1,074**	

Estimates of staffing costs within Planning and New Communities have increased by over £900k reflecting increased workloads and the use of interim staff. This cost is matched by existing increases in income of approximately £300k and an additional income target for Development Control of £300k for 2017-18. This additional income will be achieved through a new fee and charging structure to be implemented in year, allowing better cost recovery in this area. Further savings of £300k will be required in 2018-19 to return costs to expected levels. These further savings, achievable through reductions in temporary staffing, have been applied to net expenditure in 2018-19.

MTFS projected savings and pressures

The following savings and pressures have been identified in the MTFS period 2018-19 to 2022-23, and are included in the five year GF revenue forecasts presented in this report.

Savings and pressures	**2018-19 £000**	**2019-20 £000**	**2020-21 £000**	**2021-22 £000**
Reduction in Benefits Administration grant	12	20	61	94
Reduction in the number of councillors from 57 to 45 from 2018-19	(58)	(58)	(58)	(58)
Apprenticeship levy reclaim for training	(36)	(36)	(36)	(36)
Eforms investment, further savings above £13k already budgeted	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)
Shared waste service, council's share of savings from round optimisation	(150)	(150)	(150)	(150)
Refuse / recycling growth in property numbers	124	174	224	274
Elections, adjustment to budgets to reflect cycle of all out elections	120	(120)	(120)	(120)
Refuse vehicles, cost to meet new emissions specification	102	92	92	92
Planning policy, Local Plan	(120)	(40)	(107)	50
Total (savings) / pressures	**(13)**	**(125)**	**(101)**	**139**

As a result of adding these savings and pressures to the revenue forecasts, a net savings target of £1,981k has been identified. This includes the ongoing savings target of £450k attributed to staff turnover and a further £1,531k to be identified.

Strategy to deliver net savings target

There are a number of ways that the council will address the net savings target:

- The council's housing company, Ermine Street Housing Limited (ESH), is expected to expand, requiring further loans from the council to buy houses for market rent. To date, returns forecast in the company's business plan have been achieved a year ahead of schedule. However, whilst increased returns are expected to be significant, the timing and amounts are dependent on the local housing market and general economic factors. It is not, therefore, considered prudent to rely on these returns alone to meet the council's savings requirements.
- Further opportunities will be sought to identify and develop income streams through the commercialisation of council services where appropriate.
- Opportunities for investment of council funds, for example, in commercial property and green energy projects, will be explored.
- A programme of zero-based budget reviews will be scoped and assessed, with a view to ensuring that funding matches service requirements and savings are taken where available.

The following table compares the net savings requirement against the potential savings identified and give a brief indication of the risks associated with each.

Savings	2017-18 £000	2018-19 £000	2019-20 £000	2020-21 £000	2021-22 £000	Risks
Net savings target	**613**	**1,981**	**1,981**	**1,981**	**1,981**	
Potential savings						
Staff turnover savings	450	450	450	450	450	Experience shows that this is usually achievable, however no one service or manager is responsible for delivery, so achievement must be monitored over the council throughout the year.
Increased returns from ESH	-	694	1,244	1,827	2,157	Returns are dependent on the local housing market and general economic factors. Slippage may occur.
Commercialisation	Opportunities to be assessed					Risks will depend on the opportunities identified, but will include the lack of capacity and skills to deliver.
Investment of council funds (Example - £10m @ 2% above cash returns	200	200	200	200	200	Risks will depend on the investment chosen but will include the failure to identify suitable investments, and the achievement of forecast returns.
Zero-based budget reviews	Opportunities to be assessed					Risks will depend on the opportunities identified, but will include the lack of capacity and skills to deliver. Reviews may also identify the need to increase budgets.
Total potential savings	**650**	**1,344**	**1,894**	**2,477**	**2,807**	

Precautionary items

These are items of expenditure which may or may not occur and are listed in Appendix B. The Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder and the Chief Finance Officer have delegated authority to approve such expenditure. A budget of £75k has been assigned for precautionary items, but if this is exceeded spending up to the level indicated will be met from reserves.

Section 5

General Fund: Expenditure and funding 2017-18

NET EXPENDITURE	Estimate 2016-2017 £000	Estimate 2017-2018 £000
Portfolio		
Leader	400	344
Finance and Staffing	2,852	3,480
Corporate and Customer Services	1,905	1,940
Economic Development	215	238
Environmental Services	6,313	6,511
Housing (General Fund)	1,463	1,645
Planning	2,672	2,675
Strategic Planning and Transportation	693	1,084
Fully Allocated Net Portfolio Expenditure	**16,512**	**17,917**
Reduction for vacancies	(450)	(450)
City Deal Funding Contribution	2,633	1,570
(Surplus)/Deficit on Infrastructure Reserve Fund	276	353
Expenditure not included in Portfolio Estimates	17	0
Savings not included in Portfolio estimates	**(300)**	**(163)**
Expenditure on Precautionary Items	75	75
Council Actions	50	50
Net Portfolio Expenditure	**18,812**	**19,352**
Internal Drainage Boards	195	198
Interest on Balances	(511)	(800)
Capital Charges, etc.	(675)	(864)
Accounting Basis Adjustments incl pensions and impairment	0	0
Net District Council General Fund Expenditure	**17,822**	**17,886**
Appropriation to/(from) General Fund balance	(1,884)	0
Provision/Contingency for business rates appeals/revaluations	1,800	0
New Homes Bonus	(5,265)	(3,926)
General Expenses (Budget Requirement for capping purposes)	**12,472**	**13,960**
Revenue Support Grant	(926)	(230)
Rural Services Grant	(130)	(105)
Transition Grant	(76)	(76)
Retained Business Rates and Grant	(3,604)	(3,752)
(Surplus)/Deficit on Collection Fund re Council Tax	(38)	(46)
(Surplus)/Deficit on Collection Fund re Business Rates	153	(1,518)
Demand on Collection Fund to be raised from council taxpayers	**7,852**	**8,234**

Section 6

Five year General Fund revenue forecast 2017-18 to 2021-22

The revenue forecast set out on the following page is based on the preferred option of £5 increase in Band D council tax each year from 2017-18 to 2019-20 and 2% annual increases thereafter. This is the recommended option.

This option shows a saving requirement of £613k in 2017-18, rising to £1,981k thereafter. These savings include £450k staff turnover savings managed within year. Therefore, new savings of £163k remain to be found in 2017-18, and a further £1,531k thereafter.

Further five year revenue forecasts are included at Appendices C(a) and C(b), showing respectively:

- **Option A** - £5 in 2017-18 and 2% thereafter
- **Option B** - 2% in 2017-18 and each year thereafter

General provision for Inflation	2.1%				2.7%		2.7%		2.5%		2.5%		2.5%
			Projected		Projected		Projected		Projected		Projected		Projected
Assuming council tax increases of £5 in 2016-17 to 2019-20; 2.0% thereafter	Estimate		Estimate		Estimate		Estimate		Estimate		Estimate		Estimate
	2016/17		2016/17		2017/18		2018/19		2019/20		2020/21		2021/22
	£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000
EXPENDITURE													
Fully Allocated Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,512		16,649		17,917		18,032		18,327		18,879		19,605
Add Precautionary items/Council actions/other	142		142		125		125		125		125		125
Less Planning Policy funded by New Homes Bonus	(554)		(554)		(200)		(285)		(65)		(15)		(15)
Rollovers from 2015-16 to 2016-17			530										
Financial Position Report (Q2 2016-17)			(29)										
Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,100		16,738		17,842		17,872		18,387		18,989		19,715
Net Interest on balances other than ESH	(511)		(404)		(212)		(202)		(187)		(167)		(132)
Internal Drainage Boards, Reversal of Depreciation and Minimum Revenue Provision	(479)		(479)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)
District Council General Fund Expenditure	**15,109**		**15,854**		**16,963**		**17,003**		**17,533**		**18,155**		**18,916**
Additional income/(savings) to maintain working balance in the year	**(750)**		**(500)**		**(613)**		**(1,981)**		**(1,981)**		**(1,981)**		**(1,981)**
Expenditure including savings	**14,359**		**15,354**		**16,350**		**15,022**		**15,553**		**16,174**		**16,936**
INCOME													
Revenue Support Grant (including negative tariff adjustment)	(926)		(926)	-48.6%	(230)	-75.2%			661		661		661
Rural Services Grant	(130)		(130)		(105)		(81)		(105)		0		0
Transition Grant	(76)		(76)		(76)								
Retained Business Rates	(3,604)		(3,604)		(3,752)		(3,493)		(3,020)		(3,071)		(3,123)
ESH net interest			(536)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)
(Surplus)/Deficit on Council Tax Collection Fund	(38)		(38)		(46)		0		0		0		0
(Surplus)/Deficit on Business Rates Collection Fund	153		153		(1,518)		0		0		0		0
Council Tax to be raised from council taxpayers	(7,852)		(7,890)		(8,234)		(8,673)		(9,190)		(9,590)		(9,977)
Provision/Contingency for business rates appeals/revaluations	1,800		1,800										
New Homes Bonus (contribution to the GF)	(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)
District Council General Fund Income before appropriation from reserve	(12,475)		(13,049)		(16,350)		(14,637)		(14,045)		(14,390)		(14,830)
Appropriations to/(from) General Fund working balance	(1,884)		(2,305)		0		(385)		(1,508)		(1,784)		(2,106)
District Council General Fund Income	**(14,359)**		**(15,354)**		**(16,350)**		**(15,022)**		**(15,553)**		**(16,174)**		**(16,936)**
	Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number
Tax Base for Tax Setting Purposes including discount for localised council tax support	60,257.0		60,551.1		60,855.4	0.5%	61,814.1	1.6%	63,246.9	2.3%	64,702.8	2.3%	65,998.9
Basic Amount of Council Tax	£		£		£		£		£		£		£
District only	130.31	3.99%	130.31		135.31	3.8%	140.31	3.7%	145.31	3.6%	148.21	2.0%	151.17
Impact on Council tax of using savings and appropriations from reserves		Average		44.72	10.07		38.27		55.15		58.18		61.92
Underlying Council Tax with no appropriations from the General Fund Balance or Savings	£		£		£		£		£		£		£
	174.03		176.64		145.38		178.58		200.46		206.39		213.09
Balances at Year End	£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000
General Fund (recommended minimum level £2.5 million)	(8,703)		(8,282)		(8,282)		(7,897)		(6,390)		(4,606)		(2,500)

Section 7
Capital

The GF and HRA capital programme are presented together in Appendix D to the main report (Note: not GR BSR Appendix D.)

Section 8
Risks and reserves

Risks and their mitigation

Risks and sensitivities

The council is exposed to a number of risks and uncertainties which could affect its financial position and the deliverability of the proposed budget. These risks include:

- Savings plans may not deliver projected savings to expected timescales;
- Assumptions and estimates, such as inflation and interest rates, may prove incorrect;
- Funding from central government (NHB and other grants) may fall below projections;
- The actual impact and timing of local growth on the demand for some services may not reflect projections used;
- The economic impact of the United Kingdom leaving the European Union may impact the council's income and expenditure, for example, planning fee income and inflation on good and services;
- Increases in council tax and business rates receipts due to local growth may not meet expectations;
- Business rates appeals, which may be backdated to 2010, may significantly exceed the provision set aside for this purpose. In particular, claims for mandatory charitable relief in relation to NHS hospitals may adversely impact business rates income;
- The business rates revaluation, due to come into effect in April 2017 may reduce business rates receipts and increase the level of appeals;
- The impact of 100% business rates retention, coupled with any additional responsibilities handed down to the council at that time, may create a net pressure on resources;
- New legislation or changes to existing legislation may have budgetary impacts; and
- Unforeseen capital expenditure may be required.

Section 25 Report

Section 25 (s. 25) of the Local Government Act 2003 requires that the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) reports to the authority, when it is making the statutory calculations required to determine its council tax or precept, on the following:

- The robustness of the estimates made for the purposes of the calculations, and
- The adequacy of the proposed levels of financial reserves.

This includes reporting and taking into account:

- the key assumptions in the proposed budget and to give a view on the robustness of those assumptions;
- the key risk areas in the budget and to assess the adequacy of the council's reserves when reviewing the potential financial impact of these risk areas on the finances of the council; and
- it should be accompanied by a reserves strategy

This report has to be considered and approved by full council as part of the budget approval and council tax setting process.

The majority of the material required to meet the requirements of the Act has been built into the key reports prepared throughout the corporate budget cycle, in particular:

- MTFS 2016
- The corporate plan and the budget reports to the February cycle of meetings.

This reflects the fact that the requirements of the Act incorporate issues that the council has, for many years, adopted as key principles in its financial strategy and planning; and which have therefore been incorporated in the key elements of the corporate decision-making cycle.

This also reflects the work in terms of risk assessment and management that is built into all of the key aspects of the council's work.

The Section 25 report will be included as Appendix E to the main MTFS report.

General reserves

GF reserves are held as a buffer against crystallising risks, and to deal with timing issues and uneven cash flows. As such, the level of reserves required is dependent on the financial risks facing the council, which will vary over time. The prudent minimum balance (PMB) and target level of GF reserves were reviewed and amended in the MTFS No further changes are recommended at this time.

GF reserves	£m
November 2016 MTFS / February 2017 BSR – Recommended levels	
- Target level	3.05
- Minimum level	2.54

The projected levels of reserves for the budget setting period, based on the proposals included in this report, and assuming that all net savings requirements are delivered, are as follows:

Description	2016-17 £000	2017-18 £000	2018-19 £000	2019-20 £000	2020-21 £000	2021-22 £000
Balance as at 1 April (b/fwd)	**(10,587)**	**(8,282)**	**(8,282)**	**(7,897)**	**(6,390)**	**(4,606)**
Contribution (to) / from reserves	2,305	0	386	1,508	1,784	2,106
Balance as at 31 March (c/fwd)	**(8,282)**	**(8,282)**	**(7,897)**	**(6,390)**	**(4,606)**	**(2,500)**

GF reserve balances arising from applying alternative council tax options are presented in Appendices C(a) and C(b).

GF BSR Appendix A
Fees and charges

The council's constitution delegates the approval of fees and charges to the relevant portfolio holder, with the exception of the HRA rents and charges, which are to be recommended by the Cabinet to Council for approval.

HRA rents and charges are addressed in the HRA BSR, presented in Appendix B.

Home Improvement Agency fees

Approval of the Portfolio Holder (Housing) is requested to increase the level of fees charged by the Home Improvement Agency (HIA), from 12% to 15% from 1st April 2017. This has been approved in principle by the Shared HIA Board, but requires the formal approval of each of the three partner authorities, as the fees are payable as part of the capital Disabled Facilities or Repair Assistance Grants awarded by each Council.

An increase in fees is necessary to replace the annual revenue support for the HIA, which is being progressively reduced by Cambridgeshire County Council and the Clinical Commissioning Group, as part of wider plans for the future funding and delivery of Disabled Facilities Grants through the Better Care Fund across Cambridgeshire as a whole. Revenue support from these organisations will be withdrawn in full from April 2018.

This increase in fees will support continuation of existing services for 2017-18 whilst the wider review is conducted and concluded.

GF BSR Appendix B

Precautionary items

These are items of expenditure over which there is some doubt as to whether they would occur, but if they did, the council would be required to meet them. If the spending need does arise on any item, delegated authority has been given to the Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder and the Chief Finance Officer to approve such expenditure (to be met from reserves), up to the level indicated for the relevant year:

	Total approved £000	Used in 2016-17 to Jan 2017 £000
Precautionary Items for 2016/17		
Homelessness - additional accommodation	250	0
Awarded Watercourses - emergency works	15	0
Contaminated Land - remedial works	82	0
Clearance of Private Sewers	6	0
National Assistance Burials Act	5	0
District Emergencies	50	0
Environmental Health Legal Costs (unrecoverable)	10	0
District Elections - By-election costs	4	0
Total	**422**	**0**
ICT Capital Programme:		
Fixed Wire Network Expansion	20	0
Mobile Computing Requirements	70	0
Mobile Telephony Upgrade	20	0
Data Centre Consolidation / Migration	100	0
MS Exchange Server (PSN) Compliance	20	0
Total	**230**	**0**
Precautionary Items for 2017/18		
Agency Staff - Growth Agenda (To Cover if Unable to Recruit)	50	
Planning Policy - 2 Principal Planning Officers	115	
Homelessness - additional accommodation	60	
Awarded Watercourses - emergency works	15	
Contaminated Land - remedial works	82	
Clearance of Private Sewers	6	
National Assistance Burials Act	5	
District Emergencies	50	
Additional insurance for Shared Waste Fleet	13	
Potential cost of the holiday back pay	27	
Total	**423**	

GF BSR Appendix C(a) – Five year GF revenue forecast: Option A

General provision for Inflation	2.1%				2.7%		2.7%		2.5%		2.5%		2.5%
Assuming council tax increases of £5 in 2017-18; 2.0% thereafter	Estimate 2016/17 £'000		Projected Estimate 2016/17 £'000		Projected Estimate 2017/18 £'000		Projected Estimate 2018/19 £'000		Projected Estimate 2019/20 £'000		Projected Estimate 2020/21 £'000		Projected Estimate 2021/22 £'000
EXPENDITURE													
Fully Allocated Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,512		16,649		17,917		18,032		18,327		18,879		19,605
Add Precautionary items/Council actions/other	142		142		125		125		125		125		125
Less Planning Policy funded by New Homes Bonus	(554)		(554)		(200)		(285)		(65)		(15)		(15)
Rollovers from 2015-16 to 2016-17			530										
Financial Position Report (Q2 2016-17)			(29)										
Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,100		16,738		17,842		17,872		18,387		18,989		19,715
Net Interest on balances other than ESH	(511)		(404)		(212)		(202)		(187)		(167)		(132)
Internal Drainage Boards, Reversal of Depreciation and Minimum Revenue Provision	(479)		(479)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)
District Council General Fund Expenditure	**15,109**		**15,854**		**16,963**		**17,003**		**17,533**		**18,155**		**18,916**
Additional income/(savings) to maintain working balance in the year	**(750)**		**(500)**		**(613)**		**(2,240)**		**(2,240)**		**(2,240)**		**(2,240)**
Expenditure including savings	**14,359**		**15,354**		**16,350**		**14,762**		**15,293**		**15,915**		**16,676**
INCOME													
Revenue Support Grant (including negative tariff adjustment)	(926)		(926)	-48.6%	(230)	-75.2%			661		661		661
Rural Services Grant	(130)		(130)		(105)		(81)		(105)		0		0
Transition Grant	(76)		(76)		(76)								
Retained Business Rates	(3,604)		(3,604)		(3,752)		(3,493)		(3,020)		(3,071)		(3,123)
ESH net interest			(536)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)
(Surplus)/Deficit on Council Tax Collection Fund	(38)		(38)		(46)		0		0		0		0
(Surplus)/Deficit on Business Rates Collection Fund	153		153		(1,518)		0		0		0		0
Council Tax to be raised from council taxpayers	(7,852)		(7,890)		(8,234)		(8,531)		(8,904)		(9,291)		(9,666)
Provision/Contingency for business rates appeals/revaluations	1,800		1,800										
New Homes Bonus (contribution to the GF)	(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)
District Council General Fund Income before appropriation from reserve	(12,475)		(13,049)		(16,350)		(14,495)		(13,758)		(14,091)		(14,519)
Appropriations to/(from) General Fund working balance	(1,884)		(2,305)		0		(267)		(1,535)		(1,823)		(2,157)
District Council General Fund Income	**(14,359)**		**(15,354)**		**(16,350)**		**(14,762)**		**(15,293)**		**(15,915)**		**(16,676)**
	Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number
Tax Base for Tax Setting Purposes including discount for localised council tax support	60,257.0		60,551.1		60,855.4	0.5%	61,814.1	1.6%	63,246.9	2.3%	64,702.8	2.3%	65,998.9
Basic Amount of Council Tax	£		£		£		£		£		£		£
District only	130.31	3.99%	130.31		135.31	3.8%	138.02	2.0%	140.78	2.0%	143.59	2.0%	146.46
Impact on Council tax of using savings and appropriations from reserves		Average		47.95	10.07		40.57		59.69		62.80		66.63
Underlying Council Tax with no appropriations from the General Fund Balance or Savings	£ 174.03		£ 176.64		£ 145.38		£ 178.58		£ 200.46		£ 206.39		£ 213.09
Balances at Year End	£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000
General Fund (recommended minimum level £2.5 million)	(8,703)		(8,282)		(8,282)		(8,015)		(6,480)		(4,657)		(2,500)

GF BSR Appendix C(b) – Five year GF revenue forecast: Option B

General provision for Inflation	2.1%				2.7%		2.7%		2.5%		2.5%		2.5%
Assuming council tax increases of 2.0% in 2017-18; 2.0% thereafter	Estimate 2016/17 £'000		Projected Estimate 2016/17 £'000		Projected Estimate 2017/18 £'000		Projected Estimate 2018/19 £'000		Projected Estimate 2019/20 £'000		Projected Estimate 2020/21 £'000		Projected Estimate 2021/22 £'000
EXPENDITURE													
Fully Allocated Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,512		16,649		17,917		18,032		18,327		18,879		19,605
Add Precautionary items/Council actions/other	142		142		125		125		125		125		125
Less Planning Policy funded by New Homes Bonus	(554)		(554)		(200)		(285)		(65)		(15)		(15)
Rollovers from 2015-16 to 2016-17			530										
Financial Position Report (Q2 2016-17)			(29)										
Net Portfolio Expenditure	16,100		16,738		17,842		17,872		18,387		18,989		19,715
Net Interest on balances other than ESH	(511)		(404)		(212)		(202)		(187)		(167)		(132)
Internal Drainage Boards, Reversal of Depreciation and Minimum Revenue Provision	(479)		(479)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)		(667)
District Council General Fund Expenditure	**15,109**		**15,854**		**16,963**		**17,003**		**17,533**		**18,155**		**18,916**
Additional income/(savings) to maintain working balance in the year	**(750)**		**(500)**		**(758)**		**(1,213)**		**(2,487)**		**(2,782)**		**(3,123)**
Expenditure including savings	**14,359**		**15,354**		**16,205**		**15,790**		**15,046**		**15,373**		**15,794**
INCOME													
Revenue Support Grant (including negative tariff adjustment)	(926)		(926)	-48.6%	(230)	-75.2%			661		661		661
Rural Services Grant	(130)		(130)		(105)		(81)		(105)		0		0
Transition Grant	(76)		(76)		(76)								
Retained Business Rates	(3,604)		(3,604)		(3,752)		(3,493)		(3,020)		(3,071)		(3,123)
ESH net interest			(536)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)		(587)
(Surplus)/Deficit on Council Tax Collection Fund	(38)		(38)		(46)		0		0		0		0
(Surplus)/Deficit on Business Rates Collection Fund	153		153		(1,518)		0		0		0		0
Council Tax to be raised from council taxpayers	(7,852)		(7,890)		(8,089)		(8,380)		(8,746)		(9,126)		(9,495)
Provision/Contingency for business rates appeals/revaluations	1,800		1,800										
New Homes Bonus (contribution to the GF)	(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)		(1,803)
District Council General Fund Income before appropriation from reserve	(12,475)		(13,049)		(16,205)		(14,344)		(13,601)		(13,927)		(14,348)
Appropriations to/(from) General Fund working balance	(1,884)		(2,305)		0		(1,446)		(1,446)		(1,446)		(1,446)
District Council General Fund Income	**(14,359)**		**(15,354)**		**(16,205)**		**(15,790)**		**(15,046)**		**(15,373)**		**(15,794)**
	Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number		Number
Tax Base for Tax Setting Purposes including discount for localised council tax support	60,257.0		60,551.1		60,855.4	0.5%	61,814.1	1.6%	63,246.9	2.3%	64,702.8	2.3%	65,998.9
Basic Amount of Council Tax	£		£		£		£		£		£		£
District only	130.31	3.99%	130.31		132.92	2.0%	135.57	2.0%	138.29	2.0%	141.05	2.0%	143.87
Impact on Council tax of using savings and appropriations from reserves		Average		50.44	12.46		43.01		62.18		65.34		69.22
Underlying Council Tax with no appropriations from the General Fund Balance or Savings	£ 174.03		£ 176.64		£ 145.38		£ 178.58		£ 200.46		£ 206.39		£ 213.09
Balances at Year End	£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000		£'000
General Fund (recommended minimum level £2.5 million)	(8,703)		(8,282)		(8,282)		(6,837)		(5,391)		(3,946)		(2,500)

GF BSR Appendix D – Earmarked and specific funds

Fund	Balance at 1 April 2016 £000	Potential contributions £000	Potential Commitments £000	Uncommitted balance to end of 2021/22 £000
New Homes Bonus Infrastructure Reserve	(4,502)	(498)	5,000	0
Business Rates Growth and Renewables Reserve	(2,182)	Pending detailed decision on the use of the reserve.		
Pension Deficit Reserve	(1,049)	0	1,049	0
Planning Enforcement Reserve	(500)	0	0	-500
Business Efficiency Reserve	(290)	0	290	0
Business accommodation reserves	(172)	0	172	0
Sustainability - climate change reserve	(117)	0	117	0
Private Stock Condition Survey	(60)	0	60	0
Children & Young People	(59)	0	59	0
Land Charges- appropriations	(50)	0	50	0
Total	**(8,980)**	**(498)**	**6,797**	**(500)**
Planning reserves				
Major Developments Fees Reserve	(203)	0	203	0
Northstowe Reserve	(128)	0	128	0
Parish Liaison & Site Development Reserve	(251)	0	251	0
Planning Fee Reserve excl Northstowe - growth agenda	(179)	0	179	0
Service Contingency-Planning	(102)	0	102	0
Planning other	(111)	0	111	0
Total	**(974)**	**0**	**974**	**0**
Other	(250)	0	239	0
Total General Fund earmarked and specific funds	**(10,204)**	**(498)**	**8,010**	**(500)**

Appendix B – HRA BSR

Version 3
Council

South Cambridgeshire District Council
Housing Revenue Account Budget Setting Report 2017/18

February 2017

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Version Control

	Version	for :	Anticipated Content
	1	Draft	Draft content for consultation
	2	Cabinet	Member Scrutiny
Current	3	Council	Recommended final budget proposals
	4	FINAL	Final version for publication following Council

Contents

Appendices

Section 1
Introduction

Foreword by the Portfolio Holder for Housing

The last financial year has seen the further introduction of new government policies providing additional challenges for a service already faced with the threats, as well as benefits, of a high growth area. Like all stock holding authorities the Council waits for the certainty of underpinning legislation to formalise new or amended policies.

The first of the 4 years of mandatory 1% reduction in rents has limited the Council's ambition to deliver new build housing but prudential management of the HRA debt financing has allowed for the programme to continue. As a result this year has seen the completion and handover to excited occupants of 39 new homes. The Council continues to seek a variety of solutions to the housing ambitions of its residents and its proactive work as a vanguard authority for the Government's Self & Custom Build policy is evidence of this.

The Housing Service's strength remains in its staff and, through them and elected members, in its ability to collaborate with partners. The established relationship with Cambridge City Council and partnerships with Housing Associations will be further strengthened through the funding being made available through devolution. By including a housing element in the Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Devolution Deal, the Government acknowledged the unique housing challenges presented in the Greater Cambridge area. The Housing Service will build upon its reputation for innovation and take this latest opportunity to support its determination to deliver for South Cambridgeshire residents.

Background

Decisions about the level of expenditure in the Housing Revenue Account continue to be made in the context of a 30-year business plan, which is reviewed in November and February of each year.

Resource available for investment in housing remains wholly dependent upon the income streams for the Housing Revenue Account, with the most significant of these being the rental income for the housing stock. Following legislative changes introduced as part of the Welfare Reform and Work Bill 2015, the authority no longer has discretion to set rents at a local level, but will instead be required to comply with a national approach where rents will be reduced by 1% per year, for a further 3 years, from April 2017.

Rent regulation, coupled with other changes in national housing policy, remove a lot of the flexibility over longer-term decision making, which was introduced as part of Self-Financing for the Housing Revenue Account. It is vital, with diminishing resources, that we continually review priorities for investment, considering:

- The level of investment required in the existing housing stock
- The need to spend on landlord service (management and maintenance)
- The need to support, and potentially set-aside to repay, housing debt
- The aspiration to invest in new affordable housing
- The ability to invest in new initiatives or income generating activities
- The desire to deliver discretionary services (i.e. support)

The 30-Year Business Plan incorporates the requirement to support a significant level of housing debt, with external borrowing of £205,123,000. Although previously the policy to set-aside resource to allow repayment of the housing debt should the authority so chose, this resource is now being re-directed into continuation of a new build programme in the medium term, in an attempt to ensure sustainability of the HRA.

The HRA Budget Setting Report provides an update of the latest financial position for the HRA, covering both HRA revenue and capital spending.

As part of the review of the financial position for the HRA, consideration is given to risk and any potential mitigation. Sensitivity analysis of key factors is also undertaken, to ensure that effective contingency plans are available to the Council and that an appropriate level of reserves can be maintained in light of changes in assumptions.

Section 2

Review of National and Local Policy Context and External Factors

Review of National Policy Context

National Rent Setting Policy

The legislation approved as part of the Welfare Reform and Work Bill 2015, requires both local authority landlords and registered providers to continue to apply a 1% rent reduction for the next three years, from April 2017 to April 2019 inclusive.

There were indications that supported and sheltered housing may be excluded from the requirement to cut rent levels, due to the enhanced level of services provided in this type of accommodation, but following a national review, confirmation has now been received that the 1% rent cut is to be applied to all social rented housing.

Where actual (transitional) rents have still not reached property specific target rent levels, local authorities are permitted to increase the rent to the target rent level only at re-let, recognising that the target rent for each property will also reduce by 1% each year for the next three years.

It is still not clear what will happen to rent levels after 2019/20, with the authority still making the assumption that rent increases can be re-introduced in 2020/21 at the previous levels of CPI plus 1% per annum.

In respect of affordable rents, the government requires local authorities to determine what 80% of the market rent would be for a property, and to apply the 1% reduction to this rent level each year, with the resulting sum being the maximum which a local authority can charge.

National Tenancy Policy

The Housing and Planning Bill introduced the requirement for local authorities to grant fixed term tenancies of between 2 and 10 years. A longer tenancy can be granted where a child under 9 years of age is resident as part of the household, with the tenancy expiring when the child reaches 19 years of age.

It is anticipated that the requirement will be introduced for all new tenancies in 2017, but this is still subject to confirmation through the release of formal regulations.

Market Rents for Higher Income Households (Pay to Stay)

The Housing and Planning Act 2016 introduced the ability for local authority social landlords to be required to charge up to market rent levels for households on higher incomes.

The policy change, initially intended to be implemented from April 2017, would have required households earning over £31,000 per annum in taxable income to pay a higher level of rent than the social housing rent restructuring formula dictates, with increased rents introduced on a tapered basis. For every £1 over the threshold which a household earns, the rent payable will increase by 15p

On 21st November, in a ministerial statement issued by Gavin Barwell, Minister for Housing and Planning, it was confirmed that the government have decided not to proceed with 'Pay to Stay' on a compulsory basis. Local authorities will still be able to introduce the scheme voluntarily for households earning over £60,000, in line with previous legislation.

It is Government's intention that mandatory fixed term tenancies will be used to ensure that household incomes are taken into consideration when determining whether the tenants still need a socially rented home at the end of a tenancy.

There was also a commitment given by Government to consider whether there other options exist to ensure that high income tenant in social housing make a greater contribution to their housing costs.

Mandatory Disposal of High Value Housing Stock

The ability, as included in the Housing and Planning Act 2016, for Central Government to impose a financial levy on stock owning authorities in respect of the assumed sale of higher value housing stock, is still subject to regulation.

The levy will vary for each financial year, with the value arrived at on a formulaic basis, after a period of consultation with local authorities. Although the regulations are not yet available, It is still anticipated that the authority will have some discretion over which assets it disposes of, in order to meet the levy. Payments are likely to be due periodically throughout each financial year.

Once regulations are made available, the HRA Acquisition and Disposal Policy will be reviewed under delegation, to ensure that the authority can act quickly to meet any payments due. An officer project team has reviewed the asset holding for the HRA, a land audit is underway and processes are being considered to ensure the new workload can be met, utilising either a fully in-house model, or procuring a proportion of the services required externally.

Following recognition that local authorities will need plenty of time to prepare for the introduction of the levy, it was confirmed in an interview with the Housing Minister in late November 2016, that the government will not be requesting any higher value voids levy payments from councils during 2017/18. On the strength of this, our financial modelling now assumes that we do not begin to hold any voids until October 2017, on the assumption that there may be a levy payable from April 2018. The HRA Budget Setting Report has therefore been constructed on the assumption that the compulsion to sell will still require the equivalent of approximately 1.8% of the housing stock each year to be disposed of, representative of just under 100 properties per annum initially, but with payment simply deferred until 2018/19.

Welfare Reforms

Universal Credit

Universal Credit was introduced in Cambridge Job Centre on the 29th February 2016 and is currently only applicable to single, working age customers, otherwise entitled to make a claim for Jobseekers Allowance. Universal credit generally includes housing costs for this group and

this is paid directly to the customer unless it can be demonstrated that there are budgeting concerns. Claims must be made online. The full digital service that will allow claims from couples and those with children will be rolled out to Cambridge Job Centre in June 2018. The current number of claims continues to be low, with most for people who do not have a rental liability such as non-dependants.

As part of the Delivery Partnership Agreement, requests for Personal Budgeting Support are being accommodated by Cambridge Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB). There have been low numbers of these, and many have not attended their appointment at CAB, which the partners (CAB, DWP) are working to resolve.

Benefit Cap

Preparations for the introduction of the reduced Benefit Cap are progressing well, with early identification of potential customers affected being approximately 78, of which approximately 30 are HRA tenants. The Council is contacting those potentially affected, with a number of these households having been identified as receiving incomes that exempt them from the cap or having started work or increased their hours of work which will remove them from the cap. Application of the cap is a rolling programme, concluding in early January, but as at the end of November 2016, 26 HRA tenants were impacted. The council has contact all those affected to promote and advise of Discretionary Housing Payments which are available.

Removal of the Spare Room Subsidy

Numbers of customers affected by the removal of the spare room subsidy continue to reduce slowly and currently there are 349 HRA tenants affected by the reform, with 211 impacted by a reduction of 14% and 33 by 25%. There are currently 37 HRA tenants who receive Discretionary Housing Payments to help towards their rent as due to removal of spare room subsidy.

Limiting the Child Element to two children

From 1 April 2017, new benefit claims and current benefit claims which increase the family element above two children, will not have additional child elements included in the Housing Benefit calculation.
There are some exemptions for multiple births, result of abuse and adoption, or similar.

It will not impact on current claimants with more than two children, unless they have more children, then the child allowances will not increase, subject to the above exemptions.

Local Housing Allowance (LHA) Restriction

Social sector rents used in the calculation of Housing Benefit and the Housing Costs element of Universal Credit will be restricted to the prevailing Local Housing Allowance rates from April 2019. Local Housing Allowance rates will be the maximum Housing Benefit payable, towards both rent and any service charges. Regulations have not yet been released, but the following is the guidance issued thus far and will apply to both general needs housing and supported, impacting those of working age as well as pensioners:

- The shared accommodation rate for under 35's will not apply to those in Supported Housing for Housing Benefit or the Housing element in Universal Credit.
- In Housing Benefit, those with tenancies before 1 April 2016 will not be affected but all Universal Credit customers will be impacted irrespective of when their tenancy started.

LHA rates are set to be frozen for the remainder of this parliament but may go down if average rents decrease within the Cambridge Broad Rental Market area.

Supported Accommodation Review

DWP has launched a consultation considering the new funding for supported housing once many of the above changes come into effect from April 2017.

Right to Buy Sales

Following a number of changes in right to buy legislation over the last few years, and with the assumption until recently that 'Pay to Stay' would be introduced from April 2017, activity in this area has been maintained.

The table below highlights the activity over the last 5 years, with projections for the following 5 years:

Status	Year	RTB Sales
Actual Sales	2011/12	5
	2012/13	24
	2013/14	28
	2014/15	29
	2015/16	23
Estimated Sales	2016/17	25
	2017/18	25
	2018/19	20
	2019/20	20
	2020/21	20

In the first 9 months of 2016/17, 26 completions have taken place, indicating that activity is remaining at the higher level experienced since the re-invigoration of the scheme from April 2012, with 14 of these completions having taken place in the past 3 months.

It is impossible to accurately predict future sales, although the lead in to the anticipated introduction of 'Pay to Stay' from April 2017 was considered to be having some impact in maintaining interest in the scheme before announcements were made that the scheme would no longer be introduced on a compulsory basis. . With this in mind, recognising that Pay to Stay will not now happen as anticipated, the current assumption of sales, with 25 sales 2017/18, 20 sales per year from 2018/19 to 2020/21 and 15 sales per annum after this has been retained.

Right to Buy Receipts

Under the retention agreement with CLG, the authority now holds a significant sum for re-investment. Receipts must still be spent, within 3 years, to fund the delivery of new social housing, with a maximum of 30% of any dwelling being funded via this mechanism. The balance must be funded from the Council's own resources or through borrowing, and not on dwellings receiving any other form of public subsidy.

Although the capital receipts can be invested by the authority to earn interest in the short-term, if not spent appropriately within the 3 year time frame, have to be paid over to central government, with 'penalty' interest payable at 4% above the base rate, far exceeding the level

of interest that will have been earned in the interim. With the current Bank of England base rate, this would be 4.25%.

Appendix D summarises the latest position in respect of receipts held and appropriately re-invested, highlighting that although a deadline has not yet been breached, the timing of investment through our capital programme is absolutely crucial if we are to avoid payment of any penalties.
During 2016/17 a number of strategic acquisitions have, or will have, taken place to ensure that sufficient resource has been invested by March 2017.

The option to pass retained receipts to registered providers still remains, with the registered provider delivering affordable housing to which we would receive nomination rights. The same time constraints apply in this instance, as does the need for the 70% top up funding.

Newly arising receipts continue to be retained at the end of each quarter, subject to the delegated approval of the Executive Director (Corporate Services), in consultation with the Director of Housing, with the Portfolio Holder for Housing informed if the recommendation were to be to pay receipts directly back to Central Government.

The additional capital spending, and top up funding, required as a result of decisions to retain right to buy receipts are built into the Housing Capital Investment Plan at the next available opportunity.

Review of Local Policy Context

Housing Stock

South Cambridgeshire District Council Housing Revenue Account owns and / or manages the following properties, broken down by category of housing provided:

Housing Category	Actual Stock Numbers as at 1/4/2016	Estimated Stock Numbers as at 1/4/2017
General Housing (incl. use as Temporary Housing)	4,175	4,186
Sheltered Housing	1,053	1,053
Sheltered Housing – Equity Share	82	82
Miscellaneous Leased Dwellings	20	11
Shared Ownership / FTB Dwellings	56	56
Awaiting Disposal / Demolition / Transfer to HA	14	0
Total Dwellings	5,386	5,388

A breakdown of the housing stock by property type, excluding shared ownership and equity share, is demonstrated in the table below:

Stock Category (Property Type)	Actual Stock Numbers as at 1/4/2016	Estimated Stock Numbers as at 1/4/2017
Bedsits	32	20
1 Bed	1,016	1,013
2 Bed	2,252	2,272
3 Bed	1,872	1,868
4 Bed	71	72
5 Bed	1	1
6 Bed	4	4
Total Dwellings	5,248	5,250

Leasehold Stock

The Housing Revenue Account continues to maintain the freehold in respect of flats, sold under the right to buy process on long leases. Services continue to be provided to these properties in respect of repairs and improvements to communal areas and services for common facilities.

Support for Vulnerable People

South Cambridgeshire District Council is still contracted by the County Council to deliver £302,000 per annum of tenure neutral support services to older people across the district, with an initial contract term of 3 years from April 2014, extended for one year from April 2017.

Partnership Working and Shared Services

The organisation, and therefore the HRA, continues to expand the provision of services which are delivered as shared or partnership services with other local authorities.
Shared services with South Cambridgeshire District Council and Huntingdonshire District Council are in place for the provision of ICT and Legal Services, both of which impact the HRA.

The authority continues to share the Head of Finance and Housing Finance Service with Cambridge City Council, with a view to wider shared finance services once a new financial management system has been implemented across the authorities.

The Housing Development Agency (HDA) is fully operational, with South Cambridgeshire District Council seconding staff to the City Council, into a shared service, which the City is managing initially. The HDA is delivering new homes, working with multiple partner agencies, to increase the supply of new affordable housing.

As identified previously, there is still the potential to explore a shared Housing Management Service with Cambridge City Council, with the potential for a wider shared strategic housing function in the future also.

External Factors

Factors outside of the direct control of the authority continue to impact strategic decision making, with judgements having to be made about the likely direction of travel for many of these.

Appendix A provides details of the latest assumptions being incorporated into the financial forecasts, with any amendments since the last iteration of the business plan highlighted.

Section 3
Housing Revenue Account Resources

Rent

Rent Arrears, Bad Debt Provision and Void Levels

Performance in the collection of current tenant debt was maintained, and marginally improved upon, in 2015/16. There are however indications that the position has worsened marginally during the first 9 months of 2016/17.

At the end of December 2016, current tenant arrears stood at £388,562 and former tenant arrears at £86,817, compared with £306,046 and £92,305 retrospectively at 31 March 2016. There are always some seasonal fluctuations in arrears levels throughout the year, so although not considered to be a trend at this time, the position is being carefully monitored.

Staff continue to work proactively with tenants in arrears, with the long-term position still anticipated to become more challenging as the phased introduction of direct payment, which began locally in February 2016, steadily rolls out.

At 31 March 2016, the provision for bad debt stood at £300,000, representing 75% of the total debt outstanding.

As part of the HRA Medium Term Financial Strategy, the level of annual contribution to the bad debt provision was reviewed, taking into consideration the phased nature of the introduction of direct payment. The contribution in 2016/17 was reduced to 0.25% of rental income, increasing incrementally to 0.3% for 2017/18, 0.35% for 2018/19 and 0.4% for 2019/20, moving back to the higher level of 0.5% approved as part of the 2016/17 budget setting process, from 2020/21 onwards.

The value of rent not collected as a direct result of void dwellings in 2015/16 was £354,774, representing a void loss of 1.2%, with higher than desired levels partly due to 'management' voids held pending disposal or re-development of the site.

At the end of 2015/16, 61 properties were unoccupied, representative of 1.1% of the housing stock, with 21 of the void dwellings being intentionally held vacant pending re-development. At the end of the second quarter in 2016/17, 65 properties were vacant, with the 21 which were being intentionally held vacant still included in this. In the first 6 months of 2016/17, rent of approximately £139,400 was recorded in the housing rent system as being lost due to vacant dwellings.

The current assumption of 1.1% voids in general housing is still considered appropriate for the longer-term. The requirement to sell high value void properties in the future will impact this assumption in future iterations of the business plan, with the loss of estimated rental income already incorporated into the financial forecasts as a separate assumption.

Rent Restructuring and Rent Levels

Property specific target social rents under the rent restructuring regime still apply, but the requirement to reduce social housing rents, including those in supported and sheltered housing by 1% for a further 3 years, means that the target rents will also reduce in line with this.

The authority has the ability to close the gap between target social rent and the actual rent being charged for a dwelling, only when a property becomes void.

The average target 'rent restructured' rent in the early months of 2016/17 across the general housing stock was £108.48, with the average actual rent charged being £103.32. The average actual rent was therefore representative of 95% of the average target rent, with only 27.5% of the housing stock being charged at target rent levels.

The gap between actual and target rent levels now equates to an annual loss of income of approximately £1,427,000 across the HRA, compared with the income assumption in the HRA Self-Financing Debt Settlement, where convergence was anticipated well before now.

There were 24 new build properties charged at the higher 'affordable rent' levels, equivalent to the Local Housing Allowance at the end of September 2016.

Rent Setting

Rent levels continue to be set by Council in February of each year, following consideration at Cabinet.

From April 2017, the authority is required to apply the second year of a four year rent cut in social housing rents of 1% per annum, with confirmation received that supported and sheltered housing are to be included in this directive, and not exempted as was an option in the first year.

The assumption is still being made, in respect of longer-term financial forecasts, that the authority will be able to revert to the previous policy of increasing rents by CPI (as measured at the preceding September), plus 1% each year, from April 2020, followed by CPI plus 0.5% from April 2024.

In respect of affordable rented homes, the government require local authorities to review what 80% of the market rent is for each dwelling, and ensure that the combined rent and service charges levied for a property does not exceed this level, minus the 1% reduction required each year from April 2016. As the local policy is to cap rents and charges for affordable homes at the considerably lower level of the Local Housing Allowance, which is likely to be nearer 60% of market rent, rent levels for these properties are reviewed in line with the Local Housing Allowance, which is expected to be frozen at April 2017.

Service Charges

Service charges continue to be levied for services that are not pure landlord functions, and are provided to some tenants and not others, depending upon the type, nature and location of the property. Some of these services are eligible for housing benefit, depending upon the nature of the service.

The approach to setting service charge levels for 2017/18 is detailed at **Appendix B.**

Other Sources of Income

Garages

The Housing Revenue Account currently has 1,063 residential garages, which are outside the curtilage of the dwelling, available for letting according to the rent system. Of these, 366 were vacant at the time of compiling this report.

A large number of the vacant garages have been identified as needing repairs or major works prior to being ready to let, or are being considered for demolition, disposal, self-build sites or re-development.

The HRA retains a two part charging structure for garages, with one rate for garages rented to tenants or leaseholders, and another for rental of garages by others, with the latter being subject to VAT at the prevailing rate. If a tenant or leaseholder holds more than two garages, VAT is also payable.

Other Property

In addition to dwellings held for rent, the HRA has a number of communal rooms and hub offices in sheltered schemes. Currently the costs of these buildings is recovered through service charges levied to residents, but in the current financial climate, consideration will be given to whether these assets could generate an income for the HRA where they are not well utilised.

Interest / Investment Income

The Housing Revenue Account receives interest on general or ear-marked revenue balances, any funds set-aside in the major repairs reserve or the revenue debt repayment reserve, any unapplied capital balances and in respect of any internal lending to the General Fund.

The interest rates available to the Council generally remain low, and recovery is still anticipated to be slow, although lending to Ermine Street Housing provides a better return than lending to external third parties currently.

Other External Funding

In addition to income direct from service users, the Housing Revenue Account anticipates receiving external funding in the following forms:

- Section 106 Funding – The authority has a policy in respect of spending Section 106 Commuted Sums, which includes the provision for resource to be to be utilised to fund delivery of new build affordable housing in the Housing Revenue Account. The assumption that a proportion of this funding is utilised to deliver new affordable homes is incorporated into the Housing Capital Investment Plan.

- Support Funding – The authority receives £302,000 per annum for tenure neutral support provided to older people across the district, with a contract which can be extended up to April 2019.

Earmarked & Specific Funds

Earmarked Funds – Revenue Reserves

In addition to General Reserves, the Housing Revenue Account still maintains a number of earmarked or specific funds. Appendix C details the current level of funding in these reserves.

Self-Insurance Fund

This reserve is maintained to mitigate the risks associated with the authority self-insuring its housing stock. Costs in lieu of insurance claims are charged to the HRA in year, with the reserve available to meet any higher than anticipated remedial costs, allowing the HRA time to react to the additional expenditure incurred.

Major Repairs Reserve

A statutory reserve which receives a sum, transferred from the revenue account, equivalent to the depreciation in respect of the housing stock each year. Resource in the Major Repairs Reserve is then used as a source of funding in the Housing Capital Investment Plan, to meet the capital cost of works to HRA assets, or alternatively to repay housing debt. From April 2017, the

transitional measures that allow the authority to limit the depreciation charged in respect of dwellings to the value of the old Major Repairs Allowance ceases, from when the full depreciation value will be transferred into the reserve each year, irrespective on whether the asset base requires this level of investment.

HRA Set-Aside for Potential Debt Repayment or Future Re-Investment

Recent changes in national housing policy, and the desire to invest resource in new build to replace lost stock and appropriately spend retained right to buy receipts, impacts the ability to set-aside resource to repay debt. This means the authority will have no alternative but to re-finance a significant proportion of the loan portfolio as each loan matures. The approach of using an ear-marked reserve, as opposed to making a formal voluntary revenue provision (VRP), allows the HRA to retain flexibility over the use of the limited resource that is available for set aside in the future.

Earmarked Funds – Capital Receipts

Right to Buy Attributable Debt Ear-Marked Capital Receipt

The HRA retains an element from all right to buy receipts over and above those assumed in the initial self-financing settlement, in recognition of the debt which the authority holds in respect of the asset. The balance of sums retained to date, are held in a separate ear-marked capital reserve allowing them to be utilised to repay debt should the authority so choose, or alternatively to be reinvested as deemed appropriate.

Right to Buy Retained One-for-One Ear-Marked Capital Receipt

The Right to Buy Receipt Retention Agreement remains in force. To ensure that these resources are separately identified for re-investment, and if necessary, repayment purposes, an ear-marked balance exists to record the balance at the end of each reporting period.

Section 4
Housing Revenue Account Budget

Revised Budget - 2016/17

In-Year Budget Amendments

Service budgets for the current financial year are not routinely reviewed as part of the budget setting process for the coming year, and any variations against the budget set are reported at outturn.

Exceptions are made, however, in respect of items which are significant in nature, or which will materially affect projections for the budget year if amendments are not made in year.

For 2016/17, in year changes are proposed in respect of an increase in the level of rent which is anticipated to be received due to the deferral of the higher value voids levy (£42,630) and in the level of direct revenue financing of capital expenditure anticipated in year to finance capital expenditure in IT, rolled over from 2015/16 (£187,010). These changes, coupled with a change in the assumption of the rate of interest that can be earned on HRA balances in the current year, also has an impact on the level of interest the HRA expects to receive, with an increase of £182,290 incorporated into the forecasts.

The changes are summarised in the table below:

2016/17 Revised Budget	Original Budget February 2016 £	HRA MTFS November 2016 £	HRA BSR Proposed Changes £	HRA BSR January 2017 £
Net HRA Use of / (Contribution to) Reserves	(134,670)	3,757,520		
Savings / Increased Income			(42,630)	
Unavoidable Revenue Bids			0	
Non-Cash Limit Adjustments			4,720	
Revised Net HRA Use of / (Contribution to) Reserves				**3,719,610**
Variation on previously reported projection				(37,910)

The above figures include rollover approvals from 2015/16 in the second column, in addition to changes approved as part of the Medium Term Financial Strategy in November 2016, with the net saving identified in the current year, as part of the January 2017 committee cycle, incorporated in the right-hand column.

The net reduction in costs for 2016/17 will result in a marginally lower call on the use of Housing Revenue Account reserves than anticipated.

Budget - 2017/18

Overall Budget Position

The approach to setting the HRA budget for 2017/18 include a requirement to identify £250,000 of savings or areas where increased income could be generated, as the first year of a 4 year efficiency programme, which sought to reduce cost by £1,000,000 over this period. This approach was taken in response to the financial impact of some of the changes in national housing policy, most notably the requirement to reduce rents by 1% for 4 years from April 2016.

Proposed savings and any identified increases in income are detailed in **Appendix G (1)**, with the savings partially offset by unavoidable revenue pressures and reduced income in some areas.

The table below show a summary of the proposals included at **Appendix G (1)**, showing a net over-achievement against the £250,000 target set for 2017/18. This is predominantly due to the continued receipt of rental income for properties which the HRA had previously assumed would need to be held void pending disposal, to meet the higher value voids levy. Confirmation of a delay in this policy implementation, has allowed the assumption that this needs to take place to be deferred until mid 2017/18.

Savings and increased income identified are partially offset by some areas of unavoidable revenue pressure, with the most significant of these being an increase to the HRA in respect of staffing costs and associated overheads and recharges, as a direct result of a review in the way in which staff time is recorded and re-allocated across the authority and an increase in the costs of meeting the anticipated pension deficit.

Proposal Type	2017/18 £	2018/19 £	2019/20 £	2020/21 £	2021/22 £
Cumulative Savings Target Proposed	250,000	500,000	750,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
2017/18 Budget Changes					
Savings	(395,430)	(395,430)	(395,430)	(395,430)	(395,430)
Increased Income	(575,740)	(575,740)	(575,740)	(575,740)	(575,740)
Unavoidable Revenue Pressures	395,650	395,650	395,650	395,650	395,650
Reduced Income	60,620	60,620	60,620	60,620	60,620
Bids	18,220	18,220	18,220	18,220	18,220
Net Savings Position - (Over) / Under-Achieved against Savings Target	**(246,680)**	**3,320**	**253,320**	**503,320**	**503,320**
Non-Cash Limit Items	(1,798,440)	97,160	97,160	97,160	97,160
Net Position for the HRA - (Over) / under-Achieved against overall assumptions	**(2,045,120)**	**100,480**	**350,480**	**600,480**	**600,480**

For financial forecasts, the assumption has been retained that the balance of savings to be sought from the £1,000,000 savings programme, which currently equates to £600,480, will be identified during the period 2018/19 to 2020/21.

This level of savings will enable the HRA to set a balanced revenue budget over the 30 year life of the business plan, and will release sufficient resource, along-side the use of any funds currently set-aside for debt redemption, to fund both the required investment in the housing stock over the next 30 years and meet commitments in respect of new build housing in the medium term. If the authority is to attempt to utilise retained right to buy receipts to build homes for HRA ownership in the long-term, consideration will need to be given to where further savings may be found from.

During 2017/18, once the final details of some of the proposed changes in national housing policy are clear, a further review of the strategic position for the HRA will be undertaken.

The overall revenue budget position for the Housing Revenue Account for 2017/18 is presented in **Appendix I.** A balanced budget can be set for 2017/18, assuming the delivery of savings as identified.

Section 5
Housing Capital Budget

Stock Investment and Decent Homes

Stock condition data is continually updated in respect of the housing stock, improving the information held to inform future decision making. The authority is working with Cambridge City Council to jointly procure updated software to record and report asset management data, as part of a wider project to procure a fully integrated housing management information system.

At 31 March 2016, 87.5% of the housing stock was reported as decent, compared with 91.5% at 31 March 2015, with 656 properties that were considered to be non-decent (in addition to refusals), and another 73 anticipated to become non-decent during 2016/17.

In addition to decent homes investment, the authority still invests in energy conservation initiatives, such as external wall insulation, solar energy initiatives, renewable heating sources, air source heat pumps and more controllable high heat retention electric storage systems.

The level of investment in the housing stock as a whole, including that which falls outside of the decent homes standard, has been subject to review as part of the 2017/18 budget setting process, with some resulting changes proposed. Any reduction in the level of investment in the existing housing stock will help to ensure that the authority is able to set a balanced budget for the HRA over the longer-term, without breaching the HRA debt cap, whilst also maximising any resource available to increase the limited supply of new affordable housing.

Changes proposed in the level of investment in the housing stock are detailed at **Appendix H**. with capital bids and savings identified at **Appendix G (2).** The latest Housing Capital Investment Plan is included at **Appendix J.**

New Build & Re-Development

General Approach

Following changes in national housing policy, the authority can no longer rely upon rental surpluses to provide resource for investment in new build housing.

Instead, to ensure the delivery of a new build programme in the short to medium term, resources previously set-aside for potential debt repayment have been combined with assumed receipts from the sale of HRA land as self-build plots and section 106 commuted sums, in addition to right to buy receipts.

The authority continues to explore alternative funding options and delivery models, including; mixed rented and market sale schemes, shared ownership homes and starter homes.

New Build and Re-Development Schemes Completed or Approved to Proceed

The table below updates the position in respect of schemes completed or in progress, with portfolio holder approval, based upon previous versions of the business plan, confirming their status and the current budget allocation which is required for each of the schemes, with the budgeted cashflow included at **Appendix E.**

Scheme	Status	Estimated Affordable Units	Indicative Scheme Composition (Subject to Change)	Scheme Budget (Net of subsidy / capital receipts)
Fen Drayton Road, Swavesey	Completed May 2016	20	4 x 1 Bed House 10 x 2 Bed House 5 x 3 Bed House 1 x 4 Bed House	2,954,320
Horseheath Road, Linton	Completed July 2016	4	1 x 2 Bed Bungalow 2 x 2 Bed Flat 1 x 2 Bed House	494,550
Hill Farm, Foxton	Completed January 2017	15	4 x 1 Bed House 6 x 2 Bed House	2,246,660

Scheme	Status	Estimated Affordable Units	Indicative Scheme Composition (Subject to Change)	Scheme Budget (Net of subsidy / capital receipts)
			5 x 3 Bed House	
Robinson Court, Gamlingay	Planning Approved. On Site by Spring 2017	6 plus 4 shared ownership	4 x 1 Bed Flat 2 x 2 Bed Flat 2 x 1 Bed House (Shared Ownership) 2 x 2 Bed House (Shared Ownership) 2 x 2 Bed House (Market Sale) 2 x 3 Bed House (Market Sale)	997,540
Pembroke Way, Teversham	Pre-Planning Stage	5	2 x 2 Bed Flat 3 x 3 Bed House	860,230
Pampisford Road, Great Abington	Planning Approved, Contract terms to be agreed	8	2 x 1 Bed Flat 2 x 2 Bed House 1 x 2 Bed Bungalow 2 x 2 Bed Bungalow (Shared Ownership) 1 x 3 Bed House	895,580
Total		**49**		**8,448,880**

The scheme at Wilford Furlong, Willingham is subject to review in light of the potential to utilise some of the land as self-build plots, and has been removed from the programme in its previous form, pending the outcome of this review.

New Build and Re-Development Schemes in the Pipeline

There are a number of schemes where feasibility work is being carried out with a view to building out the sites for the HRA directly, or alternatively negotiations are in progress with developers, for the HRA to acquire the affordable housing on existing new build development schemes. These schemes do not yet have formal approval, and as such have not yet been built in to the Housing Capital Investment Plan on a scheme specific basis. When a scheme receives Portfolio Holder approval, resource is vired from the unallocated new build / acquisition budget to the scheme specifically to allow monitoring of progress.

Schemes currently in the pipeline include:

Scheme	Status	Estimated Affordable Housing Units	Indicative Scheme Composition (Subject to Change)
Highfields, Caldecote	Section 106 negotiations and planning amendment in progress	3	1 x 1 Bed House 2 x 2 Bed House
Gibson Close, Waterbeach	Planning by March 2017. Offer made to developer	6 plus 2 shared ownership	4 x 1 Bed Flat 2 x 2 Bed House 2 x 2 Bed House (Shared Ownership)
Woodside, Longstanton	Planning approved. Offer made to developer and in negotiation	3	3 x 2 Bed House
Balsham Buildings, Balsham	Planning by March 2017. Offer made to developer	9 plus 4 shared ownership	7 x 1 Bed Flat 2 x 2 Bed Flat 4 x 2 Bed House (Shared Ownership)

The majority of schemes deliver new provision of affordable housing and as such will be eligible for 30% of the scheme to be funded using retained right to buy receipts. Shared ownership dwellings or schemes where some or all of the new homes will replace existing social housing which is no longer considered fit for purpose, are not eligible for use of this resource.

Build – Other (including use of RTB Funding)

The new build schemes above are not sufficient to ensure that the authority can appropriately re-invest all of the right to buy receipts retained to date, with the need to identify and fund further new build schemes, acquire existing homes for use as social housing, or pass the resource over to a registered provider for re-investment.

The assumption has been retained, that the authority utilise resource previously set-aside for the potential redemption of housing debt, combined with capital receipts that have been received for the sale of HRA land and dwellings on the open market in recent years and HRA revenue resources, where available, to provide sufficient resource to allow the appropriate re-

investment of existing and anticipated retained right to buy receipts in the medium term, without the need to pass any funding to a registered provider in future years.

The Housing Development Agency (H.D.A) are working to identify potential new build sites and future development opportunities, in a bid to ensure that the HRA has sufficient pipeline schemes to meet investment commitments.

The authority also continues to explore alternative development opportunities, considering differing funding models. Options for working with partner organisations and for developing sites with mixed tenure are all being explored fully in an attempt to maximise the delivery of new homes, despite the financial constraints imposed by some of the national housing policy changes.

As a backstop position, the authority is able to pass receipts to a registered provider for them to invest in new build affordable housing within the required timescales and in a way which is compliant with the retention agreement with CLG.

Self-Build Plots

Work is progressing well in preparing parcels of HRA land that could provide self-build opportunities, releasing capital receipts which are then available for re-investment by the HRA to deliver new homes elsewhere in the district.

Following approval of an initial capital budget of £150,000 in the HRA, officers identified HRA sites with the potential to provide in the region of 100 self-build plots. It is anticipated that each plot may realise a gross capital receipt of up to £250,000, which after financing the costs of site preparation, could leave an estimated net receipt of £190,000 per plot available to the HRA for re-investment.

As part of this HRA Budget Setting Report, and as work in this area progresses, the net capital receipts previously incorporated into financial plans, have now been separately identified from 2017/18, with a specific budget incorporated into the HRA Capital Plan to meet the up-front costs of site preparation, and the gross capital receipts incorporated as a capital funding

source to support the delivery of new build homes, alongside the use of retained right to buy funding to make up 30% of the total investment required.

Section 106 Funding

Commuted Sums Money received in lieu of Affordable Housing

If the Council receives commuted sum payments, often time limited, where approval has been granted as part of the planning decision to receive payment in lieu of affordable housing, the default position is that the HRA utilises the resource to invest in affordable housing.

The Council currently holds £3.6m in commuted sums. The following table identifies when the money has to be spent, against the resource committed to date

Year	Section 106 sum to be spent £	Cumulative Section 106 sum to be spent £	Resource committed General Fund £	Resource committed HRA £	Cumulative resource still to be committed £
2016/17	52,981	52,981	110,000	53,000	-
2017/18	195,887	248.868	92,000	75,000	-
2018/19	509,258	758,126	0	0	428,126
2019/20	61,780	819,906	0	0	489,906
2020/21	563,258	1,383,164	0	0	1,053,164
2021/22	57,500	1,440,664	0	0	1,110,664
2022/23	131,087	1,571,751	0	0	1,241,751
2023/24	199,092	1,770,843	0	0	1,440,843
2024/25	345,455	2,116,298	0	0	1,786,298
2025/26	104,580	2,220,878	0	0	1,890,878
2026/27	1,395,984	3,616,862	0	0	3,286,862
			202,000	128,000	

Commitments to date include:

Scheme	Fund	2016/17 £	2017/18 £	Ongoing £
Little Wilbraham Council house improvements	HRA	52,981	0	0
Emmaus – 10 en-suite bed-spaces	General Fund	50,000	50,000	0
Little Gransden Almshouses – refurbishment of 4 dwellings	General Fund	40,000	42,000	0
Robinson Court, Gamlingay – redevelopment	HRA	0	75,000	0
Organisational cost for delivery of Affordable Housing using Section 106	General Fund	20,000	0	0
		162,981	**167,000**	**0**

With £3,086,862 of resource still to be re-invested, and a commitment to invest the sum in new HRA homes wherever possible, expenditure of £500,000 per annum, and associated Section 106 match funding has been incorporated into the Housing Capital Plan for the next 6 years.

As the resource can't be combined with retained right to buy receipts for the delivery of a specific social housing dwelling, it is likely that the funds will be utilised predominantly to deliver other forms of affordable and intermediate housing, such as shared ownership or shared equity.

Asset Acquisitions & Disposals

Consideration is given to the strategic acquisition or disposal of assets, in line with the current HRA Acquisition and Disposal Policy, which will be reviewed once the regulations surrounding the higher value voids levy are available, to take account of the new approach that will be required in respect of asset management of the housing stock.

The Right to Buy Retention Agreement allows the acquisition of existing dwellings, as an alternative to building new homes. Although not the first priority for the use of this resource, market acquisition does increase the supply of affordable homes available in the district, and is

a valid option when new build is not possible within a quarterly deadline for the use of retained receipts. If a decision is taken at the end of a quarter that there is a risk that new build schemes will deliver in the required timeframes, resources can be vired from the unallocated new build / acquisition budget into the budget for direct market acquisition.

In 2016/17, resource of £3,208,000 previously ear-marked for investment in new build homes was diverted into acquisition of market dwellings, to allow the authority to buy in the region of 13 properties, which will be utilised for social housing purposes. All of the acquisitions are anticipated to complete by 31st March 2017, subject to any unforeseen delays.

Property Address / Location	Property Type	Status
5 Spar Close, Cambourne	2 Bed House	Complete
4 Wattle Close, Cambourne	2 Bed House	Complete
51 Whitegate Close, Swavesey	2 Bed House	Complete
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cambourne	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cottenham	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Cottenham	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Gamlingay	2 Bed House	Offer accepted
Swavesey	2 Bed House	Offer accepted

Receipts from individual asset disposals are recognised in the HRA's reserves only at the point of receipt and after all relevant costs have been provided for, but this may need to change once regulations are available in respect of the sale of higher value voids levy, as it will be necessary to forecast the number of sales which will take place in each period, in order to plan effectively to meet any levy set.

Receipts from the sale of self-build plots are however, already incorporated into financial planning, in anticipation of the need to utilise them to top up existing retained right to buy receipts. Any delay in the receipt of these capital sums will significantly impact the authority's ability to spend right to buy receipts appropriately.

As part of the quarterly decision as to whether the authority should retain right to buy receipts, pass them to a registered provider, or as a last resort pay them over to central government, officers need to consider the progress in respect of the sale of self-build plots and any other capital receipts which may have been received by that point in any year. There is a risk judgement that needs to be made as part of this quarterly decision making process.

Section 6
HRA Treasury Management

Background

Statutorily, the Housing Revenue Account is required to set a balanced budget, including recognition of the revenue implications that arise from capital financing decisions, and is also required to review this budget again during each year.

HRA Borrowing

As at 1 April 2016, the Housing Revenue Account was supporting external borrowing of £205,123,000 in the form of 41 maturity loans with the Public Works Loans Board (PWLB), with rates ranging between 3.44% and 3.53%. The loans have varying maturity dates, with the first £5,000,000 due to be repaid on 28th March 2037, and the last loan on 28th March 2057.

The HRA Capital Financing Requirement (HRA CFR) stood at £204,429,000 due to a small amount (£694,000) of internal borrowing from the HRA by the General Fund. Other than this £694,000, the HRA has no further borrowing capacity, due to the HRA debt cap imposed as part of the implementation of self-financing for the HRA.

The General Fund is required to pay the HRA annual interest on the internal borrowing as part of the Item 8 Determination for the HRA. The interest rate payable to the HRA can be determined by the authority, but must be deemed reasonable and stand up to external scrutiny from auditors.

Debt Repayment / Re-Investment

Set-Aside for Repayment of HRA Debt

The previous debt repayment strategy for the HRA, to set-aside (informally) the resource to repay housing debt, has been replaced with an approach which invests resource in new build housing, assuming the need to re-finance the borrowing when loans mature.

The debt repayment or re-investment reserve stood at £8,500,000 at 1 April 2016, with the current assumption being that this will be re-invested in full by 2048/49 in order to extend the life of the business plan, once other resources are exhausted, and the debt cap would otherwise be breached.

Regular consideration will need to be given, in the context of the current financial climate and the expectation that HRA stock numbers will fall significantly over the coming years when the higher value voids levy comes into force, whether the authority wants to retain this revised strategy, or re-consider some element of set-aside if resources allow.

Section 7
Summary and Overview

Uncertainties and Risk

Risk Assessment

To ensure that the authority is able to sustain a financially viable Housing Revenue Account, consideration is given to the level of internal and external risks that the service is subject to.

The authority maintains a risk registers, which incorporate specific risks affecting the Housing Revenue Account, considering the likelihood and impact associated with each risk, and the mitigation in place to counteract these. Risk registers are regularly updated and are reviewed by the Executive Management Team a number of times each year.

HRA Reserves

Housing Revenue Account General Reserves

General reserves are held to help manage risks inherent in financial forecasting. These risks include changes in legislative and statutory requirements, inflation and interest rates, unanticipated service needs, rent and other income shortfalls and emergencies. The reserve allows the authority time to respond to unanticipated events, without an immediate and unplanned impact on service delivery.

Reserves may be used to support the Housing Capital Investment Plan and, in the short-term, to support revenue spending, for example to spread the impact of savings requirements over

more than one financial year or to invest up front in a project that is anticipated to pay back over a period of time or deliver future savings.

The HRA Budget Setting Report incorporates the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, where the Chief Financial Officer is required to report on the adequacy of reserves and provisions and the robustness of budget estimates.

For the Housing Revenue Account the minimum level of reserves is assumed to be £2,000,000. It is not proposed to make any changes to the minimum levels as part of this report, recognising the need to continue to safeguard the Council against the higher levels of risk and uncertainty in the current financial and operational environment for housing.

Financial Assumptions and Sensitivity

The current financial assumptions, reviewed and used as part of this report, are detailed in **Appendix A**, and are derived from information available at the time of preparing this report, utilising both historic trend data and specialist expert advice and opinion, where required.

In making financial assumptions, it is recognised that there will always be a number of alternative values that could have been used. To mitigate the risks associated with this, modelling of key sensitivities is undertaken to provide context to the financial impact that a change in an assumption will make.

Appendix F provides details of the key sensitivities modelled in the preparation of the HRA Budget Setting Report 2017/18.

Options and Conclusions

Overview

The budget for 2017/18 has been constructed in the wider context of the national position for social housing. The authority still seeks to achieve a balance in investment against key housing priorities as follows, although this still proves challenging:

- Investment in the existing housing stock
- Investment in the delivery of new affordable homes
- Investment in new initiatives and income generating activities
- Spend on landlord services (i.e. housing management, responsive and void repairs)
- Support for, and potential repayment of, housing debt

1% rent cuts for a further 3 years, and the continued uncertainty in respect of the need to meet a higher value voids levy, pose significant financial challenges for the HRA into the future.

Summary and Conclusions

As part of the 2016/17 HRA Budget Setting Report, a savings target of £1,000,000 over 4 years was incorporated into future financial forecasts. Although savings and increased income, after allowing for any areas of unavoidable revenue pressure or reduced income, exceed the year 1 target, there is still the need to identify the balance of the £1,000,000 savings programme to be able to present a balanced HRA revenue budget over the longer term.

Key revenue pressures, in the increase in staff recharges and associated overheads and additional costs of meeting the pension deficit that the HRA will bear from 2017/18 have been fortuitously offset by the retained rental income anticipated as a result of the delay in the need to meet a higher value voids levy. There has not been a review of the mechanism used to identify and apportion staff costs across the authority for a number of years. It is critical, however, that the costs recharged to the HRA are regularly scrutinised, to ensure that tenant's rents are meeting an appropriate share of the total costs incurred by the Council.

As part of a review of the long-term capital investment need in our housing stock, resource has been incorporated from year 6 onwards, to ensure that not only decent homes can be met long-term, but also that the authority maintains current investment levels in areas such as disabled adaptions, full refurbishments, structural works, energy conservation initiatives and replacement of estate roads and pathways.

The additional longer-term pressures identified in respect of investment in our existing housing stock, mean that the HRA Capital Investment Plan is just financially viable for the full 30 years of

the business plan, but with the need to utilise resources previously set-aside for potential debt redemption in the latter stages of this period.

Once the impact of the remaining changes in national housing policy are clear, and regulations are available, it will be necessary to undertake a strategic review of the financial position for the HRA, with a view to balancing the level of revenue savings to be sought going forward, with the need to have a 30 year capital investment plan which can be fully funded, whilst also meeting aspirations to deliver new affordable homes.

The review will include:

- Reviewing options for greater income generation, to include section 20 notices to ensure full cost recovery from leaseholders
- Reviewing spending on HRA revenue services
- Reviewing spending on the existing housing stock, to include both decent homes and discretionary expenditure
- Exploring the extension of shared housing services
- Exploring alternative delivery models for the provision of social housing
- Exploring alternative delivery models to maintain a new build housing programme

During February 2017, both Cabinet and Council will consider the budget proposals for the HRA, prior to decision.

The HRA Budget Setting Report recommends, in summary:

- Approval of changes in property rents, with social housing rents subject to a 1% rent cut from April 2017, whilst new affordable rents will be reviewed to ensure that rents and charges are no higher than 80% of market rent, less the 1% reductions from April 2017. Locally affordable rents are set at Local Housing Allowance level, which ensures that this is the case without the need for detailed review on a property by property basis.
- Approval of garage rents as detailed in **Appendix B**
- Approval of service charges as detailed in **Appendix B**

- Approval of the unavoidable revenue pressures, reduced income, savings and increased income summarised in Section 4 of this report, and include in detail at **Appendix G**
- Approval of the HRA revenue budget for 2017/18 as shown in **Appendix I**
- Approval of the Housing Capital Programme for 2017/18 to 2021/22 as shown in **Appendix J**
- Agreement to retention of the balance of the £1,000,000 revenue savings target, and to the approach to delivering both a balanced revenue budget for the next 30 years, alongside a sustainable capital investment programme.

Business Planning Assumptions Appendix A

Business Planning Assumptions (Highlighting Changes)

Key Area	Assumption	Comment	Status
General Inflation (CPI)	1.9%, then 2.4% ongoing	General inflation on expenditure included at 1.9% for 2017/18, then 2.4% ongoing, per OBR (Office for Budgetary Responsibility) forecasts.	Retained
Capital Inflation	**2.9%, then 3.4% ongoing**	**Based upon inflation as measured by the Retail Price Index (RPI), assuming this to be 1% above CPI over the longer-term. This concurs with the majority of current contracts held by the HRA.**	**Amended**
Debt Repayment	Set-aside to repay debt if resource allows	Assumes set-aside to repay debt as loans reach maturity dates if resource allows, with any surplus re-invested in income generating assets. No resource currently available.	Retained
Capital Investment	Partial Investment Standard	Base model assumes a partial investment standard in the housing stock, compared with a basic decent homes standard. This will be reviewed again during 2017/18.	Retained
Pay Inflation	1.3% Pay Progression plus: 2017/18 – 1.0% 2018/19 – 1.0% 2019/20 – 1.0% 2% ongoing	Assume allowance for increments at 1.3%. Pay inflation for three years from 2017/18 limited to 1% reflecting recent Government guidance, and a return to 2% thereafter, reflecting economic recovery.	Retained
Employee Vacancy Allowance	£50,000	Employee budgets assume a vacancy allowance of £50,000 per annum.	Retained
Rent Increase Inflation	-1% from 2016/17 for 4 years, CPI plus 1% for 4 years, then CPI plus 0.5% from 2024/25	Rent decreases of 1% per annum per government guidelines from 2016/17 to 2019/20, then CPI plus 1% until the end of the 10 year period, then reverting to inflation plus 0.5%. Assume CPI in preceding September is as above. Affordable rents and charges reviewed in line with Local Housing Allowance levels.	Retained
Rent Convergence	Voids Only	Ability to move to target rent achieved only through movement of void properties directly to target rent.	Retained
External Lending Interest Rate	**1.35% for 2016/17, then 1%**	**Interest rates based on latest market achievement, including interest from Ermine Street Housing**	**Amended**
Internal Lending Interest Rate	**1.35% for 2016/17, then**	**Assume the same rate as anticipated can be earned on cash balances held, so as not to**	**Amended**

Key Area	Assumption	Comment	Status
	1%	**detriment the General Fund over the longer term.**	
External Borrowing Interest Rate	2.4%, 2.5%, then 2.7% ongoing	Assumes additional borrowing using Capita predictions of PWLB rates, rising to 2.7% over the next 3 years, including assumed certainty rate.	Retained
Internal Borrowing Interest Rate	2.4%, 2.5%, then 2.7% ongoing	Assume the same rate as external borrowing to ensure flexibility in choice of borrowing route.	Retained
HRA Minimum Balances	£2,000,000	Maintain HRA minimum balance at £2,000,000, pending a review once the impact of the higher value voids levy and other housing policy changes are clear.	Retained
Right to Buy Sales	25 for 2 years, 20 for 3 years, then 15 sales ongoing	Pay to Stay expected to return to a higher level of activity. Assume 25 for 2016/17 and 2017/18, 20 for 3 years from 2018/19, until 15 are assumed ongoing from 2021/22.	Retained
Right to Buy Receipts	Settlement receipts excluded. Retained receipts included.	Debt settlement receipts excluded as assumed to fund General Fund housing capital expenditure. Anticipated one-for one receipts included. Debt repayment proportion reported as at 1/4/2016 and assumed available for intended use.	Retained
Void Rates	1.1%	Assumes 1.1% per annum from 2017/18 onwards.	Retained
Bad Debts	0.3% for 2017/18, 0.35% for 2018/19, 0.4% for 2019/20, then 0.5%	Bad debt provision of up to 0.5% over 5 years to reflect the requirement to collect 100% of rent directly for new benefit claimants, following phased implementation of Universal Credit from 2016 to 2020.	Retained
Savings Target	£250,000 per annum for 4 years	Inclusion of a savings target at £250,000 per year ongoing, for 4 years from 2017/18 to 2020/21, reducing base budgets by £1,000,000 over this period.	Retained
Responsive Repairs Expenditure	Adjusted pro rata to stock changes	An assumption is made that direct responsive repair expenditure is adjusted annually in line with any change in stock numbers.	Retained
Policy Space	£0	No policy space incorporated at present, but if included would recognise a desire to be able to facilitate strategic investment and respond to pressures. To be reviewed again as part of 2017/18 MTFS.	Retained
Service Reviews and Restructures	On case by case basis	Service review outcomes assumed to deliver to the HRA as indicated in the review business case, and incorporated once impact is known.	Retained

Service Charges Appendix B

Charge Description	Charge Basis	Current Charges 2016/17 (£)	Proposed Charges 2017/18 (£)	Increase (%)	Increase (£)
General Housing					
Use and Occupation Fee	Weekly	As per Target Rent	As per Target Rent	-1%	Variable
Sewage	Weekly	4.82 to 5.20	As per Anglian Water Standard Rates	TBC	TBC
White Goods Charge (per item)	Weekly	1.50	1.50	0%	0.00
Management Charge (Third Party)	Weekly	As per third party charge	As per third party charge	TBC	TBC
General Stock - Flats					
Blocks with Door Entry	Weekly	3.31	3.37	1.9%	0.06
Blocks without Door Entry	Weekly	2.20	2.24	1.9%	0.04
General Sheltered Schemes					
Sheltered Charge (Staffing)	Weekly	3.46 to 4.99	4.56 to 6.16	Variable	Variable
Communal Premises Charge	Weekly	0.00 to 18.86	0.00 to 15.84	Variable	Variable
Grounds Maintenance Charge	Weekly	0.15 to 5.67	0.28 to 2.34	Variable	Variable
Communal Heating / Lighting (Elm Court)	Weekly	8.83	8.83	0%	0.00
Water (Elm Court)	Weekly	2.76	3.20	15.9%	0.44
White Goods Charge (per item)	Weekly	1.50	1.50	0%	0.00
Alarm Charge	Weekly	3.00	3.00	0%	0.00
Elderly Equity Share (As per Sheltered Housing recovered quarterly, plus charges below)					
External Property Repairs	Quarterly	14.69 to 28.34	14.30 to 27.56	Variable	Variable
Management Fee (10%)	Quarterly	7.28 to 34.45	9.23 to 33.28	Variable	Variable
Temporary Accommodation					
Temporary Let Charge	Weekly	30.00	31.00	3.3%	1.00
Community Alarm Service					
Council Supplied Alarm	Weekly	4.47	4.47	0%	0.00
Group Alarms	Weekly	4.47	4.47	0%	0.00

Mobile Alarm Solution	Weekly	N/A	5.47	New	New
Key Safe Charge	Weekly	N/A	TBC	New	New
Installation Charge (Within 30 mile radius)	One-Off	25.00	30.00	20%	5.00
Installation Charge (Outside 30 mile radius)	One-Off	30.00	36.00	20%	6.00
Replacement Pendant Charge	One-Off	50.00	50.00	0%	0.00
Garage and Storage Unit Rents					
Garages or Storage Unit Rented to Tenant/Leaseholder	Weekly	8.37	8.53	1.9%	0.16
More than 2 Garages Rented to Tenant/Leaseholder	Weekly	8.37 plus VAT	8.53 plus VAT	1.9%	0.16 plus VAT
All Other Garage and Storage Unit Rentals	Weekly	11.54 plus VAT	11.76 plus VAT	1.9%	0.22 plus VAT
Leasehold Charges for Services					
Solicitors' pre-sale enquiries	One-Off	110.00	110.00	0%	0.00
Copy of lease	One-Off	30.00	30.00	0%	0.00
Re-mortgage Enquiry/Copy of Insurance schedule	One-Off	30.00	30.00	0%	0.00
Notice of Assignment/Notice of Charge/Notice of Transfer	One-Off	75.00	75.00	0%	0.00
Deed of Variations	One-Off	150.00	150.00	0%	0.00
Home Improvements – Administration Only Inclusive of Surveyor Visit	One-Off	30.00 125.00	30.00 125.00	0%	0.00
Retrospective consent for improvements	One-Off	Above + 25.00	Above + 25.00	0%	0.00
Registering sub-let details	One-Off	50.00	50.00	0%	0.00

HRA Earmarked & Specific Funds Appendix C

2016/17 (£'000)

HRA Earmarked & Specific Revenue Funds (£'000)

Self-Insurance Reserve

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
Self-Insurance Reserve	(1,000.0)	0.0	0.0	(1,000.0)

Debt Set-Aside (Revenue)

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
Debt Set-Aside	(8,500.0)	0.0	0.0	(8,500.0)

HRA Earmarked & Specific Capital Funds (£'000)

Debt Set-Aside (Capital)

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
Debt Set-Aside	(2,998.5)	(883.2)	0.0	(3,881.7)

Major Repairs Reserve

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
MRR	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

RTB Retained Receipts Reserve

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
RTB Retained Receipts	(4,459.1)	(2,409.3)	700.5	6,167.9

Capital Receipts

	Opening Balance	Contributions	Expenditure to December	Current Balance
Capital Receipts	(2,221.5)	(0.3)	0.0	(2,221.8)

Retained Right to Buy Receipts

Appendix D

Quarter date for Receipt	Retained 1-4-1 Receipt Value (Per Quarter)	Retained 1-4-1 Receipt Value (Cumulative)	Amount of New Build Expenditure Required (Cumulative)	Deadline for Receipt to be spent on New Dwelling	Qualifying Spend by Deadline (Cumulative)	Retained 1-4-1 Receipt Spent (Cumulative)	Balance of Retained 1-4-1 Receipts to be Spent or Paid to CLG (Cumulative)	Further New Build Spend Required by Deadline (Cumulative)
30/06/2012	273,807.59	273,807.59	912,691.97	30/06/2015	4,803,740.45	1,441,122.14	0.00	0.00
30/09/2012	110,185.59	383,993.18	1,279,977.27	30/09/2015	5,486,448.80	1,645,934.64	0.00	0.00
31/12/2012	786,867.59	1,170,860.77	3,902,869.23	31/12/2015	6,535,409.29	1,960,622.79	0.00	0.00
31/03/2013	257,177.59	1,428,038.36	4,760,127.87	31/03/2016	7,792,759.75	2,337,827.93	0.00	0.00
30/06/2013	180,159.83	1,608,198.19	5,360,660.63	30/06/2016	8,432,767.38	2,529,830.21	0.00	0.00
30/09/2013	408,259.67	2,016,457.86	6,721,526.20	30/09/2016	8,843,151.50	2,652,945.45	0.00	0.00
31/12/2013	405,074.37	2,421,532.23	8,071,774.10	31/12/2016	10,127,607.86	3,038,282.36	0.00	0.00
31/03/2014	1,012,895.75	3,434,427.98	11,448,093.27	31/03/2017			396,145.62	1,320,485.41
30/06/2014	190,149.46	3,624,577.44	12,081,924.80	30/06/2017			586,295.08	1,954,316.94
30/09/2014	542,412.66	4,166,990.10	13,889,967.00	30/09/2017			1,128,707.74	3,762,359.14
31/12/2014	490,971.13	4,657,961.23	15,526,537.43	31/12/2017			1,619,678.87	5,398,929.57
31/03/2015	417,089.12	5,075,050.35	16,916,834.50	31/03/2018			2,036,767.99	6,789,226.64
30/06/2015	417,483.31	5,492,533.66	18,308,445.53	30/06/2018			2,454,251.30	8,180,837.67
30/09/2015	527,469.65	6,020,003.31	20,066,677.70	30/09/2018			2,981,720.95	9,939,069.84
31/12/2015	446,035.59	6,466,038.90	21,553,463.00	31/12/2018			3,427,756.54	11,425,855.14
31/03/2016	330,902.72	6,796,941.62	22,656,472.07	31/03/2019			3,758,659.26	12,528,864.21
30/06/2016	310,654.33	7,107,595.95	23,691,986.49	30/06/2019			4,069,313.59	13,564,378.63
30/09/2016	687,638.84	7,795,234.79	25,984,115.96	30/09/2019			4,756,952.44	15,856,508.13
31/12/2016	1,410,994.28	9,206,229.08	30,687,430.25	31/12/2019			6,167,946.72	20,559,822.39

New Build Investment Cashflow

Appendix E

New Build / Re-Development Scheme	2016/17 Budget	2017/18 Budget	2018/19 Budget	2019/20 Budget	2020/21 Budget	2021/22 Budget
	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0
Robinson Court Re-Development	200,000	2,109,540	0	0	0	0
Unallocated Re-Development	1,192,680	0	0	0	0	0
Swavesey 20D	472,900	0	0	0	0	0
Linton, 4D Horseheath Rd	191,260	0	0	0	0	0
Foxton, 13D Hill Farm	1,527,666	0	0	0	0	0
Pembroke Way, Teversham	50,000	798,790	0	0	0	0
Pampisford Road, Great Abington	0	1,383,077	0	0	0	0
Acquisitions	3,208,000	0	0	0	0	0
Unallocated New Build / Acquisition	0	4,259,703	5,846,196	6,543,399	7,357,684	5,000,000
New Build / Acquisition - Section 106 funded	342,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
Grants to Registered Providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Expenditure	**7,184,506**	**9,051,110**	**6,346,196**	**7,043,399**	**7,857,684**	**5,500,000**
Use of Retained Right to Buy Funding						
Swavesey 20D	(141,870)	0	0	0	0	0
Linton, 4D Horseheath Rd	(57,378)	0	0	0	0	0
Foxton, 13D Hill Farm	(458,300)	0	0	0	0	0
Pembroke Way, Teversham	(12,000)	(191,710)	0	0	0	0
Pampisford Road, Great Abington	0	(311,913)	0	0	0	0
Acquisitions	(962,470)	0	0	0	0	0
Unallocated New Build / Acquisition	0	(1,277,911)	(1,753,859)	(1,963,020)	(2,207,305)	(1,500,000)
Grants to Registered Providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Use of Retained Right to Buy Funding	**(1,632,018)**	**(1,781,534)**	**(1,753,859)**	**(1,963,020)**	**(2,207,305)**	**(1,500,000)**

New Build / Re-Development Scheme	2016/17 Budget	2017/18 Budget	2018/19 Budget	2019/20 Budget	2020/21 Budget	2021/22 Budget
	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0	£'0
Section 106 Funding						
New Build / Acquisition - Section 106 funded	(342,000)	(500,000)	(500,000)	(500,000)	(500,000)	(500,000)
Total Section 106 Funding	**(342,000)**	**(500,000)**	**(500,000)**	**(500,000)**	**(500,000)**	**(500,000)**
Total to be funded from HRA Resources (DRF & MRR), Sales Receipts and Non-RTB Capital Receipts	**(5,210,488)**	**(6,769,576)**	**(4,092,337)**	**(4,580,379)**	**(5,150,379)**	**(3,500,000)**
Total HRA Borrowing	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Key Sensitivity Analysis

Appendix F

Topic	Business Plan Assumption	Key Sensitivity Modelled	Financial Impact
General Inflation	General Inflation using CPI increasing to 2.4% for expenditure	Volatility in the economy could lead to an increase in external costs. 1% increase in general inflation for expenditure only for the life of the plan.	Inability to set a balanced budget from 2038/39 and debt cap breached from year 10.
Rents Inflation	Reduction in real terms of 1% per annum for 3 further years, then return to CPI plus 1%	Assumption that government policy only allows for a return to rent increases at CPI from 2020/21, and not CPI plus 1%.	Inability to set a balanced budget from 2038/39 and debt cap breached from year 10.
Investment Income	Interest on balances at 1%	Rates may recover more than anticipated, or long-term lending options may prove viable. Assume ongoing rate of 2% from 2017/18.	Interest received over the life of the plan increases from £8.7 million to £20.4 million.
Housing Rent Collection and Welfare Reforms	Costs based on historic activity.	Universal Credit results in 100% of rent being collected directly from tenants. Assume an ongoing increase in collection costs of £50,000 and in bad debt, an additional 1% per annum from 2017/18.	Debt cap breached from year 28.

Revenue Budget Proposals

Appendix G (1)

Category	Bid / Saving	Description	Bid / (Saving)				
			2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Saving	Net reduction in expenditure in respect of revenue repairs	It is proposed to reduce budgets for cyclical works, asbestos surveys, drainage surveys and specialist investigations, and removal of budgets for work to properties awaiting sale, fire and extreme weather and compensation for tenant improvements, where costs will be picked up elsewhere. Savings are partially offset by increased costs in respect of heating and thermostat servicing contracts, void works, internal and external response works and maintenance of disabled adaptations.	(161,370)	(161,370)	(161,370)	(161,370)	(161,370)
Saving	Removal of budget for a tenancy audit	This saving recognises that the tenancy audit was funded in 2016/17, and is not required to be repeated on an annual basis.	(33,120)	(33,120)	(33,120)	(33,120)	(33,120)
Saving	Net savings in the cost of the delivery of sheltered housing services	Budgets for sheltered housing services have been reduced following a service restructure, and a review of operational costs.	(106,260)	(106,260)	(106,260)	(106,260)	(106,260)
Saving	Reduction in expenditure in respect of tenant participation	Reductions are proposed in respect of support for tenant groups, consultation costs and premises related expenditure, in line with prior year spending and future spending plans.	(36,720)	(36,720)	(36,720)	(36,720)	(36,720)

Category	Bid / Saving	Description	Bid / (Saving)				
			2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Saving	Saving in inflation allowance in the HRA	The inflation allowed for in the HRA forecasts overall, was marginally higher than required when applied at detailed service level	(16,060)	(16,060)	(16,060)	(16,060)	(16,060)
Saving	Reduction in the revenue budget for the new homes programme	Reductions are proposed in the revenue costs associated with the up-front feasibility and any abortive cost of new build schemes, recognising the majority of schemes proceed to completion and that the H.D.A are now undertaking some of this work.	(41,900)	(41,900)	(41,900)	(41,900)	(41,900)
Increased Income	Net increase in garage and other income to the HRA	Based upon the number of garages currently let, there is anticipated to be additional income generated for the HRA in 2017/18, which is partially offset by reductions in other income.	(10,920)	(10,920)	(10,920)	(10,920)	(10,920)
Increased Income	Increase in income for community lifeline service	A higher level of income for the community lifeline service is anticipated for 2017/18.	(6,850)	(6,850)	(6,850)	(6,850)	(6,850)
Increased Income	Increase in income for recharges to occupants of flatted accommodation	A higher level of income for the recharge of services to those in flats is anticipated for 2017/18.	(2,140)	(2,140)	(2,140)	(2,140)	(2,140)
Increased Income	Increased dwelling rent income for the HRA	Increased rental income in 2017/18, due to the deferral of the introduction of the higher value voids levy until 2018/19.	(555,830)	(555,830)	(555,830)	(555,830)	(555,830)
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increased pension cost	The cost to the authority in respect of meeting pension deficit contributions has increased, with a proportional impact of the increase falling to the HRA.	174,850	174,850	174,850	174,850	174,850

Category	Bid / Saving	Description	Bid / (Saving)				
			2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increase in the running costs for the community lifeline service	Budgets for alarm purchase have been reviewed and increased by a net sum to reflect the need to introduce capacity for the provision of mobile alarm devices for those without a landline telephone.	5,440	5,440	5,440	5,440	5,440
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increase in costs of outdoor maintenance for HRA sites	Based upon the latest contract prices, the cost of outdoor maintenance has increased for the HRA.	5,890	5,890	5,890	5,890	5,890
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increase in the cost for the registration of HRA land	Based upon recent expenditure, the budget for the registration of HRA is proposed to be increased.	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increase in general administrative costs for the HRA	It is proposed to increase budgets for valuations of HRA land and properties in line with prior year expenditure, and to include an additional budget for specific anti-social behaviour activity	9,720	9,720	9,720	9,720	9,720
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Increase in the budget for work to communal areas of flatted accommodation	Based upon prior year expenditure, it is proposed to increase the budgets for repair works and energy costs in flat blocks.	9,350	9,350	9,350	9,350	9,350
Unavoidable Revenue Pressure	Net increase in recharges to the HRA from the General Fund	The cost of staff recharges, direct staff overheads and corporate costs passed to the HRA has increased significantly following a review of the recharge mechanism, particularly recognising the need for the HRA to attract a share of organisational overheads in respect of staff employed directly in service areas such as sheltered housing.	188,440	188,440	188,440	188,440	188,440
Reduced Income	Reduction in income for outdoor maintenance	The income for outdoor maintenance for 2017/18 is marginally lower than estimated.	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000

Category	Bid / Saving	Description	Bid / (Saving)				
			2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Reduced Income	Net reduction in income for sewage and cesspool recharges	A net reduction in recharge income is anticipated, after allowing for a reduction in anticipated costs.	5,190	5,190	5,190	5,190	5,190
Reduced Income	Reduction in service charge income for sheltered housing	Following a review of sheltered housing service delivery, it is anticipated that the income received from service charges will be lower than previously received.	53,430	53,430	53,430	53,430	53,430
Bid	Increase staffing capacity in the Community Lifeline Service	It is proposed to increase staffing by 22.5 hours, to employ an additional part-time Tele-Care Co-Ordinator, with all service administration carried out by the team from April 2017, and not by other housing staff.	18,220	18,220	18,220	18,220	18,220
Total Net Bids / (Savings)			**(496,680)**	**(496,680)**	**(496,680)**	**(496,680)**	**(496,680)**
Non-Cash Limit	Reduced level of Direct Revenue Financing of Capital Expenditure	A lower level of revenue financing of capital expenditure is required in 2017/18, as anticipated capital receipts from the sale of market dwellings of the Robinson Court site will instead be used to fund capital expenditure in this year. This resource will instead be used in later years.	(1,797,900)	0	0	0	0
Non-Cash Limit	Additional interest income	Based upon the latest balances held, the HRA anticipates receiving marginally higher interest income in 2017/18.	(97,700)	0	0	0	0

Non-Cash Limit	Increase in depreciation charge to the HRA	Based upon the latest stock estimates for 2017/18, the level of depreciation is expected to be marginally higher than previously forecast.	97,160	97,160	97,160	97,160	97,160
Total Net HRA Revenue Position			**(2,295,120)**	**(399,520)**	**(399,520)**	**(399,520)**	**(399,520)**

Capital Budget Proposals

Appendix G(2)

Category	Bid / Saving	Description	Bid / (Saving)				
			2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Capital Saving	Reduction in capital expenditure for general housing stock	It is proposed to reduce budgets in respect of capital works in void dwellings, energy conservation works, parking facility provision and garage refurbishment works, based upon prior year activity and future investment plans.	(723,200)	(723,200)	(723,200)	(723,200)	(723,200)
Capital Saving	Reduction in capital expenditure for communal areas of flatted accommodation	The cost of major works in flat blocks is expected to be lower in 2017/18 than previously estimated.	(11,110)	0	0	0	0
Capital Bid	Increased investment in general housing stock	It is proposed to increase budgets in respect of rewiring and drainage upgrades, where the investment need is greater than previously anticipated.	147,880	147,880	147,880	147,880	147,880
Capital Bid	Increased investment in sheltered housing stock	It is proposed to increase budgets in respect of capital investment in the communal areas of sheltered housing.	3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150
Capital Bid	Increased contribution to corporately incurred capital investment	The HRA contribution to corporate capital investment is higher from 2017/18 than previously anticipated.	13,030	13,030	13,030	13,030	13,030
Total Net Capital Position Bids / (Savings)			**(570,250)**	**(559,140)**	**(559,140)**	**(559,140)**	**(559,140)**

Capital Budget Amendments

Appendix H

Area of Expenditure and Change	2016/17 £'000	2017/18 £'000	2018/19 £'000	2019/20 £'000	2020/21 £'000
Total Housing Capital Plan Expenditure per HRA MTFS	**18,954**	**17,793**	**15,154**	**15,923**	**16,670**
Improvements – Existing Stock					
Inclusion of inflationary element of drainage upgrade works	0	10	20	31	41
Reduction in investment in decent homes works whilst stock is void	0	(124)	(137)	(149)	(162)
Increased investment in re-wiring in 2017/18	0	138	0	0	0
Reduction in investment for heating installation	0	0	(460)	(469)	(479)
Reduction in discretionary investment in energy conservation	0	(488)	(572)	(584)	(595)
Reduced investment in garage refurbishment, as some sites are suitable for re-development as an alternative option	0	(76)	(78)	(79)	(81)
Reduced investment in parking areas	0	(45)	(69)	(71)	(73)
Reduction in expenditure in respect of full property refurbishment	0	0	(43)	(58)	(73)
Reduction in the contingency held for structural works	0	0	(62)	(66)	(71)
Adjustment to decent homes investment due to anticipated stock changes	0	100	212	248	287
Other Improvements					
Increased capital investment in sheltered housing	0	3	3	3	3
Reduction in investment in communal areas of flatted accommodation in 2017/18	0	(11)	0	0	0
Increase in HRA share of corporate capital investment	0	13	13	13	13
Re-Provision of Existing Homes					
Increase in costs for the re-provision of homes at Robinson Court, Gamlingay	0	73	0	0	0
Acquisition and New Build					
Removal of the budget for new build at Highfields, Caldecote, as no formal decision has yet been made	0	(431)	0	0	0
Transfer of resource ear-marked for Highfields, Caldecote,	0	431	0	0	0

Area of Expenditure and Change	2016/17 £'000	2017/18 £'000	2018/19 £'000	2019/20 £'000	2020/21 £'000
back into general new build investment pending scheme approval					
Increase in budget for new build investment to be funded using Section 106 resources	0	287	241	241	241
Other HRA Capital Spend					
Inclusion of budget for the site preparation and other up-front cost associated with the sale of HRA self-build plots	0	300	600	780	0
Inclusion of HRA share of rollover of IT investment from 2015/16	187	0	0	0	0
Inclusion of budget for replacement of the Housing Management Information System where rollover will not be possible	0	100	0	0	0
Reduction in anticipated contribution to corporate ICT development	0	0	0	0	(4)
Inflation Allowance					
Adjustment in inflation allowed as spend changes	0	3	0	0	0
Total Housing Capital Plan Expenditure per HRA BSR	**19,141**	**18,076**	**14,823**	**15,764**	**15,718**

HRA Summary 2016/17 to 2021/22

Appendix I

Description	2016/17 £0	2017/18 £0	2018/19 £0	2019/20 £0	2020/21 £0	2021/22 £0
Income						
Rental Income (Dwellings)	(28,267,090)	(28,030,500)	(27,472,410)	(26,958,850)	(27,643,640)	(28,396,070)
Rental Income (Other)	(401,080)	(419,430)	(429,260)	(439,320)	(449,620)	(460,170)
Service Charges	(1,080,600)	(813,300)	(831,630)	(850,410)	(869,640)	(889,320)
Other Income	(447,920)	(464,740)	(465,140)	(167,060)	(171,070)	(175,170)
Total Income	**(30,196,690)**	**(29,727,970)**	**(29,198,440)**	**(28,415,640)**	**(29,133,970)**	**(29,920,730)**
Expenditure						
Supervision & Management - General	3,044,520	3,166,980	3,252,570	3,352,380	3,469,600	3,591,670
Supervision & Management - Special	2,049,040	1,923,420	1,965,260	1,695,460	1,741,360	1,788,540
Repairs & Maintenance	5,660,910	5,601,240	5,778,340	5,895,830	6,033,160	5,984,100
Depreciation – to Major Repairs Res.	14,382,430	9,757,010	9,919,290	9,978,460	10,044,070	10,123,410
Debt Management Expenditure	24,370	1,780	1,820	1,870	1,910	1,960
Other Expenditure	247,820	294,080	211,190	(21,350)	(235,630)	(225,720)
Total Expenditure	**25,409,090**	**20,744,510**	**21,128,470**	**20,902,650**	**21,054,470**	**21,263,960**
Net Cost of HRA Services	**(4,787,600)**	**(8,983,460)**	**(8,069,970)**	**(7,512,990)**	**(8,079,500)**	**(8,656,770)**
HRA Share of operating income and expenditure included in Whole Authority I&E Account						
Interest Receivable	(319,500)	(228,740)	(246,720)	(266,540)	(264,990)	(262,120)
(Surplus) / Deficit on the HRA for the Year	**(5,107,100)**	**(9,212,200)**	**(8,316,690)**	**(7,779,530)**	**(8,344,490)**	**(8,918,890)**
Items not in the HRA Income and Expenditure Account but included in the movement on HRA balance						
Loan Interest	7,183,440	7,185,870	7,185,870	7,185,870	7,185,870	7,185,870
Housing Set Aside	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appropriation from Ear-Marked Reserve	(54,960)	0	0	0	0	0
Depreciation Adjustment	(8,049,460)	0	0	0	0	0
Direct Revenue Financing of Capital	9,747,690	2,206,580	70,000	118,750	608,700	664,350

(Surplus) / Deficit for Year	**3,719,610**	**180,250**	**(1,060,820)**	**(474,910)**	**(549,920)**	**(1,068,670)**
Balance b/f	(8,072,873)	(4,353,263)	(4,173,013)	(5,233,833)	(5,708,743)	(6,258,663)
Total Balance c/f	**(4,353,263)**	**(4,173,013)**	**(5,233,833)**	**(5,708,743)**	**(6,258,663)**	**(7,327,333)**

Housing Capital Investment Plan
Appendix J

Description	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Improvements - Existing Stock						
Water/Drainage Upgrades	77	78	80	81	83	84
Drainage Upgrades	310	320	330	341	351	0
Disabled Adaptations	816	832	849	866	883	901
Change of Tenancy - Capital	500	500	500	500	500	500
Rewiring	306	450	318	325	331	338
Heating Installation	2,509	2,000	2,040	2,081	2,122	2,165
Energy Conservation	1,500	1,000	1,020	1,040	1,061	1,082
Estate Roads, Paths & Lighting	82	84	85	87	89	90
Garage Refurbishment	50	51	52	53	54	55
Parking/Garages	15	15	16	16	16	17
Window Replacement	411	265	271	276	282	287
Re-Roofing	568	437	446	455	464	473
Full Refurbishments	253	200	200	200	200	200
Structural Works	150	150	150	150	150	150
Non-Traditional Refurbishment	1,412	0	0	0	0	0
Asbestos Removal	33	34	34	35	35	36
Kitchen Refurbishment	714	728	743	758	773	788
Bathroom Refurbishment	306	312	318	325	331	338
Wilford Furlong, Willingham Refurbishment	933	644	0	0	0	0

Description	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Assumed adjustment in spend for varying stock numbers	0	0	(49)	(171)	(288)	(364)
Total Improvements Existing Stock	**10,945**	**8,100**	**7,403**	**7,418**	**7,437**	**7,140**
Other Improvements						
Sheltered Housing and Other Stock	155	55	55	55	55	55
Flats	30	20	30	30	30	30
Central / Departmental Investment	7	19	19	19	19	19
Total Other Improvements	**192**	**94**	**104**	**104**	**104**	**104**
Re-provision of Existing Homes						
Robinson Court, Gamlingay	200	2110	0	0	0	0
Other Re-provision	1,193	0	0	0	0	0
Total Re-provision of Existing Homes	**1,393**	**2,110**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
HRA Acquisition and New Build						
Fen Drayton Road, Swavesey	473	0	0	0	0	0
Horseheath Road, Linton	191	0	0	0	0	0
Hill Farm, Foxton	1,528	0	0	0	0	0
Pembroke Way, Teversham	50	799	0	0	0	0
Pampisford Road, Great Abington	0	1,383	0	0	0	0
Acquisitions	3,208	0	0	0	0	0
Unallocated New Build / Acquisition Budget	0	4,260	5,846	6,543	7,358	5,000
New Build / Acquisition – Section 106 funded	342	500	500	500	500	500
Grants to Registered Providers for New Homes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total HRA New Build	**5,792**	**6,942**	**6,346**	**7,043**	**7,858**	**5,500**

Description	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Other HRA Capital Spend						
Shared Ownership Repurchase	300	300	300	300	300	300
Self-Build Vanguard - Up front HRA Land Assembly Costs	118	300	600	780	0	0
HRA Share of Corporate ICT Development	401	230	70	119	19	19
Total Other HRA Capital Spend	**819**	**830**	**970**	**1,199**	**319**	**319**
Total HRA Capital Spend	**19,141**	**18,076**	**14,823**	**15,764**	**15,718**	**13,063**
Inflation Allowance for New Build and Other HRA Spend	0	0	0	0	0	136
Total Inflated Housing Capital Spend	**19,141**	**18,076**	**14,823**	**15,764**	**15,718**	**13,199**
Housing Capital Resources						
Right to Buy Receipts	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Capital Receipts (Land and Dwellings)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Capital Receipts (Self-Build Plot Sales)	0	(1,250)	(2,500)	(3,250)	0	0
Major Repairs Reserve	(6,332)	(9,758)	(8,564)	(9,372)	(12,007)	(10,123)
Direct Revenue Financing of Capital	(9,748)	(2,207)	(70)	(119)	(609)	(664)
Other Capital Resources (Grants / Shared Ownership / S106 funding)	(745)	(2,273)	(1,380)	(884)	(897)	(910)
Retained Right to Buy Receipts	(1,632)	(1,781)	(1,754)	(1,963)	(2,207)	(1,500)
Retained Right to Buy Receipts (Used by Registered Provider)	0	0	0	0	0	0
HRA CFR / Prudential Borrowing	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Housing Capital Resources	**(18,457)**	**(17,269)**	**(14,268)**	**(15,588)**	**(15,720)**	**(13,197)**

Description	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Net (Surplus) / Deficit of Resources	684	807	555	176	(2)	2
Capital Balances b/f	**(2,222)**	**(1,538)**	**(731)**	**(176)**	**0**	**(2)**
Use of / (Contribution to) Balances in Year	684	807	555	176	(2)	2
Capital Balances c/f	**(1,538)**	**(731)**	**(176)**	**0**	**(2)**	**0**

Note: Generally available capital receipts from the sale of properties under the right to buy as assumed in the self-financing debt settlement, have been excluded on the basis that they are utilised to fund general fund housing capital expenditure, i.e.; Disabled Facilities Grants and Repairs Assistance Grants.

Appendix C – Capital Strategy 2017/18 to 2021/22

-

1.INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Capital Strategy forms a key part of the Council's overall corporate planning framework. It provides the mechanism by which the Council's capital investment and financing decisions can be aligned with the Council's overarching corporate priorities and objectives over a medium term, five years, planning horizon.

1.2 The strategy sets the framework for all aspects of the Council's capital expenditure; including planning, prioritisation, management and funding. The strategy has direct links to the Council's Corporate Asset Management Plan and forms a key part of the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS).

1.3 The key aims of the Capital Strategy are to:

- Provide a clear context within which proposals for new capital expenditure are evaluated to ensure that all capital investment is targeted at meeting the Council's Vision, Aims, Approaches and Actions;
- Deliver projects that focus on delivering revenue benefits in the form of spend to save, spend to earn or generate growth in revenue income;
- Set out how the Council identifies, programmes and prioritises capital requirements and proposals arising from business plans, service plans, the Asset Management Plan (AMP) and other related strategies;
- Consider options available for funding capital expenditure and how resources may be maximised, to generate investment in the area, to determine an affordable and sustainable funding policy framework whilst minimising the ongoing revenue implications of any such investment;
- Identify the resources available for capital investment over the MTFS planning period; and
- Establish effective arrangements for the management of capital expenditure including the assessment of project outcomes, budget profiling, deliverability, and the achievement of value for money.

2. CAPITAL PROGRAMME NEEDS AND PRIORITIES

2.1 Underlying the capital strategy is the recognition that the financial resources available to meet corporate priorities are constrained in the current economic and political climate. Central government support for capital investment has reduced significantly over the last few years, along with these reductions is the recognition that the Council must rely on internal resources and find ways in which investment decisions can be either self-sustaining or generate positive returns both in terms of meeting corporate objectives and producing revenue savings.

2.2 Against the background of limited central government support the Asset Management Plan identifies the total capital investment needed to support the Council's aims and objectives such as housing and economic development.

2.3 Significant investment in council housing over the last few years has succeeded in producing a property portfolio generally at or above the decent homes standard and, the

delivery of a new build programme with the first 39 new properties being completed by March 2017. Recent government proposals to reduce property rentals will impact on the Council's ability to continue this level of programme necessitating a strategic review of assets, service delivery and financing.

2.4 Given the majority of the Council's assets are housing there is limited opportunities to raise capital receipts through disposal of assets, therefore the limited capital resources available through grant, capital receipts and private sector contributions are prioritised to maximise outputs with minimum ongoing future revenue costs.

2.5 Capital investment in the Council's wholly owned subsidiary, Ermine Street Housing, offers the opportunity to realise interest receipts which will contribute to Council revenue funding.

2.6 Cambridgeshire is an area of growth with the Government's City Deal offering financial support, together with capital investment from the Council, to local partners to deliver additional infrastructure to facilitate the delivery of the homes and business space set out in the draft local plans for the Cambridge City and South Cambridgeshire District Council areas. This will in turn contribute towards council funding in the longer term in the form of additional council tax and business rates receipts.

2.7 Another opportunity is the designation of Enterprise and Development Zones, to date designated areas include sites at Cambourne Business Park, Cambridge Research Park and Northstowe which have the potential to offer incentives to enable the creation of new businesses and employment.

- Economic Investment
  The Council will continue to seek investments that generate longer term growth. These projects will yield a combination of revenue generation (business rates or interest), jobs and capital infrastructure investment, based on sound business cases.

- Housing
  Significant investment has been made in recent years to raise the standard of council dwellings to above the Government's decent homes standard, in addition to the decent homes investment the authority invests in energy conservation projects such as external wall insulation, solar energy initiatives and renewable heating sources, planned programmes will continue but within the changed and challenging restrictions resultant from future reductions in rental income.

  Housing Partnerships
- A joint venture with Cambridgeshire County Council and Cambridge City Council to deliver a shared governance Housing Development Agency will pool resources to complement the market driven housing development process, and provide an opportunity to support delivery of an additional 1,000 dwellings on exception sites by 2031, approximately 2,000 homes through new build strategies and, to act on land and funding opportunities proposed by the County Council and the University and Colleges meeting aspirations to retain a long term stake in any development and the draw down of revenue stream incomes.

  Corporate Property
- To manage its maintenance liability the Council is rationalising its office accommodation through sub-let of office space so providing a contribution to ongoing revenue savings. In addition a process of on-going reviews will identify potential alternate use of office buildings and car park for capital investment to generate long term revenue savings.

ICT

- The Council's ICT service is shared with Cambridge City and Huntingdonshire District Council, appropriate investment into ICT hardware and software will be undertaken on a case by case basis, the primary focus being improved technologies on a spend to save basis.

Refuse and Recycling Collection

- A shared trade and domestic waste collection service with Cambridge City supported by capital investment will achieve long term revenue savings through service rationalisation and vehicle efficiencies.

Community Projects

- Capital grants to other organisations where the Council incurs no staff or other recurring costs; these organisations are expected to raise additional capital resources from the National Lottery, Sports Council, etc. The Council has a funding toolkit on its website to assist organisations seeking funding.

2.8 The Council's capital investment falls within, and needs to comply with, the 'Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities' (The Code). Under the Code local authorities have greater discretion over the funding of capital expenditure especially the freedom to determine, within the regulatory framework of the Code, the level of borrowing they wish to undertake to deliver their capital plans and programmes.

2.9 The Council has various mechanisms in place which seek to ensure that there is an integrated approach to addressing cross-cutting issues and developing and improving service delivery through its capital investment in pursuance of the Council's over-arching aims. These include:

- Democratic decision making and scrutiny processes which provide overall political direction and ensure accountability for the investment in the capital programme. These processes include:
    - The Council which is ultimately responsible for approving investment and the capital programme;
    - The Cabinet which is responsible for setting the corporate framework and political priorities to be reflected in the capital programme, Cabinet receiving quarterly monitoring reports;
    - The Scrutiny and Overview Committee which is responsible for scrutiny of the Capital Strategy and capital programme.

- Officer groups which bring together a range of service interests and professional expertise. These include:
    - The Executive Management Team which has overall responsibility for the strategic development, management and monitoring of the capital programme;
    - Corporate Management Team, service manager review and monitoring of key areas
    - Specific project boards with wide ranging membership, for example the City Deal Board;
    - Management teams overview of reports for investments prior to Cabinet and Executive Management Team approval;
    - Management groups are also created to oversee significant capital projects as required.

- An integrated service and financial planning process; within this framework all proposals for capital investment are required to demonstrate how they contribute to the Council's

aims and objectives. The evaluation process for investment proposals aligning corporate objectives with costs and benefits ensuring delivery of efficiency and value for money.

3. FUNDING STRATEGY

3.1 In general terms, the major source of capital funding available to the Council has been grant approvals allocated by Central Government to specific or non-specific projects. This is a diminishing resource and where a priority is identified alternate funds need to be sourced.

3.2 There are a range of other potential funding sources which may be generated locally either by the Council itself or in partnership with others. Each project or programme will be subject to the approval process to include funding and lifetime costings of the asset going forward.

3.3 New sources of funding are being identified in partnership with neighbouring authorities and organisations, for example City Deal.

3.4 Unallocated capital receipts received prior to April 2012 are available for general use and as such will be used for General Fund and/or Housing Revenue Account capital expenditure. Capital receipts received after April 2012 primarily relate to HRA property and land sales, the use of which is detailed in the Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and Council capital programme.

3.5 Minimum revenue provision
The Council's policy on the minimum revenue provision, being a provision for debt repayment to be set aside each year regardless of when debt repayment is actually made, will be either the asset life method calculated by dividing the cost of an asset by its estimated useful life, or an agreed percentage. Where a loan is made to a wholly owned subsidiary of the Council, the loan is deemed to be secured on the assets of the company and, supported by the business plan, evidence of the ability to repay the loan, in which case no minimum revenue provision will be made. The Council will review the loan and business plan annually, where there is evidence which suggests the full amount of the loan will not be repaid it will be necessary to reassess the charge to recover the impaired amounts from revenue.
Exceptionally, where capital expenditure is part of a loan agreement to other than a wholly owned subsidiary, the Council may register a fixed and floating charge over the counterparty assets to secure the Council interest in the investment, or alternately an equity share interest in an asset with value. The impact on HRA Self-financing is excluded from the calculation of the minimum revenue provision under statutory guidance issued by the Department of Communities and Local Government.

3.6 The Capital Strategy, the outcomes of which inform the Medium Term Financial Strategy, is intended to consider all potential funding options open to the Council and to maximise the financial resources available for investment in service provision and improvement within the framework of the Medium Term Financial Strategy. The main sources of capital funding are summarised below:

4.Central Government

4.1 Grants are allocated in relation to specific programmes or projects and the Council would seek to maximise such allocations, developing appropriate projects which reflect government and partnership led initiatives and agendas while addressing the needs of the district.

4.2 A significant amount of current funding is in the form of the New Homes Bonus part of which is allocated to fund future capital infrastructure investment and City Deal funding, Government confirmed, in the Autumn Statement 2016, changes to the allocation of New Homes Bonus in 2017/18 and beyond. These are a reduction in the number of years payments are made from the current 6 years to 4 years from 2018/19; in addition no payment will be made on housing growth below 0.4% of the council tax base in each year, any reduction in this grant will have a direct effect on the delivery of these initiatives.

5.Third Party Funding

5.1 Capital grants; these represent project specific funding for capital projects, in addition to that from Central Government, which is more usually received from quasi-government sources or other national organisations. In developing capital proposals the Council will seek to maximise such external contributions, subject to any related grant conditions being consistent with the Council's policy, aims and outcomes.

5.2 The Council will seek opportunities to bid for future resource allocations using innovative service delivery vehicles for example through enterprise zones.

6.Private Contributions

6.1 The Council will also seek to implement the new Community Infrastructure Levy to support on-going investment.

6.2 The Council will continue to work with the private sector to utilise or re-purpose redundant assets to facilitate regeneration and employment creation.

7.Locally generated funding

7.1 Prudential 'unsupported' borrowing: under the Prudential Code the Council has discretion to undertake borrowing to fund capital projects with the full cost of that borrowing being funded from within Council resources as identified in the Medium Term Financial Strategy and annual budgets. This discretion is subject to compliance with the Code's regulatory framework which requires any such borrowing to be prudent, affordable and sustainable.

7.2 Historically, the main source of funding for local authorities has been the Public Works Loans Board; an alternate source for future funding is the Local Government Agency's vehicle the Local Capital Finance Company.

7.3 Given the pressure on the Council's revenue budget in future years, prudent use will be made of this discretion in cases where there is a clear financial benefit such as invest to save, spend to earn or regeneration schemes which do not increase expenditure in the longer term.

7.4 Such schemes will focus on the Council's Aims and Objectives with investment generating revenue benefits in future financial years, in the form of interest, income, council tax or business rate yield.

7.5 The Council will continue to consider on a prudent basis the extent to which prudential borrowing may be undertaken to fund new capital investment, which generates returns over and above the revenue costs of the debt.

7.6 Capital receipts from asset disposal; the majority of disposals relate to dwellings sold under the government right to buy scheme, the scheme allows the retention of some of the receipts subject to certain conditions i.e. used to fund the delivery of new social housing to a

maximum of 30% of any dwelling funded through this method, the balance being funded from the Council's own resources or through borrowing.

7.7 Government proposals suggest local authorities should be required to sell high value properties on the open market at the point the property becomes void, a payment being due to Central Government in respect of a sum derived from an estimate of the high value properties becoming vacant in the year, thereby reducing the capital receipt available to the authority.

7.8 Capital receipts from asset disposal are a finite funding source and it is important that a planned and structured manner of disposals is created to support the priorities of the Council. Cash receipts from the disposal of surplus assets are to be used to fund new capital investment as and when received.

7.9 Lease finance: where alternative funding is not available for vehicles or minor equipment and the revenue budget does not allow for a full capital repayment and there is a robust business case then the option of leasing may be considered. The financing of expenditure by lease needs to take into account;

- Value of expenditure
- Residual value
- Life span of equipment matching funding proposed
- Equipment to be replaced is part of a rolling programme that covers the whole service area or by type of equipment

7.10 The Council has a programme of vehicle replacement currently funded internally, historic vehicles hire contracts are replaced as capital purchases as contracts expire.

7.11 Revenue: capital expenditure may be funded directly from revenue as specific budget provision, however, the general pressures on the Council's revenue budget and council tax levels limits the extent to which this may be exercised as a source of capital funding

7.12 REFCUS or revenue expenditure funded from capital under statute describes transactions that would not be capitalised under proper accounting practice, but are defined as capital expenditure under the Local Government Act 2003 and its associated regulations. Examples of Council REFCUS expenditure are disabled facilities grants or community grants where the asset does not belong to the Council and which are funded from external grants, capital receipts or revenue.

7.13 Council resources will be allocated to programmes based on asset values to manage long term yield and revenue implications. Where possible capital receipts will be focussed on those assets with short term life span, e.g. vehicles and equipment, and the unsupported borrowing on long term assets e.g. land and buildings.

8.RESOURCES

8.1 The Capital Programme for 2017/18 to 2021/22 is attached as Appendix A and is included in the revenue and capital estimates report to Cabinet and Council.

9.GOVERNANCE OF THE CAPITAL PROGRAMME

9.1 The Council reviews its capital requirements and determines its capital programme within the framework of the Medium Term Financial Strategy and as part of the annual budget

process. Resource constraints mean the Council continually needs to prioritise expenditure in the light of its aims and objectives and consider alternate solutions. Investment appraisal forms and the criteria for prioritising capital bids are available to managers on the Council intranet..

9.2 To ensure that available resources are optimally allocated capital programme planning is determined in parallel with the service and revenue budget planning process within the framework of the MTFS. The Council's budget cycle is given at Appendix B. New programmes and projects will be appraised to determine affordability and alignment with the Council's Aims and Objectives.

9.3 Quarterly reports will continue to be submitted to Cabinet that identify:

- New resource allocations
- Slippage in programme delivery
- Programmes reduced or removed
- Virement between schemes and programmes to maximise delivery
- Revisions to spend profile and funding to ensure minimisation of ongoing revenue costs
- Projected outturn

Council assets are kept under review, valuations of land and property being undertaken by a professionally qualified valuer every five years, with an annual review at year end to ensure material changes in asset value are accounted for. The Corporate Asset Management Plan, Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and capital programme ensuring a comprehensive forward plan of maintenance and improvement work is maintained and delivered

Capital Programme 2017/18 to 2021/22

Capital Programme	Estimate 2017/2018 £	Estimate 2018/2019 £	Estimate 2019/2020 £	Estimate 2020/2021 £	Estimate 2021/2022 £
General Fund	35,729,000	23,368,000	27,821,650	27,559,250	2,436,250
Housing Revenue Account	18,075,070	14,823,440	15,763,860	15,719,640	13,197,950
Total Capital Expenditure	**53,804,070**	**38,191,440**	**43,585,510**	**43,278,890**	**15,634,200**
Financed by :					
Capital Receipts	(7,540,460)	(7,760,050)	(7,720,300)	(4,201,020)	(3,626,440)
Housing & Planning Delivery Grant	(84,600)	(84,600)	(84,600)	(84,600)	0
Other Grants and Contributions	(1,140,860)	(862,000)	(862,000)	(862,000)	(862,000)
HRA Depreciation Reserve	(9,758,430)	(8,563,790)	(9,371,460)	(12,006,570)	(10,123,410)
Reserves	(129,140)	(631,000)	(393,400)	(481,000)	(358,000)
Housing Revenue Account (Revenue Contribution)	(2,206,580)	(70,000)	(118,750)	(608,700)	(664,350)
General Fund (Revenue Contribution)	(270,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	0
Cash Overdrawn re Commercial vehicles	(2,674,000)	(185,000)	0	0	0
Cash Overdrawn re GF Equity Share Properties	0	0	0	0	0
Borrowing	(30,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(25,000,000)	(20,000,000)	0
New Homes Bonus Infrastructure Reserve	0	0	0	(5,000,000)	0
Financing Adjustment	0	0	0	0	0
Total Capital Financing	**(53,804,070)**	**(38,191,440)**	**(43,585,510)**	**(43,278,890)**	**(15,634,200)**

HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT	Estimate 2017/2018 £	Estimate 2018/2019 £	Estimate 2019/2020 £	Estimate 2020/2021 £	Estimate 2021/2022 £
Repurchase of HRA Shared Ownership Homes	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000
Reprovison of Existing Homes	2,109,540	0	0	0	0
Provision of New Homes	6,941,590	6,346,200	7,043,400	7,857,680	5,632,000
Grants to Registered Providers for New Homes	0	0	0	0	0
Improvement of Housing Stock	8,193,940	7,507,240	7,521,710	7,543,210	7,247,200
Other HRA Capital Investment	300,000	600,000	780,000		
HRA share of Corporate ICT Development	230,000	70,000	118,750	18,750	18,750
Housing Revenue Account Capital Expenditure	**18,075,070**	**14,823,440**	**15,763,860**	**15,719,640**	**13,197,950**

GENERAL FUND	Estimate 2017/2018 £	Estimate 2018/2019 £	Estimate 2019/2020 £	Estimate 2020/2021 £	Estimate 2021/2022 £
ICT Development:	530,000	340,000	525,000	75,000	75,000
less: HRA share of Corporate ICT Development	(230,000)	(70,000)	(118,750)	(18,750)	(18,750)
Communications - Website Development	25,000	0	0	0	0
South Cambridgeshire Hall	150,000	0	0	0	0
Advance funding for Housing Company	30,000,000	20,000,000	25,000,000	20,000,000	0
City Deal: Contribution towards A14 upgrade	0	0	0	5,000,000	0
Refuse Collection Service	2,637,000	379,000	15,000	123,000	0
Awarded Watercourses	100,000	0	0	0	0
Street Cleansing	37,000	339,000	0	0	0
Air Quality Monitoring Equipment	50,000	0	0	0	0
Envirocrime Vehicle	0	0	20,400	0	0
Housing General Fund Share of HRA Capital Expenditure	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Repurchase of General Fund Sheltered Properties	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000
Refurbishment of General Fund Equity Share Properties	50,000	0	0	0	0
Grants for the provision of Social Housing	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000
Empty Homes Grants	0	0	0	0	0
Improvement Grants/Loans	770,000	770,000	770,000	770,000	770,000
General Fund Capital Expenditure	**35,729,000**	**23,368,000**	**27,821,650**	**27,559,250**	**2,436,250**

APPENDIX B

SOUTH CAMBS DISTRICT COUNCIL BUDGET CYCLE



Appendix D – Capital programme and funding to year ended 31 March 2022

The capital programme up to the year ending 31 March 2022 is submitted for Members' approval as Appendix A1 showing capital expenditure of around:

2017-18 - £53.8 million
2018-19 - £38.2 million
2019-20 - £43.6 million
2020-21 - £43.3 million
2021-22 - £15.6 million

together with the associated financing and balance of capital receipts.

The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) self-financing reforms beginning in March 2012 resulted in substantial scope to finance HRA capital expenditure from revenue and the depreciation reserve, however, legislative changes from the Welfare Reform and Work Bill 2015 requiring the authority to comply with a national approach whereby rents will be reduced by 1% per year for four years and, national changes in housing policy have required a review of the delivery of those opportunities; the HRA Budget Setting Report provides further information.

Capital expenditure can be classified as:

- Expenditure on assets such as buildings, vehicles and equipment which is accounted for on an accruals basis. A capital charge for depreciation is made to the revenue accounts to reflect the use of the asset in providing the service:
- Expenditure on grants to individuals and organisations which is accounted for on a cash payments basis.

The capital programme includes the effect of transferring 213 equity share properties during 2010-11 from the HRA to the General Fund to avoid 75% of the sale proceeds being pooled, and paid to the government, when repurchased properties were resold. The £1.1 million included in the capital programme each year relates to the repurchase of these properties and, is funded from their subsequent sale receipts. An additional sum of £50,000 has been included in 2017-18 for the refurbishment of repurchased properties which is expected to be covered by an increased capital receipt.

In October 2015 the Government published the Housing and Planning Bill 2015-16. This Bill sets out a number of proposed changes to housing legislation which will impact on the current delivery of services to tenants and the resources required to do so. One of these proposed changes relates to the sale of higher value vacant council homes. The Bill will enable the Government to set out a definition of 'higher value' homes and will create a duty on local authorities to consider selling homes that meet this definition when they become vacant. The Government intends to use the receipts from these sales to fund the extension of the right to buy scheme to housing association tenants and to create a Brownfield Development Fund. The Bill will also allow the Government to estimate the amount of money it would expect each individual authority to receive, in each financial year, from sales of higher value homes. Authorities will then be required to pay this amount to the Government. An initial assumption for HRA financial planning has been made of 1.8% of the housing stock being sold each year assumptions will be revisited as additional details become known.

With regard to the pooling of capital receipts, the Council is permitted to keep the majority of the HRA receipts from Right to Buy sales, provided the retained amount is spent on the provision of additional social housing for rent. Most HRA receipts from other sales, such as land or vacant houses, can also avoid pooling provided they are used to fund HRA capital expenditure. It has been assumed that these rules will continue for the duration of the programme.

The financing policy inherent in the capital programme, Appendix A1, can be summarised as:

- Run down the balance of capital receipts available to finance both HRA and General Fund expenditure;
- Finance HRA capital expenditure from revenue, the depreciation reserve, housing capital receipts and miscellaneous minor grants and contributions;
- Use of HRA capital receipts to finance General Fund capital expenditure on Disabled Facilities Grants;
- Use the remaining balance of the Housing Planning Delivery Grant capital reserve to finance General Fund capital expenditure;
- Borrow to fund loans to the Councils subsidiary company, as agreed by Council;

- Use the New Homes Bonus to fund the contribution to the A14 upgrade; and
- In addition, earmarked capital grants received are used to finance specific capital expenditure.

In June 2013 Cabinet agreed to take on a Local Enterprise Partnership loan of £780,000 for the construction of the new pumping station at Webbs Hole Sluice in connection with the Northstowe development; the funding agreement requires repayment of the loan by 31 March 2018. It is anticipated that this loan repayment will be covered by S106 payments from the various phases of the Northstowe development; as such, in keeping with other S106 agreements, the loan and its repayment do not form part of the Council's capital programme. If S106 monies from future phases have not been received by the time the loan is due to be repaid, the shortfall will be met in the interim from other funding sources, which will be reported to Members.

In order that all significant capital items may be evaluated consistently throughout the Council, new items in the capital programme in 2017-18 or later, that are over £25,000 value in total, are subject to the completion of a proposal form for consideration alongside the capital programme. Copies of the forms are available on request.

Actual 2015/16	Capital Programme (at outturn prices, with grants adjusted to commitments basis)	Estimate 2016/17 £	Estimate 2017/2018 £	Estimate 2018/2019 £	Estimate 2019/2020 £	Estimate 2020/2021 £	Estimate 2021/2022 £
4,157,383	General Fund	3,972,000	35,729,000	23,368,000	27,821,650	27,559,250	2,436,250
9,699,815	Housing Revenue Account	13,831,980	18,075,070	14,823,440	15,763,860	15,719,640	13,197,950
13,857,198	**Total Capital Expenditure**	**17,803,980**	**53,804,070**	**38,191,440**	**43,585,510**	**43,278,890**	**15,634,200**
	Financed by :						
(2,169,610)	Capital Receipts	(3,644,460)	(7,540,460)	(7,760,050)	(7,720,300)	(4,201,020)	(3,626,440)
(132,670)	Housing & Planning Delivery Grant	(84,600)	(84,600)	(84,600)	(84,600)	(84,600)	0
(1,389,230)	Other Grants and Contributions	(740,000)	(1,140,860)	(862,000)	(862,000)	(862,000)	(862,000)
(6,260,496)	HRA Depreciation Reserve	(6,332,970)	(9,758,430)	(8,563,790)	(9,371,460)	(12,006,570)	(10,123,410)
(1,113,504)	Reserves	(330,000)	(129,140)	(631,000)	(393,400)	(481,000)	(358,000)
(2,336,439)	Housing Revenue Account (Revenue Contribution)	(5,684,950)	(2,206,580)	(70,000)	(118,750)	(608,700)	(664,350)
0	General Fund (Revenue Contribution)	(275,000)	(270,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	0
(455,249)	Cash Overdrawn re Commercial vehicles	(712,000)	(2,674,000)	(185,000)	0	0	0
0	Cash Overdrawn re GF Equity Share Properties	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Borrowing	0	(30,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(25,000,000)	(20,000,000)	0
0	New Homes Bonus Infrastructure Reserve	0	0	0	0	(5,000,000)	0
0	Financing Adjustment	0	0	0	0	0	0
(13,857,198)	**Total Capital Financing**	**(17,803,980)**	**(53,804,070)**	**(38,191,440)**	**(43,585,510)**	**(43,278,890)**	**(15,634,200)**
	Capital Receipts						
160,946	brought forward	160,946	128,416	3,498,566	7,874,626	12,375,086	13,361,266
0	prior year adjustment	0	0				
(3,598,693)	Brought forward adjustment	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Adj. for actuals and prior year additions etc.	0	0	0	0	0	0
	received in year from						
(3,100,000)	RTB sales	(3,100,000)	(3,530,000)	(2,824,000)	(2,824,000)	(2,824,000)	(2,118,000)
	Equity Share Sales						
0	HRA	0	0	0	0	0	0
(1,100,000)	General Fund	(1,100,000)	(1,250,000)	(1,100,000)	(1,100,000)	(1,100,000)	(1,100,000)
(350,000)	Other	(350,000)	(350,000)	(350,000)	(350,000)	(350,000)	(350,000)
480,000	transferred to CLG pool	480,000	492,000	497,000	502,000	507,000	512,000
2,169,610	used in year to finance expenditure	3,644,460	7,540,460	7,760,050	7,720,300	4,201,020	3,626,440
20,000	adjustment to cash overdrawn	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	0
626,870	transfer to/(from) reserve	373,010	447,690	373,010	532,160	532,160	0
(4,691,267)	**Capital Receipts Year End Balance**	**128,416**	**3,498,566**	**7,874,626**	**12,375,086**	**13,361,266**	**13,931,706**

Appendix E – Financial administration (S 25 Report)

When a local authority is calculating its budget requirement and consequent council tax, the Chief Financial Officer is now required under Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 to report on:

- the robustness of the estimates made for the purposes of the calculations; and
- the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves.

The emphasis is to ensure that the estimates are sufficient to cover regular recurring costs plus any reasonable risks and uncertainties and, in the event of unexpected expenditure, that there are adequate reserves to draw on. The calculations relate to the budget for the forthcoming year and the legal requirement may, therefore, be interpreted as reporting only on the 2017-18 estimates and the reserves up to 31 March 2018.

At South Cambridgeshire District Council, the Chief Executive as the Chief Financial Officer considers the estimates for the financial year 2017/18 to be sufficiently robust and the financial reserves up to 31 March 2018 to be adequate.

The main areas of risk are with regard to Retained Business Rates, introduced in 2013-14. On 5 October 2015 the Chancellor of the Exchequer set out plans for local government to gain new powers and retain local taxes so that, by the end of Parliament, local government will be able to retain 100% of local taxes including all revenue from business rates, detailed guidance is not yet available. It is difficult to forecast future income with any certainty, especially with high levels of outstanding appeals and the revaluation with effect from 1 April 2017.

Other risks include the actual realisation of savings which have been included in the estimates and the risk that the underlying growth in the number of dwellings may not be achieved.

Government confirmed, in the Autumn Statement 2016, changes to the allocation of New Homes Bonus in 2017/18 and beyond. These are a reduction in the number of years payments are made from the current 6 years to 4 years from 2018/19; in addition no payment will be made on housing growth below 0.4% of the council tax base in each year.

As at the end of March 2018, the estimated balances are £8.3m and £4.2m on the General Fund and Housing Revenue Account respectively. The minimum

balance for the General Fund was £1.5 m but it is now considered that the minimum balance for future years should be increased to £2.5,m due to the present period of local government changes and economic uncertainty. The target balance as at 31 March 2023 is £2.5m. The minimum balance for the Housing Revenue Account has been increased to £2m because in future years any unexpected capital works may have to be financed from revenue and to provide cover for uninsured losses in excess of the insurance reserve.

Appendix F – Borrowing and investment strategy

1. **Introduction**

1.1 South Cambridgeshire District Council has adopted the Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services, 2011 edition, issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) and complied with the Guidance issued by the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) on behalf of the Secretary of State, **with the exception of the reporting requirements to full Council.**

1.2 It is a statutory requirement under Section 33 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for the Council to produce a balanced budget. In particular, Section 32 requires a local authority to calculate its budget requirement for each financial year to include the revenue costs that flow from capital financing decisions. This means that increases in capital expenditure must be limited to a level whereby increases in charges to revenue from:

a) increases in interest charges caused by increased borrowing to finance additional capital expenditure,

b) any increases in running costs from new capital projects, or

c) the loss of interest on balances or reserves arising from their use in financing the capital expenditure,

are limited to a level which is affordable within the projected income of the council for the foreseeable future.

2. **Defined Activities**

2.1 Treasury Management is defined as the management of the Council's investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.

3. **Policy**

3.1 This Council regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of its treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will

focus on their risk implications for the organisation, and any financial instruments entered into to manage these risks.

3.2 This Council acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of its business and service objectives. It is therefore committed to the principles of achieving value for money in treasury management, and to employing suitable comprehensive performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.

3.3 The Council attaches a high priority to a stable and predictable revenue cost from treasury management activities. The Council's objectives in relation to debt and investment can accordingly be stated as follows:

a) To assist the achievement of the Council's service objectives by obtaining funding and managing the debt and treasury investments at a net cost which is as low as possible, consistent with a high degree of interest cost stability and a low risk to sums invested.

b) This means the Council takes a low risk position but is not totally risk averse. Treasury management staff have the capability to actively manage treasury risk within the scope of the Council's treasury management policy and strategy.

c) The following activities may be appropriate, depending on the circumstances at the time, to the extent that skills and resources are available:

(i) The Council will borrow at fixed or variable rate across a wide range of maturities, taking account of a liability benchmark which represents the lowest risk position

(ii) Within limits, however, the Council will seek to borrow more at maturities that it believes offer better value, and will consider early repayment and replacement of loans to rebalance portfolio risks as market conditions change

(iii) When investing surplus cash, the Council will not limit itself to making deposits with the UK Government, but may invest in other bodies including high investment grade financial institutions, or other organisations as set out in the investment policy.

d) The Council will seek to limit the risk of adverse interest rate changes on the budget, and will maintain a level of treasury skills, knowledge and access to information commensurate with managing risks at this level.

4. **Governance**

4.1 This Council will create and maintain, as cornerstones for effective treasury management:

(a) a treasury management policy statement, stating the policies, objectives and approach to risk management of its treasury management activities; and

(b) suitable treasury management practices (TMPs), setting out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives, and prescribing how it will manage and control those activities.

The content of the policy statement and TMPs will follow the recommendations contained in sections 6 and 7 of the Code, subject only to amendment where necessary to reflect the particular circumstances of this Council. Such amendments will not result in the Council materially deviating from the Code's key principles.

4.2 This Council will receive reports on its treasury management policies (TMPs), practices and activities, including as a minimum, an annual strategy in advance of the year, a mid-year review and an annual report after its close, in the form prescribed in its TMPs. The TMP is supplemented by a systems document covering treasury management procedures; the detail of how to apply practices for use by officers in their 'day to day' work on treasury management.

4.3 This Council delegates responsibility for the implementation and regular monitoring of its treasury management policies and practices to the Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder, and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions to the Chief Financial Officer, who will act in accordance with the Council's policy statement and TMPs and, if he/she is a CIPFA member, CIPFA's Standard of Professional Practice on Treasury Management.

4.4 This Council nominates the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee to be responsible for ensuring effective scrutiny of the treasury management strategy and policies.

5. **Strategy**

5.1 On 1st April 1996 the Council became debt-free but under the Government scheme for Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Self-financing was required to take on debt of around £205 million on 28 March 2012. The Council raised this money from the Public Works Loan

Board in order to take advantage of the special (lower) rate available only to local authorities with debt under HRA Self-financing. The debt transactions were arranged on 26 March 2012 and effected on 28 March 2012.

5.2 The HRA Business Plan includes 41 maturity loans in tranches of £5 million each at fixed rates of interest with maturities every six months from March 2037 to March 2057 (25 to 45 years). Any Public Works Loan Board debt has to be held for at least one year before it can be prematurely repaid and, therefore, a strategy for monitoring debt with a view to debt rescheduling will be incorporated in future investment strategies.

5.3 Following HRA Self-financing the Council has adopted a two pool approach whereby long term loans are split between the Housing Revenue Account and General Fund, the principles to be applied are:

(a) Future charges to the HRA in relation to borrowing are not influenced by General Fund decisions, giving a greater degree of independence, certainty and control

(b) Un-invested balance sheet resources which allow borrowing to be below the capital financing requirement (CFR) are properly identified between General Fund and HRA

5.4 The Chief Financial Officer will only have delegated authority to deal in investments which are denominated in sterling and any payments or repayments in respect of the investments are to be payable only in sterling.

5.5 Credit arrangements are forms of credit which do not involve the borrowing of money and are defined by Section 7 Local Government Act 2003. The Chief Financial Officer shall only commit the Council to credit arrangements which have been approved either specifically or as part of the financing of the capital programme by the Cabinet and/or Council.

5.6 The Council's policy on the minimum revenue provision, being a provision for debt repayment to be set aside each year regardless of when debt repayment is actually made, will be either the asset life method calculated by dividing the cost of an asset by its estimated useful life, or an agreed percentage. Where a loan is made to a wholly owned subsidiary of the Council, the loan is deemed to be secured on the assets of the company and, supported by the business plan, evidence of the ability to repay the loan, in which case no minimum revenue provision will be made. The Council will review the loan and business plan annually, where there is evidence which suggests the full amount

of the loan will not be repaid it will be necessary to reassess the charge to recover the impaired amounts from revenue. Exceptionally, where capital expenditure is part of a loan agreement to other than a wholly owned subsidiary, the Council may register a fixed and floating charge over the counterparty assets to secure the Council interest in the investment, or alternately an equity share interest in an asset with value. The impact on HRA Self-financing is excluded from the calculation of the minimum revenue provision under statutory guidance issued by the Department of Communities and Local Government.

5.7 Any decision to outsource all or part of the treasury management function will require the approval of the Cabinet.

6. **Operations and Prudential Indicators**

6.1 The Chief Financial Officer will formulate:

(a) a borrowing and investment strategy before the start of the financial year to be approved by Executive and Council;

(b) a borrowing and investing plan in March of each year for the next five years which will incorporate the expenditure and income in the capital programme and capital and revenue financing decisions approved by the Council; and

(c) short-term borrowing/investing plans at the beginning of each week for the current week.

6.2 The prudential indicators including those relating to treasury management are being approved by Council in February 2017 as part of the Medium Term Financial Strategy.

6.3 Where the planned capital programme indicates a borrowing need, other than for short term borrowing, and where investment interest rates are forecast to be below borrowing rates for the year internal borrowing will be considered; or where appropriate longer term external borrowing with the following approved organisations:

- Public Works Loans Board
- Local Capital Finance Company, and
- UK Local Authorities (excluding Parish Councils)

6.4 Investments will only be in non-negotiable fixed time, callable and on call deposits to the following approved organisations and within the following limits:

	Maximum investment limit to any one organisation within a group	Maximum proportion which may be held by each group at any time during the financial year
Groups of organisations	**(£ million)**	
The Treasury (the UK Debt Management Office's Debt Management Account)	unlimited	100%
Money Market Funds subject to the highest possible credit rating.	10.0	30%
UK Local Authorities (excluding Parish Councils) and LGA Municipal Bond Agency	10.0	75%
UK Banks (which are also retail)	10.0	60%
South Cambs Housing Ltd	35.0	60%
Subsidiaries of UK Banks (provided the subsidiaries are UK-incorporated deposit takers under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 and provided loans are for a maximum period of three months)	3.0	10%
Other Banks, Property Funds and Financial Institutions specifically approved by the Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder (or formerly by Cabinet or Finance, Resources and Staffing Committee)	5.0	20%
Registered Housing Associations, subject to credit rating	5.0	20%
Building Societies:		
with assets greater than £10,000 million	10.0	
with assets between £10,000 million and £5,000 million	5.0	
with assets between £1,500 million and £5,000 million	3.0	100%

6.5 Investment in share capital, as non-specified investments, to the following approved organisations:

- The Local Capital Finance Company (Municipal Bond Agency)
- South Cambs Limited (trading as Ermine Street Housing)
- CCLA Local Authorities Property Fund

or other organisations specifically approved by Cabinet.

6.6 Total combined investments and loans to South Cambs Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Council, to a maximum value of £107 million; the Council borrowing, paragraph 6.3, to on-lend to the company for periods of five years or greater.

7. Investment Security

7.1 The Chief Financial Officer shall review at least annually the list of approved organisations and make appropriate amendments to individual organisations on the list, but not to the principles on which it is compiled without the approval of the Cabinet.

7.2 The guidance (paragraph 1) determines specified investments as investments denominated in sterling, for less than twelve months, not in share or loan capital and with a high credit quality or with the Government or local authority. Non-specified investments may have greater potential risk and are any investments which are not specified. The groups of organisations set out above are restricted in order to give priority to security and will be used for both specified (less than twelve months) and non-specified investments (twelve months or more).

8. Credit risk assessment

8.1 The criteria for high credit quality will apply (except to public sector bodies) to both specified (less than twelve months) and non-specified investments (twelve months or more) and will apply to organisations as set out in paragraph 6.4 with a credit rating as set out in ***Annex 1*** and a bank financial strength rating greater than D+. The credit rating and bank financial strength rating of all approved organisations will be checked on a weekly basis and of a specific approved organisation immediately before an investment is made with that organisation. Ratings watch (heightened probability of rating change in the short term) and ratings outlook (credit rating may change in the next one to two years) will also be taken in to account.

9. Investment Consultants

9.1 External contractors offering information, advice and/or assistance are currently not used by the Council as treasury management performance is benchmarked against other organisations and a consistently good performance has been achieved for several years.

10. Investment Training

10.1 The needs of the Council's treasury management staff for training in investment management are reviewed as part of the annual performance and development review

scheme and are addressed by attendance at seminars (usually the CIPFA Local Government Treasury Management Conference with periodic attendance at seminars offered by external organisations) and by keeping up to date with codes of practice and guidance issued by CIPFA and DCLG and information in the quality financial press.

11. Investment of money borrowed in advance of need

11.1 The Chief Financial Officer may undertake short term borrowing where it is associated with specific investments for longer periods and, thereby, take advantage of interest rate differentials or may undertake long term borrowing, with the approval of Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder, where there is a clear link to the capital programme which supports the need for future borrowing.

12. Loans to approved organisations

12.1 Loans to organisations shall be on a secured basis funded from internal resources or from prudential borrowing following asset security, organisation and loan project appraisal, with the approval of the Chief Finance Officer and Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder.

13. Delegation and Reporting

13.1 Delegation may be summarised as:

a) to the Chief Financial Officer and/or Head of Finance, Policy and Performance:
- (i) temporary borrowing/investing for up to 364 days
- (ii) investments up to five years
- (iv) capital financing
- (v) credit arrangements;

b) to the Chief Financial Officer and Finance and Portfolio Holder:
- (i) long term borrowing
- (ii) loans to approved organisations

c) to the Cabinet:
- (i) external management / use of external consultants; and

d) to the Council:
- (i) approval and any revisions to the annual investment strategy

12.2 The Chief Financial Officer shall present to:

a) the Finance and Staffing Portfolio Holder quarterly updates on treasury management activity; and

b) Corporate Governance Committee an annual report on the activities of the Treasury Management operation and on the exercise of Treasury Management powers delegated to them at the earliest practicable opportunity after the end of the financial year but in any case by the end of September.

To be approved by Council
23 February 2017

ANNEX 1

Long and Short Term Credit Ratings

	Grading (for the purpose of standardisation)	Fitch			Moody's			Standard & Poor's			
		Long Term	Short Term less than or equal to one year		Long Term	Short Term less than or equal to one year		Long Term	Short Term less than or equal to one year		
Investment Grade	Extremely strong Grade	AAA	F1+		Aaa	P-1		AAA	A-1+		SCDC Investment Criteria
	Very Strong Grade	AA+	F1+		Aa1	P-1		AA+	A-1+		
		AA	F1+		Aa2	P-1		AA	A-1+		
		AA-	F1+		Aa3	P-1		AA-	A-1+		
	Strong, but susceptible to adverse conditions grade (strong grade)	A+	F1+	F1	A1	P-1		A+	A-1+	A-1	
		A	F1		A2	P-1	P-2	A	A-1+		
		A-	F1	F2	A3	P-1	P-2	A-	A-1+	A-2	
	Adequate grade	BBB+	F2		Baa1	P-2		BBB+	A-2		
		BBB	F2	F3	Baa2	P-2	P-3	BBB	A-2	A-3	
		BBB-	F3		Baa3	P-3		BBB-	A-3		
Sub-investing Grade	Speculative grade	BB+	B		Ba1	Not Prime (NP)		BB+	B-1		
		BB	B		Ba2	NP		BB	B-2		
		BB-	B		Ba3	NP		BB-	B-3		
	Very speculative grade	B+	B		B1	NP		B+	-		
		B	B		B2	NP		B	-		
		B-	B		B3	NP		B-	-		
	Vulnerable grade	CCC	C		Caa1	NP		CCC+	C		
		CCC	C		Caa2	NP		CCC	C		
		CCC	C		Caa3	NP		CCC-	C		
		CC	C		-	NP		CC	C		
		C	C		Ca	NP		C	C		
	Defaulting grade	D	D		C	NP		D	D		

Capital Expenditure

The actual capital expenditure that was incurred in 2015-16 and the estimates of capital expenditure to be incurred for the current and future years are:

	2015-16 Actual £ million	2016-17 Estimate £ million	2017-18 Estimate £ million	2018-19 Estimate £ million	2019-20 Estimate £ million	2020-21 Estimate £ million	2021-22 Estimate £ million
General Fund	4.157	3.972	35.959	23.558	27.940	27.578	3.132
Housing Revenue Account	9.700	13.832	17.845	14.753	15.645	15.701	13.179
Total	**13.857**	**17.804**	**53.804**	**38.311**	**43.585**	**43.279**	**16.311**

Affordability

Estimates of the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream for the current and future years are:

	2015-16 Actual £ million	2016-17 Estimate £ million	2017-18 Estimate £ million	2018-19 Estimate £ million	2019-20 Estimate £ million	2020-21 Estimate £ million	2021-22 Estimate £ million
General Fund	-3%	-2%	-1%	-1%	-3%	-4%	-5%
Housing Revenue Account	23%	24%	25%	25%	25%	24%	23%

The negative figures reflect the Authority's General Fund position as a net investor, the interest earned being used to help fund the budget.

The other affordability indicator is the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the council tax as shown below and, on the average weekly housing rents and this is considered to be not applicable as the increase/decrease in housing rents on the HRA is based on Government guidance and not on the amount of HRA capital expenditure.

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions	2015-16 Actual £ p	2016-17 Estimate £ p	2017-18 Estimate £ p	2018-19 Estimate £ p	2019-20 Estimate £ p	2020-21 Estimate £ p	2021-22 Estimate £ p
General Fund (increase/(decrease)	4.41	8.82	32.16	-44.07	-2.93	0.00	0.00

Capital Financing Requirement

The capital financing requirement is capital expenditure which has not been fully financed from a local authority's own resources in the year but has been covered by raising external or internal debt. The capital requirement at 31 March 2016 is £209.611 million; thereafter:

	31/03/2016 Actual £ million	31/03/2017 Estimate £ million	31/03/2018 Estimate £ million	31/03/2019 Estimate £ million	31/03/2020 Estimate £ million	31/03/2021 Estimate £ million
General Fund	5.182	5.578	37.780	57.133	81.310	100.536
Housing Revenue Account	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429	204.429
Total	**209.611**	**210.007**	**242.209**	**261.562**	**285.739**	**304.965**

The General Fund capital financing requirement fluctuates due to financing internally refuse vehicles, part of the purchase of wheeled bins and cash overdrawn on equity share repurchases, but this financing is then partly repaid over the period. The increase in capital financing requirement during 2017-18 being due to external borrowing for on-lending to South Cambs Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Council, with further borrowing phased over the period to 2020-21.

External Debt

HRA self-financing required the Council to take on external debt of £205.123 million at the end of 2011-12; the Council obtained 41 individual loans with maturity dates between 2037 and 2057. General Fund external debt of £107.0 million relates to external borrowing for on-lending to South Cambs Limited with borrowing phased over the period to 2020-21. The prudential indicators for external debt will be:

Authorised limit

	2015-16 Actual £ million	2016-17 Estimate £ million	2017-18 Estimate £ million	2018-19 Estimate £ million	2019-20 Estimate £ million	2020-21 Estimate £ million
Borrowing	205.1	205.1	249.1	269.1	294.1	314.1
Other Long Term Liabilities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**205.1**	**205.1**	**249.1**	**269.1**	**294.1**	**314.1**

The authorised limit is the maximum limit consisting of HRA debt of £205.1 million and General Fund £44.0 million (2017-18) to take advantage of interest rate differentials and to meet immediate cash flow requirements and external debt. The authorised

limit is the statutory affordable borrowing limit under Section 3 (1) Local Government Act 2003.

Net borrowing is set out in the table below and one of the key indicators of prudence is that net debt is not in excess of the capital financing requirement.

	2015-16 Actual £ million	2016-17 Estimate £ million	2017-18 Estimate £ million	2018-19 Estimate £ million	2019-20 Estimate £ million	2020-21 Estimate £ million
Borrowing	205.1	205.1	249.1	269.1	294.1	314.1
Investments	-53.0	-60.1	-31.0	-30.0	-30.0	-30.0
Net debt	152.1	145.0	218.1	239.1	264.1	284.1

Another indicator to highlight where an authority may be borrowing in advance of need is the ration of the net debt to gross debt.

Net debt to gross debt	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-2021
	73%	69%	90%	91%	92%	93%

Operational boundary

The operational boundary for external debt is based on the same estimates as the authorised limit but reflects the most likely scenario and is expected to be £249.1 million for both borrowing and other long term liabilities increasing to £314.1 million in 2020-21.

Actual debt

The third indicator for external debt is actual debt at the end of the last financial year (2015-16) and was £205.123 million.

Maturity Structure of Borrowing

As the Council will undertake long term borrowing to on-lend and, a minimal amount of short-term borrowing to take advantage of interest rate differentials and to meet immediate cash flow requirements and the HRA debt is at fixed rates, the upper limits to be set for borrowing will be:

	Under 12 Months	More than 12 months
Upper limit for fixed interest rate exposure	100%	100%
Upper limit for variable rate exposure	100%	0%

Treasury management

The Prudential Code requires the Authority to have adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services: South Cambridgeshire has adopted this Code.

Liquidity of Investments

The procedure for determining the maximum periods for which funds may be prudently committed is to formulate the five years investing plan. No investments will be made for more than five years. The prudential indicators for principal sums invested for longer than 364 days being the maximum limit shall be:

Investment period	Longer than 364 days but less than two years £ million	Longer than one year and 364 days but less than three years £ million	Longer than two years and 364 days but less than four years £ million	Longer than three years and 364 days but less than five years £ million
Maximum Limit	10.0	8.0	8.0	15.0

Interest rate Exposure

The Council will only undertake a minimal amount of short-term borrowing to take advantage of interest rate differentials and to meet immediate cash flow requirements; the upper limits for interest rate exposures are based on gross investments. These upper limits for the forthcoming financial year and the following two years will be:

Upper limit on gross investments	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
Fixed Rate	100%	100%	100%
Variable rate	50%	50%	50%

This page is left blank intentionally.

South Cambridgeshire District Council

Report To: Council 23 February 2017
Lead Officer: Director, Health and Environmental Services

Swavesey Byeways Rate 2017/18

Purpose

1. To report on the annual meeting of the Swavesey Byeways Advisory Committee held on 7th February 2017 and to set the level of the Swavesey Byeways rate.

Recommendations

2. The Swavesey Byeways Advisory Committee recommends Council:

   (a) to maintain the current level of byeway maintenance for the period 2017/18

   (b) to levy a rate at £1.10 to fund the required maintenance for the period 2017/18

Reasons for Recommendations

3. Since the enactment of the byeways legislation in 1984, local charge payers have agreed to provide labour and plant on a voluntary basis while the Council provided materials for use along the byeways. This arrangement has enabled costs to be kept to a minimum and the byeways rate to be maintained at a suitably low rate (currently £1.10) per hectare for land within the inclosure area. However, due to increases in the cost of materials over recent years, the Advisory Committee recommended increases in the last two proceeding years.

Executive Summary

Background

4. The Swavesey Byeways' Act 1984 governs the financial provision for maintenance of the Swavesey Byeways. Under the Act the District Council is required to determine the amount of money necessary for maintenance in each financial year. It can then recover 50% of this amount from the Byeways Charge Payers at a uniform amount per hectare of land within the charge paying area.

5. The Swavesey Byeways Advisory Committee is an informal group comprising representatives of chargepayers, parishioners, the Parish and District Council. The Advisory Committee advises the District Council on all matters relating to the discharge of its byeways responsibilities including the level of maintenance and the level of the byeways rate.

Considerations

6. The Advisory Committee met on 7th February 2017 to consider the level of maintenance required in the coming year and the level of rate required. A draft copy

of the minutes of the meeting as well as supporting documents, which were presented to the meeting, are attached as **Appendix A**.

Options

7. Council could opt to set an alternative rate for 2017/18.

Implications

8. In the writing of this report, taking into account financial, legal, staffing, risk management, equality and diversity, climate change, community safety and any other key issues, there are no significant implications.

Consultation responses

9. No consultation has been undertaken.

Effect on Strategic Aims

10. Accepting the advice of and engaging with the advisory committee is in line with the Council's Aim to be a listening Council. Additionally, a reasonable level of maintenance to the byeways will help ensure the local environment is a safer and healthier place. Finally, agreeing to works on the byeways will allow the voice of the local rural community to be heard and enhance local economic activity by improvements to the local road infrastructure.

Background Papers

No background papers were relied upon in the writing of this report.

Report Author: Rob Mungovan – Awarded Watercourse Supervisor
Telephone: (01954) 713402

APPENDIX A

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

SWAVESEY BYEWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD IN THE MEMORIAL HALL, SWAVESEY ON

TUESDAY 7TH FEBRUARY 2017 AT 7.30 PM

Present:

Councillor Mrs Ellington	South Cambridgeshire District Council
Mr J Dodson	Charge-payers' Representative
Mr G Wedd	Charge-payers' Representative
Mrs H Parish	Charge-payers' Representative
Mr A Day	Charge-payers' Representative
Mr K Wilderspin	Charge-payers' Representative
Mr J Johnson	Charge-payers' Representative
Mrs L Boyes	Charge-payers' Representative
Ms H Parish	Parish Representative

In Attendance

Mr R Mungovan, SCDC Awarded Watercourse Supervisor

Apologies: Cllr R Turner, Mr J Shepperson, Ms S Rogers

1. **Introduction and Election of Chairman**

1.1. In the absence of Cllr Turner, it was agreed that the Council's Awarded Watercourse Supervisor should act as chairman for the meeting.

2. **Minutes of the previous meeting**

2.1. The minutes of the previous meeting of 26th January 2016 had already been circulated. It was unanimously accepted that the contents should be confirmed as an accurate record of the meeting.

3. **Report of the Executive Director – Corporate Services**

3.1. The meeting received a report on expenditure for the year 2016/17 (to 20th January 2017).

3.2. The Executive Director had shown, in his report, that there would be a balance of £5915 in the contingency account at the end of 2016/17 and suggested that £420 should be added to the contingency account in 2017-2018. It was agreed that the contingency fund should remain high due to the limited availability of road planings at times and their subsequent high cost.

3.3. Mr K Wilderspin expressed concern that maintenance of the byeways was becoming more expensive. Cllr Ellington enquired if materials could be stockpiled when available at cheaper rates. This would not be advisable as when left for long periods the road planings can consolidate making them very difficult to spread. Mr J Dodgson enquired if it would be appropriate to further increase the levy placed upon Charge-payers. However, this was not felt to be appropriate given that funds

from 2016 had not be fully spent and it is not the purpose of the arrangements to acquire a large contingency.

3.4. Mr J Johnson expressed concern that road planings had not been supplied in spring 2016. He emphasised how important it was to maintain the surface layers of the byeways to ensure that they do not crack during the wet periods. This year's low input of material has been compensated for by very good maintenance in previous years. A regular supply of materials is necessary if the byeways are to be maintained in a good condition.

3.5. The price of £7.25/T had been paid for the loads delivered in Dec 2016. A price of £17.50 was quoted for purchase of planings at a premium price. It was clear that planings should only be purchased at the cheaper price unless an emergency situation arose.

3.6. It was agreed that the Parish Council would be asked to use their contacts with the A14 project to see if there was any likely occurrence of planings in the near future.

3.7. If £2,500 was allowed for maintenance in 2017/18, then a rate of £1.10 per hectare could continue to be levied. No increased in the rate for 2017/18 was proposed.

3.8. A continuation of the current levels of maintenance would, therefore, give a breakdown of income and expenditure as indicated in the report.

4. **Report of the Corporate Manager, Health and Environmental Services**

4.1. This report indicated the current year's expenditure and the last five years' average annual expenditure per byeway (see appendix 1).

4.2. Detailed discussions took place regarding the current levels of expenditure and the potential for a shortage of future funds due to increases in the cost of materials. It was noted that the Charge-payers' rate had been increased to £1.10 for the 2016/17 year. Due to a short fall in expenditure upon materials it was not felt that any further rate increase was appropriate. *It was unanimously agreed that the rate should remain at £1.10 for the year 2016/17, and that that should be the recommendation to South Cambridgeshire District Council.*

4.3. It was reported that Councillor Ellington and the Awarded Watercourse Supervisor had carried out an inspection of the byeways on 10th January 2017 in order to assess their current condition and the likely level of maintenance for the forthcoming year. It was anticipated that the following bye-ways would require allocations of materials:

- Cow Fen/Lairstall Drove
- Hale Road
- Mow Fen Drove
- Uttons/Tipplers Drove
- Middle Fen Drove

4.4. A number of Charge-payers expressed the view that following the recent spell of freezing weather after the condition survey had been undertaken in January that further deterioration of some byeways had occurred. *It was the view of those present at the meeting that the above named byeways, and any brought to the attention of SCDC, should be allocated materials.*

4.5. General concern was expressed where material provided did not appeared to have been used within the year. However, it was acknowledged that some volunteers have a much reduced capacity to distribute the material locally. Mrs Parish stated that those byeways that were not being maintained in good condition should not be supplied. Mr Johnson suggested that those persons who undertake the work should be compensated or should receive some form of reimbursement from their rate. This point was also supported by Mrs L Boyes. There was general discussion around this point but no resolution at the meeting.

5. **Voluntary Maintenance**

5.1. Members were reminded that the voluntary arrangements whereby the Charge-payers provide labour and plant to spread materials enables the maximum amount to be spent on materials. All were in agreement that this approach has worked very well in the past and that the current voluntary arrangements should continue.

5.2. However, there was discussion about how long the voluntary maintenance arrangement could continue as it is felt that the goodwill of a few is now serving a much wider section of their local community. To rely on goodwill arrangements will not last indefinitely.

5.3. Mr J Dodgson wished to have the good work of Burgess Brothers' recent maintenance work on Cow Fen Drove noted.

5.4. Discussion was had around the expected standard of maintenance. The meeting was reminded that the Act requires the byeways to be maintained to a "farm standard".

5.5. The Charge-payers' Representatives will undertake periodic monitoring of the Bye-ways and ordering and spreading of materials as follows:

Bye-way	**Charge-payer responsible**
Cow Fen/Lairstall	Mr Wilderspin
Hale/Mow Fen	Mr Johnson
Mow Fen Drove	Under review
Uttons/Tipplers Drove	Mr Wedd
Middle/River Fen	Ms Rogers

5.6. It was agreed by those present that the voluntary arrangements on the spreading of materials should continue while the District Council would order and allocate the materials.

6. **Any Other Business**

6.1 Cllr Ellington raised the concern of Mr Wroot, a resident of Scotland Drove. He maintains his section of drove to a high standard and is concerned that it will deteriorate due to nearby buildings works. The Committee's purpose is to maintain the byeways in a "farm condition". If the builders caused damage beyond the expected standard then they will be pursued on that issue.

6.2 Mrs S Rogers had asked that discussion be had around the issue of residential developments accessing onto any byeway and the implications that it had for wear to the byeways, collection of the rates and the arrangements for voluntary maintenance.

6.3 Whilst those present at the meeting could appreciate why the issue was being raised it was not considered that any policy could be proposed at the meeting as every development application would have to be reviewed on it own merits and negotiations established with the relevant parties at the time.

6.4 Discussion was had around the instances where a person upgrades a byeway and surfaces it. Mr Dodgson and Mr Wilderspin both shared the view that any person upgrading a byeway would also have to accept its future maintenance (i.e. to re-surface it when needed). If a planning application is seeking to upgrade a byeway to improve access, then the Parish Council would become aware at an early stage in the consultation process. The Parish Council could then bring it to the attention of the appropriate SCDC officer in order to establish negotiations as to who is to undertake the improvement, who would continue to maintain the increased standard of maintenance and how that money would be raised in future

The meeting closed at 21:03

Appendix 1

Expenditure 2016 / 2017			
Date	**Byeway**	**Materials/loads**	**Cost £**
2016	Middle Fen	1 load planings	145
2016	Tipplers Drove	1 load planings	145
2016	Mow Fen / Hale Road	1 load planings	145
		Total	**435**

Byeway	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	5 Year Average
Cow Fen	390	650	560	700	0	460
Hale/Mow	585	780	840	840	145	638
Scotland/Boxworth	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utton/Tipplers	780	1170	1120	980	145	839
Middle Fen/River Fen	265	260	420	140	145	246
Brick Kiln	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lairstall	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turnbridge	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR – HEALTH & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

7th February 2017

To: Chairman & Members of the Swavesey Byeways Advisory Committee

1. Expenditure for 2016/2017 and Five Year Expenditure Summary

1.1 Attached as Appendix 1 is a breakdown of the current year's expenditure, together with the last five year's average annual expenditure per Byeway.

2. Review of Maintenance and Anticipated Expenditure for 2017/2018

2.1 Councillor Ellington and the Council's Awarded Watercourse Supervisor undertook an inspection of all the Byeways on Monday 10th January 2017 in order to assess their current state and the likely level of maintenance required in the coming year.

2.2 The condition of the Byeways can be summarised as such:
Middle Fen Drove – Acceptable up to the busway, larger holes are appearing from busway on to River Fen Drove. The larger holes may require re-shaping prior to infilling. After the turn to River Fen Drove there is a long (~10m) water filled hole which was not passed by car. The drove appeared fine after that point. It was apparent that the 20T load delivered in December had been recently used on parts of the drove. Much of the load remained.
River Fen Drove – the surface of the drove appeared to be in an adequate state.
Lairstall Drove – It was noted that a pile (~20T) of planings was still present at its start. The drove was in a good condition with only a few larger holes present. These holes may need re-shaping prior to in-filling.
Cow Fen Road – the surface of the drove appeared to be in a very good condition. There were a few holes forming at the entrance to the riding stables. The drove after this point appeared little used with grass down it and no wear.
Brick Kiln Drove – No evidence of use by vehicles. The surface of the drove appeared to be in a very good condition. No work needed.
Mow Drove - The surface of the drove appeared to be in a good condition with a few larger holes developing. The drove is overall considered to be in a good condition which reflects the improvement work undertaken by Mr Dawson to allow work at Covell's Drain embankment (100m+ of hardcore had been placed down to address rutting forming at the bend near RSPB lakes).
Hale Drove - The surface of the drove appeared to be in a good condition with only a few minor holes developing. There were a few larger holes present outside of the Windmill. It was apparent that the 20T load delivered in December had been recently used on parts of the drove.
Scotland Drove - The surface of the drove appeared to be in a good condition up to the entrance of the Traveller's site. After that point the drove had a less firm surface but was usable with some slight rutting developing.

Tipplers Drove - The surface of the drove appeared to be in a very good condition with only a small amount of minor rutting. It was apparent that the 20T load delivered in Dec had been recently used on parts of the drove. The whole 20T load had been used.
Uttons Drove - The surface of the drove appeared to be in a very good condition, except for the final length near to the A14.

2.3 It is recommended that the Committee advise the District Council to maintain the present level of maintenance in view of the report of the Executive Director Corporate Services and the unanimous decision at the last meeting to increase the levy charge to £1.10 per hectare for the period of 2016/2017.

For Discussion and Recommendation

3. Voluntary Maintenance Arrangements

3.1 At the meeting of the Advisory Committee in January 2016, it was decided to continue with the voluntary maintenance arrangements for the Byeways whereby the Chargepayers provide labour and plant to spread materials.

3.2 Under the current arrangements the District Council manages the maintenance programme and budget, ordering materials, collecting the rate etc, whilst Chargepayers voluntarily provide labour and plant to spread materials. This arrangement has worked reasonably well in the past and it is recommended that the same practices are applied for the coming year.

3.3 There had been one request for material in June 2016. The Land Drainage Manager had not been able to meet the request due to no such material being available locally at a suitable cost.

3.4 In mid-December a source of material became available. 3 20T loads were purchased “off the belt” and delivered to Middle Fen, Hale Drove and Tipplers Drove. No such material is currently available.

For Discussion and Recommendation

Mike Hill
Director – Health & Environmental Services